February 26, 2020 - Board of Directors Meeting Agenda Item 8(b) - Bylaws

2.1. Regular meetings of the Board of Directors (the Board) shall commence on the second and fourth Wednesday of each calendar month in the Board room at the District Office currently located at 1655 Front Street, Oceano, CA, 93475. Regular meeting times shall be set annually pursuant to the current Director's schedules and/or preference with the consideration to the public's right to participate. The General Manager, working closely with the President, may cancel a Board meeting if, in his or her discretion, there is insufficient business to warrant convening a scheduled meeting or due to other unforeseen circumstances.

2019 COMMITTEE & SUBJECT MATTER ASSIGNMENTS

As of June 26, 2019

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS TO OTHER AGENCY BOARDS AND COMMITTEES				Subject Matter Assignments / Expertise
SSLOCSD	Austin	Replogle	1st & 3rd Wed/6:00/JanJune OCSD/July-Dec. Arroyo Grande City Council Chamber 215 E. Branch Arroyo Grande, CA 93420	Wastewater
Five Cities Fire Authority	White	Villa	3rd Fri./2:00/Grover Beach City Council Chamber 154 S. 8th St. Grover Beach, CA 93433	Emergency Services
Water Resource Advisory Comm. (WRAC)	Replogle	Gibson	1st Wed/1:30/SLO County Library Room 995 Palm St. San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	Regional Water Programs
Regional Water Mgt. Group (RWMG – IRWMP)	Replogle	Gibson	1st Wed/9:00/SLO County Library Room 995 Palm St. San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	Regional Water Programs
Zone 3 (Lopez Water)	Gibson	Replogle	3 rd Thurs Odd/6:30/ Varies	Water Supply Contracts
State Water	Gibson	Replogle	Varies	Water Supply Contracts
Oceano Advisory	Replogle	n/a	3rd Thursday/5:30/OCSD	County Land Use
RFP Ad Hoc Committee	Gibson	Austin	Approve 5/10/2017	
Code Enforcement	Villa	White		
Social Media Technology Outreach	Replogle	Villa		
Old Firehouse Art Ad Hoc Committee	Austin	Gibson	Approved 6/26/2019	
LIAISON AND SUBJECT I	MATTER ASSIGNI	MENTS		
Supervisor Liaison		Austin	Varies	
Airport Land Use		Gibson	3rd Wed /1:30/County Government Center Board of Supervisors Chamber 1055 Monterey St Room D170 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	
CA (Local) Special District's Association		Austin	Varies (Usually Noon Fri) every other month	
Zone 1/1A		Replogle	3 rd Tues Odd/3:00/Sheriff South Patrol Station 1681 Front St. (Highway 1) Oceano, CA 93445	
LAFCO		Austin	3rd Thur/9:00/ County Government Center Board of Supervisors Chamber 1055 Monterey St San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	
RWQCB		Gibson	Odd Months/Varies	
NCMA		Gibson & White	Subject Matter Assignment	
Central Coast Blue		Villa & Replogle	Subject Matter Assignment; Meetings with other agencies varies	
SLOCOG Sedimentation		White	Varies	
Budgets, Fees and Customer Rates and Charges		Villa & Gibson	Subject Matter Assignment	
IWMA (Integrated Waste Management Advisory)		Villa		



Showcasing Diversity Downtown

Eyes up! New pedestrian light pole banners have been installed throughout the core of Downtown San Luis Obispo. This project is the work of the City's Promotional Coordinating Committee, who worked with (iii) Design to demonstrate the vibrancy of our community. The pop-art influenced designs present bold interpretations of familiar locales and highlights celebrations of diversity that happen throughout the city. Find all seven of the designs around downtown: Mission, Pride, Community, Habitat, Tradition, Culture, and Heritage. We're welcoming back Cal Poly students this month with the most diverse incoming class ever, along with Cuesta College students and late summer visitors. Let's roll out the red carpet to everyone in the spirit of inclusivity and sharing our wonderful community.













Carey Casciola

From: Robyn Harris >

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 9:32 PM

To: carey@oceanocsd.org

Subject: Contact Form Submission, Subject: Other | Entry ID 180

Name

Robyn Harris

Email



Subject

Other

Message

Dear Members of the Board of Directors,

Ive reviewed the proposed Bylaw amendments and while I commend you for working to keep them up to date, a few items stood out.

I urge you to reconsider striking the current section 2.10 from the Bylaws. I submit that this section needs to be included under the MEETINGS article in order to establish that time will be set aside during meetings of the Board. A reference to the new Article 4 PUBLIC COMMENT AND RULES OF DECORUM could be referenced here.

In the proposed section 4.2 giving the President discretion to extend or shorten the three minute limit seems to put extra hardship on the President to make this call. Exercising this section could be seen as favoritism by the President. I submit that holding all speakers to three minutes, regardless of position or status, allows the President to be fair across the board with no pressure to do otherwise.

I submit that the proposed section 6.3 is clear and adequate as it stands without subsections a & b.

6.3(a) appears to infringe on a Board member's rights of free speech to state how they voted, or what they think about an action of the Board. It is also a pretty big jump to say that these statements obstruct implementation of an action, and I would ask that the Board to consider the profound difference between speech and action.

And I submit that 6.3(b) needs further consideration by the members of the Board to consider alternatives to this approach. As it is written there is no space for progressive corrective measures such as an informal warning, a formal warning, etc. The jump directly to a formal agenda item to consider removal from all assignments seems quite harsh, especially in the case of a new Board member who may still be learning the ropes, or human error. I further submit that this section needs to account for the possibility that the President may at some point be found in violation of this section, and there is no provision for that.

Thanks for your t

Carey Casciola

From: Brad Snook

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 7:13 AM

To: carey@oceanocsd.org

Subject: Contact Form Submission, Subject: Other | Entry ID 178

Name

Brad Snook

Email

Phone

Subject

Other

Message

PUBLIC COMMENT

Re: Oceano CSD bylaws, Agenda Item 8B (02/26/2020)

Dear Directors,

On December 11, 2019 the OCSD's board majority decided, without due process, to censure Director Replogle and remove her from all 2020 committee assignments. The board's majority should not have censured Director Replogle without first working with staff to assure due process was followed, i.e. facts (not here-say) were considered in the preparation of a full staff report, the public was legally advised of the board's potential (or imminent) action on an upcoming agenda, and the process of censure was consistent with the Brown Act and the U.S. Constitution. Their action reflects the dysfunctionality of the OCSD board's leadership, a dysfunctionality that is damaging to the District.

At the December 11th meeting, a simple selection of committee assignments yielded potential litigation against the District and multiple agenda items across many meetings. So, it is appropriate to call out dysfunction, "deviation from the norms of social behavior in a way regarded as bad", when the effect of dysfunction is to degrade the OCSD's ability to effectively serve the public while infringing on a citizen's constitutional rights. At the December meeting, OCSD's Legal Counsel specifically stated, "Director Replogle does not give up her constitutional rights in order to serve as a member of this board". As members of a proud community and as a board who has sworn to uphold the U.S. Constitution, I remind you of the social norm: "I may disagree with what you say, but I'll fight for your right to say it".

Without trust in this board's majority, I don't support any changes to the OCSD Bylaws. I do, however, support an individual's constitutional right to free speech and the rewards it offers to our society. The board's majority is wrong to take that away from any citizen of Oceano.

Carey Casciola

From: Pamela Hooker

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 12:02 PM

To: carey@oceanocsd.org

Subject: Contact Form Submission, Subject: Other | Entry ID 181

Name

Pamela Hooker

Email

Phone

Subject

Other

Message

The 2.1 Clause should NOT be eliminated. All persons with concern should be heard fairly, especially the local community..