

Nicole Miller

From: Streamline <noreply@specialdistrict.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 12:36 PM
To: Nicole Miller
Subject: New form submission received: Contact Us



Contact Us

First Name:	Kaitlyn
Last Name:	Plante
Email:	cutekait@aol.com
Phone:	
Please Select a Subject:	Other
Message:	As a resident of Oceano, I am writing to provide public comment on July 8th board meeting agenda item 8a. I strongly oppose the installation of any ALPRs or surveillance equipment in Oceano. Citizens deserve privacy, not 24/7 monitoring. Studies have shown info collected can and has been shared with outside agencies, including immigration enforcement. This violates our states sanctuary policies, and ultimately makes our communities less safe. The board must not approve the install of such equipment in our community. Vote NO on July 8th agenda item 8a! No surveillance in Oceano!

[Reply / Manage](#)

Powered by [Streamline](#).



Nicole Miller

From: Streamline <noreply@specialdistrict.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 2:08 PM
To: Nicole Miller
Subject: New form submission received: Contact Us



Oceano Community Services District

Contact Us

First Name:	Gianna
Last Name:	Patchen
Email:	giannario88@gmail.com
Phone:	
Please Select a Subject:	Other
Message:	Subj: Opposition to mtg 7/8/26 agenda item 8a implementation of Flock license plate camera I oppose agenda item 8a: the implantation of a Flock license plate camera at 1681 Front St. This technology has a record of inaccuracy and utilizing the camera footage for non-traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and directly harming community members. I ask you to decline this proposal in the name of public safety and privacy. Sincerely, Gianna

[Reply / Manage](#)

Powered by [Streamline](#).

Carey Casciola

From: Gianna Patchen <giannario88@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 2:26 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Public Comment OCSD 7/8/26 Mtg Agenda item 8a

Hi Carey,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

This technology puts all of our community members at risk, especially under a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans:

many city officials have realized after the fact that they were sharing their data more broadly than they had known, and that federal agencies, including U.S. Border Patrol, had searched their data."

"Another resident referenced an Electronic Frontier Foundation analysis that found a significant number of police departments had searched Flock's network in connection with protest activity. Multiple speakers brought up a report by the independent tech outlet, 404 Media, about Texas sheriff's deputies who searched for the car of a woman who had an abortion."

NPR Article, "Why some cities are ditching their Flock license plate readers"

<https://www.npr.org/2026/02/17/nx-s1-5612825/flock-contracts-canceled-immigration-surveillance-concerns>

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Gianna Patchen

Carey Casciola

From: Shannon McDermott <shaynicole57@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 2:39 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Opposition to Flock Cameras

Caution: The sender name (Shannon McDermott) is different from their email address (shaynicole57@gmail.com), which may indicate an impersonation attempt. Verify the email's authenticity with the sender using your organization's trusted contact list before replying or taking further action.

Dear Carley,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to **oppose** the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

I strongly feel that Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. Due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, sharing data for non traffic purposes, including working with ICE and private companies they can harm our community. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a

Thank you for my consideration and your time!

Sincerely,
Shannon
SLO County Resident

Carey Casciola

From: Rachel Burgess <rachelstellaburgess@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 2:44 PM
To: Carey Casciola

Hi Carey,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please ***oppose agenda item 8a.***

This technology puts all of our community members at risk, especially under a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans:

“ many city officials have realized after the fact (<https://www.npr.org/2025/11/07/nx-s1-5587724/some-sanctuary-states-discover-feds-mining-local-license-plate-data>) that they were sharing their data more broadly than they had known, and that federal agencies, including U.S. Border Patrol, had searched their data (<https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/>).”

“Another resident referenced an Electronic Frontier Foundation analysis (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2025/11/how-cops-are-using-flock-safety-alpr-network-surveil-protesters-and-activists>) that found a significant number of police departments had searched Flock's network in connection with protest activity. Multiple speakers brought up a report by the independent tech outlet, 404 Media (<https://www.404media.co/police-said-they-surveilled-woman-who-had-an-abortion-for-her-safety-court-records-show-they-considered-charging-her-with-a-crime/>) , about Texas sheriff's deputies who searched for the car of a woman who had an abortion.”

NPR Article, “Why some cities are ditching their Flock license plate readers” <https://www.npr.org/2026/02/17/nx-s1-5612825/flock-contracts-canceled-immigration-surveillance-concerns>

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Rachel Burgess - Resident of SLO county

Carey Casciola

From: Emma Garcia <garciaemma878@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 3:21 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Public Comment OCSD 7/8/26 Mtg Agenda item 8a

Hi Carey,

I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

This technology puts all of our community members at risk, especially under a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans.

Thank you for taking the time to consider the community's feedback and concerns.

Best,
Emma

Carey Casciola

From: Kalea Conrad <kalea.conrad2@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 3:54 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Vote No Against Flock Cameras

Dear Carey,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

This technology puts all of our community members at risk, especially under a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans:

"Many city officials have realized after the fact (<https://www.npr.org/2025/11/07/nx-s1-5587724/some-sanctuary-states-discover-feds-mining-local-license-plate-data>) that they were sharing their data more broadly than they had known, and that federal agencies, including U.S. Border Patrol, had searched their data (<https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/>)."

"Another resident referenced an Electronic Frontier Foundation analysis (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2025/11/how-cops-are-using-flock-safetys-alpr-network-surveil-protesters-and-activists>) that found a significant number of police departments had searched Flock's network in connection with protest activity. Multiple speakers brought up a report by the independent tech outlet, 404 Media (<https://www.404media.co/police-said-they-surveilled-woman-who-had-an-abortion-for-her-safety-court-records-show-they-considered-charging-her-with-a-crime/>) , about Texas sheriff's deputies who searched for the car of a woman who had an abortion."

NPR Article, "Why some cities are ditching their Flock license plate readers" <https://www.npr.org/2026/02/17/nx-s1-5612825/flock-contracts-canceled-immigration-surveillance-concerns>

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Kalea Conrad
93405

Carey Casciola

From: Cate Armstrong <catearmstrongg@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 4:33 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Opposition to Oceano Flock Camera

Hi Carey,

Thank you for receiving my public comment via email and passing it along to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board **to oppose** the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. AI powered mass surveillance is a huge concern to me as they put our communities at risk with a record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

We are amidst a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans, and technology like this further violates our privacy:

“ many city officials have realized after the fact (<https://www.npr.org/2025/11/07/nx-s1-5587724/some-sanctuary-states-discover-feds-mining-local-license-plate-data>) that they were sharing their data more broadly than they had known, and that federal agencies, including U.S. Border Patrol, had searched their data (<https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/>).”

“Another resident referenced an Electronic Frontier Foundation analysis (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2025/11/how-cops-are-using-flock-safetys-alpr-network-surveil-protesters-and-activists>) that found a significant number of police departments had searched Flock's network in connection with protest activity. Multiple speakers brought up a report by the independent tech outlet, 404 Media (<https://www.404media.co/police-said-they-surveilled-woman-who-had-an-abortion-for-her-safety-court-records-show-they-considered-charging-her-with-a-crime/>) , about Texas sheriff's deputies who searched for the car of a woman who had an abortion.”

NPR Article, “Why some cities are ditching their Flock license plate readers” <https://www.npr.org/2026/02/17/nx-s1-5612825/flock-contracts-canceled-immigration-surveillance-concerns>

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Take care,



Singer/songwriter and community builder

<https://linktr.ee/cateylady>
catearmstrongg@gmail.com

Carey Casciola

From: Grace LeGassick <gracelegassick@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2026 7:55 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Public Comment Item 8a

Hi Carey,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

This technology puts all of our community members at risk, especially under a presidential administration that is increasingly trying to restrict our rights as Americans:

" many city officials have realized after the fact (<https://www.npr.org/2025/11/07/nx-s1-5587724/some-sanctuary-states-discover-feds-mining-local-license-plate-data>) that they were sharing their data more broadly than they had known, and that federal agencies, including U.S. Border Patrol, had searched their data (<https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/>)."

"Another resident referenced an Electronic Frontier Foundation analysis (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2025/11/how-cops-are-using-flock-safetys-alpr-network-surveil-protesters-and-activists>) that found a significant number of police departments had searched Flock's network in connection with protest activity. Multiple speakers brought up a report by the independent tech outlet, 404 Media (<https://www.404media.co/police-said-they-surveilled-woman-who-had-an-abortion-for-her-safety-court-records-show-they-considered-charging-her-with-a-crime/>) , about Texas sheriff's deputies who searched for the car of a woman who had an abortion."

NPR Article, "Why some cities are ditching their Flock license plate readers" <https://www.npr.org/2026/02/17/nx-s1-5612825/flock-contracts-canceled-immigration-surveillance-concerns>

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Grace LeGassick

Carey Casciola

From: Sydney Limberg <sydneylimberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 8, 2026 5:05 AM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Public Comment OCSD 7/8/26 Mtg Agenda item 8a

Hi Carey,

Thank you for accepting public comments via email and relaying them to the Oceano CSD Board. I am writing today asking the board to oppose the 7/8/26 Board Mtg agenda item 8a: the SLO County Sheriff's request to implement a Flock camera at 1681 Front St.

Flock license plate cameras should not be in our community. They put all of our community members at risk due to their AI- powered mass surveillance of our communities, record of inaccuracy and sharing data for non traffic purposes, including colluding with ICE and private companies. To protect all our community members' safety and privacy, please oppose agenda item 8a.

This technology is a massive violation of our privacy. These cameras are overwhelmingly unwanted by our community and have no place here.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Sydney Limberg

Carey Casciola

From: Kelly Donohue <kdonohuedesign@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 8, 2026 10:52 AM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Oceano Resident Opposing the Flock Camera

Dear Oceano CSD,

I am writing to strongly oppose the proposed installation of a Flock camera at and/or near the Sheriff's Station next to the Oceano Community Services District. I am asking you to vote no, cancel the installation, and remove this item from consideration.

This proposal raises serious concerns for me from a privacy, racial equity and community trust perspective. It also worries me for the safety of immigrant and mixed-status families in Oceano. This technology does not simply observe public space in a neutral way. It collects and stores information about where people travel, when they travel, and what patterns exist in their daily lives. For many community members that means routine parts of their lives could become part of a searchable surveillance record.

That is troubling in any community, but it is especially troubling in Oceano, where many Latino families already have understandable fears about law enforcement surveillance, immigration enforcement, and government overreach. Placing this technology next to a public community services district sends the wrong message: that community members accessing local services, or even going to vote, may also be subject to law enforcement monitoring.

Given the Sheriff's Office known support for ICE and how this technology could be used, shared, or accessed in ways that harm immigrant families, I do not see how this increases public safety without bringing harm to the very community it intends to "protect". There is no clarity or transparency about whether data from this surveillance could be shared with outside agencies, including federal immigration authorities.

I'd like evidence that shows that this camera is necessary for public safety, at the expense of civil rights and community trust. Communities should not be asked to accept permanent monitoring without a clear need, a public hearing, community impact assessments and strong policy protections against misuse for immigration and racial profiling.

Oceano residents deserve investments that build safety through trust, like infrastructure and social support. A surveillance camera next to a community services district does not build trust. It deepens fear and sends the message that residents are being watched rather than served.

I urge leadership to reject this proposal and halt any effort to install this camera in Oceano.

Sincerely,

Kelly Donohue
Oceano resident, mother, and community leader

Carey Casciola

From: Sarah Hischier <ssayeed123@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 8, 2026 12:37 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: Opposing Flock Camera

Caution: The sender name (Sarah Hischier) is different from their email address (ssayeed123@gmail.com), which may indicate an impersonation attempt. Verify the email's authenticity with the sender using your organization's trusted contact list before replying or taking further action.

Dear Oceano CSD,

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed installation of a Flock Safety camera at or near the Sheriff's Substation adjacent to the Oceano Community Services District. I respectfully ask that you vote against this proposal and remove it from consideration.

I believe this proposal raises important concerns about privacy, community trust, and the potential unintended consequences of expanded surveillance. While I support efforts to improve public safety, I am not convinced that placing an automated license plate reader at this location is the right approach.

Flock cameras do more than simply observe public spaces. They collect and retain information about vehicle movements and travel patterns, creating records of where people go over time. Many residents, particularly those in immigrant or mixed-status families, may reasonably worry about how this information could be used, who it could be shared with, and whether it could discourage people from accessing public services or participating in civic life.

A surveillance system located next to a public community services district sends a message that residents may be monitored simply for visiting a public facility. That has the potential to erode trust between the community and local government rather than strengthen it.

I would also like to see clear evidence demonstrating that this camera is necessary, effective, and proportionate for improving public safety in this specific location. If surveillance technology is being considered, there should be transparency regarding data retention, access, sharing with outside agencies, and safeguards to prevent misuse or profiling.

Our community deserves investments that both improve safety and preserve the trust of the people who live here. I encourage the Board to reject this proposal unless there is a compelling public need supported by transparent policies and meaningful community input.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hischier
Oceano area resident

Sarah Hischer (Sayeed)
ssayeed123@gmail.com
714.457.0183

Carey Casciola

From: Liliana Luevano <lluevano89@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 8, 2026 1:19 PM
To: Carey Casciola
Subject: No to Flock camera

Hello I can not attend tonight's meeting but I am here writing that I say no to the flock camera intended to be discussed at the meeting.

Liliana Luevano
221 Colt Ln Nipomo CA

Get [Outlook for Android](#)