Pursuant to Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20, members of the Board of Directors, staff and public may participate in this meeting via teleconference and/or electronically. The Oceano Community Services District Boardroom will NOT be open for



accessing the meeting. Notice of Regular Meeting Oceano Community Services District - Board of Directors Agenda WEDNESDAY, May 13, 2020 – 6:00 P.M Location: TELECONFERENCE – SEE BELOW

HOW TO OBSERVE THE MEETING

Telephone: Listen to the meeting live by dialing (669) 900-9128 or (253) 215-8782. Enter Meeting ID# 892-6279-

7188 followed by the pound (#) key. Then enter the Password: 508435 followed by the pound (#) key. If the line is

busy, additional phone numbers can be found on Zoom's website at https://zoom.us/u/abb4GNs5xM

Computer: With internet access use the **Password: 508435** to watch the live streaming at https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89262797188?pwd=Q2IUeVc5THhnZk10TEtOUTITcXRiZz09.

Mobile: Log in through the Zoom Mobile App on a smartphone or tablet and enter **Meeting ID#: 892-6279-7188** then enter the **Password: 508435**.

For information on Zoom's system requirements please visit: <u>https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362023-System-Requirements-for-PC-Mac-and-Linux</u>

This meeting will be conducted using Zoom software, which requires a name/email to be entered prior to accessing the meeting. This is not a District requirement for participation. Public participants are welcome to use an anonymous name/email if preferred.

HOW TO SUBMIT PUBLIC COMMENTS

Before the Meeting: Please email your comments to <u>carey@oceanocsd.org</u> with "Public Comment" in the subject line. In your email please include the agenda item number and title and your comments. If you would like your comment to be read aloud at the meeting (not to exceed three minutes at staff's cadence, approximately 500 words), prominently write "Read Aloud at Meeting" at the top of the email. You may also provide public comment through the District website at: <u>https://oceanocsd.org/contact/</u>. All comments received before 12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting will be included as an agenda supplement on the District's website <u>https://oceanocsd.org/meeting-agendasminutes/agenda-packets/</u> and provided to the Directors prior to the meeting. Comments received after the deadline, but prior to the meeting start time, will be treated as live comments.

Live Comments: During the meeting, the Board President or designee will announce the opportunity for public comment. Members of the public may utilize the "raise hand" feature in Zoom to be placed into the speaking queue. Each individual speaker is limited to a presentation time of THREE (3) minutes per item. Persons wishing to speak on more than one item shall limit his/her remarks to a total of SIX (6) minutes. This time may be allocated between items in one-minute increments up to three minutes. Time limits may not be yielded to or shared with other speakers.

To "Raise Hand:"

• **Telephone**: Prese "*9" to raise your hand to notify meeting host and be placed in the queue. The host will unmute and call on you when it's your time to speak.

• **Computer/Mobile Device:** Click the "raise hand" button to notify meeting host and be placed in the queue. The host will unmute and call on you when it's your time to speak. If the "raise hand" button is not displayed on the screen please click the "participants" icon at the bottom of the screen and the "raise hand" button will appear.

Comments received after the close of public comment will be added to the record after the meeting.

All items on the agenda including information items, may be deliberated. Any member of the public with an interest in one of these items should review the background material and request information on the possible action that could be taken.

1. CALL TO ORDER

- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. FLAG SALUTE

4. AGENDA REVIEW

5. PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

This public comment period provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on matters of interest within the jurisdiction of the District that are not listed on the agenda. If a member of the public wishes to speak at this time, Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes.

6. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS & REPORTS:

A. STAFF REPORTS:

- i. Sheriff's South Station Commander Michael Manuele
- ii. FCFA Operations Chief Steve Lieberman
- iii. Operations Utility System Manager Tony Marraccino
- iv. OCSD General Manager Will Clemens

B. BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND OUTSIDE COMMITTEE REPORTS:

- i. Director Villa
- ii. Director Gibson
- iii. Vice President White
- iv. President Austin
- v. Director Replogle

C. PUBLIC COMMENT ON SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS AND REPORTS:

This public comment period provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on matters discussed during Agenda Item #6 – Special Presentations and Reports. If a member of the public wishes to speak at this time, Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes.

7. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

Public comment Members of the public wishing to speak on consent agenda items may do so when recognized by the Presiding Officer. To facilitate public comment, we request persons wishing to speak to fill out a speak request form and give it to the General Manager. Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes.

- A. Review and Approval of Cash Disbursements
- B. Submittal of the District's Fiscal Year 2019-20 Quarter 3 Treasurer Report
- C. 2019 NCMA Report prepared by GSI Water Solutions Inc.
- D. Approval of a letter of support for the Proposition 1 grant application for stormwater capture & groundwater recharge projects at Oceano Elementary School and on 19th Street between Beach and Paso Robles streets.

8. BUSINESS ITEMS:

Public comment Members of the public wishing to speak on business items may do so when recognized by the Presiding Officer. To facilitate public comment, we request persons wishing to speak to fill out a speak request form and give it to the General Manager. Public comment is limited to three (3) minutes.

A. Review of the District's Budget Status as of March 31, 2020

9. HEARING ITEMS:

- **10. RECEIVED WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:**
- 11. LATE RECEIVED WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:
- 12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS: District Policies, Roles and Responsibilities with Related Agencies, Five Cities Fire Authority, District Rules and Regulations, Cienaga Seabreeze Park, Inc. Continued, Deferred Infrastructure Program, Lopez Water Contract Amendments, Wastewater CIP, The Place, EIR State Parks PWP, Old Firehouse Art, California Voting Rights Act
- **13. FUTURE HEARING ITEMS:**
- 14. CLOSED SESSION:
- 15. ADJOURNMENT:

This agenda was prepared and posted pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2. Agenda is posted at the Oceano Community Services District, 1655 Front Street, Oceano, CA. Agenda and reports can be accessed and downloaded from the Oceano Community Services District website at <u>www.oceanocsd.org</u>

ASSISTANCE FOR THE DISABLED If you are disabled in any way and need accommodation to participate in the Board meeting, please call the Clerk of the Board at (805) 481-6730 for assistance at least three (3) working days prior to the meeting so necessary arrangements can be made.



Oceano Community Services District

1655 Front Street, P.O. Box 599, Oceano, CA 93475

PHONE(805) 481-6730 FAX (805) 481-6836

Date: May 13, 2020

- To: Board of Directors
- From: Carey Casciola, Business and Accounting Manager

Subject: Agenda Item #7(A): Recommendation to Approve Cash Disbursements

Recommendation

It is recommended that your board approve the attached cash disbursements:

Discussion

The following is a summary of the attached cash disbursements:

Description	Check Sequence		Amounts
	58366 - 58387		
Disbursements Requiring Board Approval prior to Payment:		7	
Regular Payable Register - paid 05/13/2020	58366 - 58382	\$	17,665.84
Subtotal:		\$	17,665.84
Reoccrring Payments for Board Review (authorized by Resolution 2018-11):			
Payroll Disbursements - PPE 04/25/2020	N/A	\$	29,656.42
Reoccurring Utility Disbursements - paid 05/13/2020	58383 - 58386	\$	4,667.00
Reoccurring Health Disbursements - paid 05/13/2020	58387	\$	8,831.80
Subtotal:		\$	43,155.22
Grand Total:		\$	60,821.06

Other Agency Involvement

N/A

Other Financial Considerations

Amounts are within the authorized Fund level budgets.

Results

The Board's review of cash disbursements is an integral component of the District's system of internal controls and promotes a well governed community.

5/01/2020 3:31 PM COMPANY: 99 - POOLE ACCOUNT: 1-1001-000 TYPE: All STATUS: All FOLIO: All	/2020 3:31 PM ANY: 99 - POOLED CASH FUND INT: 1-1001-000 POOLED CASH OPERATING All JS: All D: All		CHECK RECONCILIATION REGISTER	CHECK DA CLEAR DA STATEMEN VOIDED D AMOUNT: CHECK NU	TE: TE: T: ATE: MBER:	0/00/0 0/00/0 0/00/0 0/00/0 0.00 0.58	9000 THRU 99 9000 THRU 99 9000 THRU 99 9000 THRU 99 9000 THRU 99 1000 THRU 999,99 366 THRU	PAGE: 1 9/99/9999 9/99/9999 9/99/9999 9/99/9999 99,999.99 058382
ACCOUNT	DATETYPE	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	STATUS	FOLIO	CLEAR DATE	Ξ
CHECK: -								
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058366	R&R ROLL-OFF LLC	607.22CR	OUTSTNI	d A	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058367	PETTY CASH	8.19CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058368	BRISCO'S	17.77CR	OUTSTNI	d A	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058369	WHITE, KAREN M.	300.00CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058370	COASTLINE EQUIPMENT	2,573.63CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	ANNUAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058371	ADAMSKI MOROSKI MADDEN CUMBERL	10,985.00CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	LEGAL SERVICES FOR MARCH 2020
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058372	BURDINE PRINTING & GRAPHICS	319.14CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058373	HEACOCK TRAILERS & TRUCK ACCES	14.31CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058374	ARAMARK	220.40CR	OUTSTNI	d A	0/00/0000	C
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058375	TARGET SOLUTIONS LEARNING, LLC	1,477.70CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	ANNUAL FEE - ONLINE TRAINING/MGT SYSTEM
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058376	REPLOGLE, CYNTHIA	200.00CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058377	FAMCON PIPE & SUPPLY, INC.	45.05CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058378	BRENT SARKISON DBA CALTEC COMP	30.00CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058379	CLINICAL LAB OF SAN BERNARDINO	380.00CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058380	J.B. DEWAR, INC.	155.89CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058381	MINER'S ACE HARDWARE, INC.	101.29CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
1-1001-000	5/01/2020 CHECK	058382	SLO CO ASSESSOR	230.25CR	OUTSTNI	DA	0/00/0000	0
TOTALS FOR ACCOUNT	1-1001-0		CHECK TOTAL: DEPOSIT TOTAL: INTEREST TOTAL: MISCELLANEOUS TOTAL: SERVICE CHARGE TOTAL: EFT TOTAL: BANK-DRAFT TOTAL:	17,665.84CR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				

5/01/2020) 3:31 PM				CHECK RECONCIL	IATION REGISTER			PAGE: 2
COMPANY :	99 - POOLEI	CASH FUND					CHECK DATE:	0/00/0000 THRU	99/99/9999
ACCOUNT:	1-1001-000	POOLED	CASH OF	PERATING			CLEAR DATE:	0/00/0000 THRU	99/99/9999
TYPE:	All						STATEMENT:	0/00/0000 THRU	99/99/9999
STATUS:	All						VOIDED DATE:	0/00/0000 THRU	99/99/9999
FOLIO:	All						AMOUNT:	0.00 THRU 999	,999,999.99
							CHECK NUMBER:	058366 THRU	058382
ACCOU	JNT	DATE ·	TYPE	- NUMBER	DESCRI	PTION	AMOUNT STATUS	FOLIO CLEAR D	ATE
TOTALS H	FOR POOLED CA	ASH FUND			CHECK	TOTAL:	17,665.84CR		
					DEPOSIT	TOTAL:	0.00		
					INTEREST	TOTAL:	0.00		
					MISCELLANEOUS	TOTAL:	0.00		
					SERVICE CHARGE	TOTAL:	0.00		
					EFT	TOTAL:	0.00		
					BANK-DRAFT	TOTAL:	0.00		

Payroll Summary Report Board of Directors - Agenda Date May 13, 2020

	(*)	
Gross Wages	4/11/2020	4/25/2020
Regular	\$25,969.31	\$25,969.31
Overtime Wages	\$358.60	\$217.60
Stand By	\$600.00	\$450.00
Gross Wages	\$26,927.91	\$26,636.91
Cell Phone Allowance	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Wages	\$26,927.91	\$26,636.91
<u>Disbursements</u>		
Net Wages	\$20,439.63	\$20,821.84
State and Federal Agencies	\$5,429.03	\$4,751.60
CalPERS - Normal	\$3,934.26	\$3,934.26
SEIU - Union Fees	\$148.72	\$148.72
Total Disbursements processed with Payroll	\$29,951.64	\$29,656.42
Health (Disbursed with reoccurring bills)	\$5,966.99	\$5,966.99
Total District Payroll Related Costs	\$35,918.63	\$35,623.41

(*) Previously reported in prior Board Meeting packet - provided for comparison.

5/01/2020 3:30 PM COMPANY: 99 - POOLE ACCOUNT: 1-1001-000 TYPE: All STATUS: All FOLIO: All	ED CASH FUN POOLE	D D CASH OPE	RATING	CHECK RECONCIL	IATION REGISTER	CHECK DA CLEAR DA STATEMEN VOIDED D AMOUNT: CHECK NU	TE: TE: T: ATE: MBER:	0/00/0 0/00/0 0/00/0 0/00/0 0.00 058	PA 1000 THRU 99/ 1000 THRU 99/ 1000 THRU 99/ 1000 THRU 999,999 3383 THRU	GE: 1 99/9999 99/9999 99/9999 99/9999 ,999.99 058386
ACCOUNT	DATE	TYPE	NUMBER	DESCRI	PTION	AMOUNT	STATUS	FOLIO	CLEAR DATE	
CHECK: -										
1-1001-000	5/01/2020	CHECK	058383	DE LAGE LANDEN	FINANCIAL SERVI	150.15CR	OUTSTNI	A C	0/00/0000	
1-1001-000	5/01/2020	CHECK	058384	VERIZON WIRELES	S	237.55CR	OUTSTNI	A C	0/00/0000	
1-1001-000	5/01/2020	CHECK	058385	PACIFIC GAS & E	LECTRIC	4,049.25CR	OUTSTNI	A C	0/00/0000	
1-1001-000	5/01/2020	CHECK	058386	COASTAL COPY, I	NC.	230.05CR	OUTSTNI	A C	0/00/0000	
TOTALS FOR ACCOUNT	1-1001-0			CHECK DEPOSIT INTEREST MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGE EFT BANK-DRAFT	TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL:	4,667.00CR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				
TOTALS FOR FOOLED C	CASH FUND			CHECK DEPOSIT INTEREST MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGE EFT BANK-DRAFT	TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL:	4,667.00CR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				

5/01/2020 3:29 PM COMPANY: 99 - POOL ACCOUNT: 1-1001-000	ED CASH FUN POOLE	D D CASH OPE	CRATING	CHECK RECONCIL	JATION REGISTER	CHECK DA CLEAR DA	TE: TE:	0/00/0	PAG 000 THRU 99/9 000 THRU 99/9	E: 1 9/9999 9/9999
STATUS: All FOLIO: All						VOIDED D. AMOUNT: CHECK NU	ATE: MBER:	0/00/0 0.00 058	000 THRU 99/9 THRU 999,999, 387 THRU	9/9999 999.99 058387
ACCOUNT	DATE	TYPE	NUMBER	DESCRI	PTION	AMOUNT	STATUS	FOLIO	CLEAR DATE	
CHECK:	5/01/2020	СНЕСК	058387	BLUE SHIELD OF	CALIFORNIA	8.831 80CB	OUTSTN		0/00/0000	
TOTALS FOR ACCOUNT	1-1001-0			CHECK DEPOSIT INTEREST MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGE EFT BANK-DRAFT	TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL:	8,831.80CR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	001011	-	0,00,0000	
TOTALS FOR POOLED	CASH FUND			CHECK DEPOSIT INTEREST MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGE EFT BANK-DRAFT	TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL: TOTAL:	8,831.80CR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				



Oceano Community Services District

1655 Front Street, P.O. Box 599, Oceano, CA 93475 (805) 481-6730 FAX (805) 481-6836

Date:	May 13, 2020
То:	Board of Directors
From:	Carey Casciola, Business and Accounting Manager
Subject:	Agenda Item #7(B): Submittal of the District's Fiscal Year 2019-20 Quarter 3 Treasurer Report

Recommendation

It is recommended that your Board receive and file the District's 2019-20 Quarter 3 Treasurer Report.

Discussion

Government Code section 61053(f) requires the District Treasurer to report to the Board of Directors quarterly regarding receipts, disbursements and balances in each account controlled by the District. At the January 22nd meeting, your Board approved Resolution 2020-01 to adopt the District's 2020 Investment Policy which is required by Government Code section 53646(A)(2). Section 7 of the Investment Policy requires the Financial Officer/Treasurer to provide a quarterly report. The attached worksheet has been prepared to review the District's third quarter report for fiscal year 2019-20.

The District holds accounts with the County of San Luis Obispo and Mechanics Bank. Attachment "A" provides a summary of each account held by the District which have been reconciled against the District's general ledger.

Other Agency Involvement

The County of San Luis Obispo

Other Financial Considerations

The fiscal year 2019-20 Quarter 3 budget review is business item 8(A) of this agenda package.

Results

Establishing compliance with both Government Code 61000-61250 regarding Community Services Districts and the District's Investment Policy will help ensure that the District's funds are managed properly and promotes a prosperous and well-governed community.

• Attachment A – 2019-20 3rd Quarter Treasurer Report

Oceano Community Services District 2019-20 Treasurer Report - Quarter 3

Account	<u>Month</u>	Beginning Balance	<u>Credits</u>	<u>Debits</u>	Ending Balance	<u>Quarter Ending</u> <u>Balance</u>
County of San Luis Obispo Accounts:						
Oceano CSD - Water Rev - 31215	Jan-20	\$106.50	\$0.55	\$0.00	\$107.05	
(Revenue Bond)	Feb-20	\$107.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$107.05	
	Mar-20	\$107.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$107.05	\$107.05
Oceano CSD - 41005	Jan-20	\$2,209,192.17	\$54,632.21	(\$737,506.95)	\$1,526,317.43	
	Feb-20	\$1,526,317.43	\$34,048.77	(\$919.14)	\$1,559,447.06	
	Mar-20	\$1,559,447.06	\$80,955.50	(\$15,091.15)	\$1,625,311.41	\$1,625,311.41
Oceano CSD - 41045	Jan-20	\$4.69	\$0.03	\$0.00	\$4.72	
(Sanitary District Bond - Paid Off)	Feb-20	\$4.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.72	
	Mar-20	\$4.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.72	\$4.72
Mechanics/ Rabobank Accounts:					County Total	\$1,625,423.18
Public Checking - 1101	Jan-20	\$801,444.61	\$75,732.24	(\$192,981.35)	\$684,195.50	
	Feb-20	\$684,195.50	\$369,108.99	(\$406,253.72)	\$647,050.77	
	Mar-20	\$647,050.77	\$64,046.19	(\$187,762.50)	\$523,334.46	\$523,334.46
Public Investment Money Market - 5783	Jan-20	\$29,162.96	\$4.95	(\$25.00)	\$29,142.91	
	Feb-20	\$29,142.91	\$4.47	(\$25.00)	\$29,122.38	
	Mar-20	\$29,122.38	\$5.11	(\$25.00)	\$29,102.49	\$29,102.49
Public Fund CD - 7655	Jan-20	\$24,277.67	\$12.54	\$0.00	\$24,290.21	
(Water Fund Deposit)	Feb-20	\$24,290.21	\$12.55	\$0.00	\$24,302.76	
	Mar-20	\$24,302.76	\$11.75	\$0.00	\$24,314.51	\$24,314.51
Public Investment Money Market - 0161	Jan-20	\$262,234.26	\$44.55	\$0.00	\$262,278.81	
(Public Facilities Fees)	Feb-20	\$262,278.81	\$40.24	\$0.00	\$262,319.05	
·	Mar-20	\$262,319.05	\$46.00	\$0.00	\$262,365.05	\$262,365.05
					Rabobank Total	\$839,116.51

Total \$2,464,539.69



Oceano Community Services District

1655 Front Street, P.O. Box 599, Oceano, CA 93475 (805) 481-6730 FAX (805) 481-6836

Date: May 13, 2020	
--------------------	--

- To: Board of Directors
- From: Will Clemens, General Manager

Subject: Agenda Item #7(C): 2019 NCMA Report prepared by GSI Water Solutions Inc.

Recommendation

It is recommended that your Board receive and file the 2019 NCMA Report prepared by GSI Water Solutions Inc.

Discussion

The preparation of an annual report for the Northern Cities Management Area (NCMA) is required by the 2005 Settlement Stipulation and 2008 Judgement for the Santa Maria Valley Groundwater Basin. Attached is the 2019 Annual Report.

In summary, each of the NCMA agencies are pumping groundwater to varying degrees but overall NCMA pumping has been significantly reduced. As a result, the health of the basin is improving slightly with increasing groundwater levels and storage within the basin as compared to 2018. However, the condition of the basin is still in a tenuous position with little ability to withstand future droughts. The District has been relying mostly on surface supplies from Lopez lake recently with the ability to have surplus water from Lopez delivered to Oceano. This has allowed minimum groundwater pumping from the basin and deliveries of state water. Surplus water from Lopez is the cheapest water supply to the community and the District will continue to maximize this supply in the near future with a 121 AF minimum estimated surplus water available to the District during the water year beginning on April 1, 2020.

District legal counsel and staff have been participating in the "meet and confer" efforts that are part of the groundwater litigation and providing the Board of Directors with updates during closed session, as provided by the Brown Act and to maintain the legal confidentiality requirements of those discussions.



Board of Directors Meeting

Other Agency Involvement

The Cities of Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach are the other agencies in the Northern Cities Management Area responsible for the preparation of the annual report.

Other Financial Considerations

There are no financial impacts as a result of this item.

Results

Groundwater monitoring, analysis, and reporting are important efforts that provide information necessary for the long-term appropriate management of groundwater. It will lead to improved reliability of drinking water supplies, which promotes health and safety and livable communities. Cooperating with other local agencies promotes well-governed communities.

Attachments:

a. 2019 NCMA Annual Report



FINAL

Northern Cities Management Area Technical Group

Northern Cities Management Area 2019 Annual Monitoring Report

Prepared for

City of Arroyo Grande
City of Grover Beach
City of Community Services District
City of Pismo Beach

April 23, 2020

Prepared by: **GSI Water Solutions, Inc.** 5855 Capistrano Avenue, Suite C, Atascadero, CA 93422 This page intentionally left blank.

Northern Cities Management Area 2019 Annual Monitoring Report

This report was prepared by the staff of GSI Water Solutions, Inc., in collaboration with GEI Consultants, Inc., under the supervision of professionals whose signatures appear below. The findings or professional opinion were prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and geologic practice.



Paul a. foren

Paul A. Sorensen, PG, CHg Principal Hydrogeologist Project Manager



Nathan R. Page, PG Consulting Hydrogeologist

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Groundwater Conditions	1
Groundwater Levels	1
Change in Groundwater in Storage	2
Groundwater Quality	3
Water Supply and Production/Deliveries	3
Threats to Water Supply	4
SECTION 1: Introduction	1
1.1 History of the Litigation	1
1.2 Description of the NCMA Technical Group	2
1.3 NCMA TG Mission Statement	3
1.4 Coordination with Management Areas	3
1.5 Development of Monitoring Program	4
1.6 Groundwater Monitoring Network	4
1.7 Recent and Ongoing Strategic Initiatives	5
1.7.1 Strategic Plan	5
1.7.2 Central Coast Blue	6
1.7.3 Phase 1B Groundwater Model	6
SECTION 2: Basin Setting	9
2.1 Setting	9
2.2 Precipitation	9
2.3 Evapotranspiration	9
2.4 Geology and Hydrogeology	10
2.5 Groundwater Flow	
SECTION 3: Groundwater Conditions	13
3.1 Groundwater Levels	13
3.1.1 Groundwater Level Contour Maps	13
3.1.2 Historical Water Level Trends	14
3.1.3 Sentry Wells and the Deep Well Index	14
3.2 Change in Groundwater in Storage	16
3.3 Water Quality	
3.3.1 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring	16
3.3.2 Analytical Results Summary	17
SECTION 4: Water Supply and Production/Delivery	19
4.1 Water Supply	19
4.1.1 Lopez Lake	19
4.1.2 State Water Project	21
4.1.3 Groundwater	
4.1.4 Developed Water	24
4.1.5 Uther Supplies	24
4.1.0 Total water Supply Availability	24
4.2 Walei USE	∠1 27
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

4.2.2	Rural Use	
4.2.3	Urban Production for Potable Use	
4.2.4	2019 Groundwater Pumpage	
4.2.5	Changes in Water Production	35
SECTION 5: C	comparison of Water Supply and Water Production	37
SECTION 6: T	hreats to Water Supply	41
6.1 Thr	eats to Local Groundwater Supply	41
6.1.1	Declining Water Levels	41
6.1.2	Seawater Intrusion	41
6.1.3	Measures to Avoid Seawater Intrusion	42
6.2 Thr	eats to State Water Project Supply	43
6.3 Thr	eats to Lopez Lake Water Supply	43
SECTION 7: N	lanagement Activities	45
7.1 Ma	nagement Objectives	45
7.1.1	Share Groundwater Resources and Manage Pumping	48
7.1.2	Enhance Management of NCMA Groundwater	49
7.1.3	Monitor Supply and Demand and Share Information	50
7.1.4	Manage Groundwater Levels and Prevent Seawater Intrusion	51
7.1.5	Protect Groundwater Quality	53
7.1.6	Manage Cooperatively	54
7.1.7	Encourage Water Conservation	55
7.1.8	Evaluate Alternative Sources of Supply	60
SECTION 8: F	References	62

Tables

Table ES- 1. 2019 Water Production by Source (AF)	4
Table 1. NCMA TG Representatives	2
Table 2. Lopez Lake (SLOFCWCD Zone 3 Contractors) Water Allocations (AFY)	19
Table 3. Lopez Lake Municipal Diversion LRRP Reduction Strategy	20
Table 4. Lopez Lake Downstream Release LRRP Reduction Strategy	20
Table 5. Lopez Lake 2019 Deliveries	21
Table 6. 2019 NCMA SWP Deliveries	22
Table 7. NCMA Groundwater Allotment and Production from Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, 2019	24
Table 8. Baseline (Full Allotment) Available Urban Water Supplies (AFY)	25
Table 9. 2019 Available Urban Water Supply, (AF)	26
Table 10. 2019 NCMA Crop Acreages and Calculated Evapotranspiration	28
Table 11. Flow Terms Used in Root Zone Routing for IDC Model	29
Table 12. 2019 IDC Model Results of Monthly Applied Water	31
Table 13. Estimated Rural Water Production	32

Table 14. Urban Water Production for Potable Use (Groundwater and Surface Water, AF)	33
Table 15. NCMA Groundwater Pumpage from Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, 2019 (AF)	34
Table 16. Total Water Use (Groundwater and Surface Water, AF)	35
Table 17. Water Production by Source, 2019 (AF)	38

Figures

All figures are presented at the end of the report.

- Figure 1. Santa Maria Groundwater Basin
- Figure 2. Northern Cities Management Area
- Figure 3. Locations of Monitoring Wells
- Figure 4. Depths of Monitoring Wells
- Figure 5. Annual Precipitation 1950 to 2019
- Figure 6. Location of Precipitation Stations
- Figure 7. Monthly and Average Precipitation and Evapotranspiration
- Figure 8. Groundwater Elevation Contours Spring 2019
- Figure 9. Groundwater Elevation Contours Fall 2019
- Figure 10. Selected Hydrographs
- Figure 11. Sentry Well Hydrographs
- Figure 12. Hydrograph of Deep Well Index Elevation
- Figure 13. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 24B03
- Figure 14. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 30F03
- Figure 15. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 30N02
- Figure 16. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 36L01
- Figure 17. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 36L02
- Figure 18. Water Elevation, Conductivity, and Temperature, Well 32C03
- Figure 19. Change in Groundwater Elevation, Deep Aquifer System, April 2018 to April 2019
- Figure 20. Change in Groundwater Elevation, Alluvial Aquifer, April 2018 to April 2019
- Figure 21. Chloride Concentrations in Monitoring Wells
- Figure 22. Total Dissolved Solids Concentrations in Monitoring Wells
- Figure 23. Piper Diagram of Water Quality in Select Monitoring Wells
- Figure 24. Locations of Municipal Production Wells
- Figure 25. NCMA Agricultural Land 2019
- Figure 26. 2019 NCMA Estimated Agricultural Water Demand and Monthly Precipitation at the SLO No. 795 Gauge
- Figure 27. Municipal Water Use by Source
- Figure 28. Total Water Use (Urban, Rural, Ag) by Source
- Figure 29. Historical TDS, Chloride and Sodium, Index Wells and 30N03
- Figure 30. Historical TDS, Chloride and Sodium, Wells 30N02, MW-Blue, and 36L01

Appendix

Appendix A NCMA Sentry Well Water Level and Water Quality Data

Abbreviations and Acronyms

2007 County IRWMP	2007 San Luis Obispo County Integrated Regional Water Management Plan		
2019 Annual Report	Northern Cities Management Area 2019 Annual Monitoring Report		
ACO	San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Commissioner's Office		
AFY	acre-feet per year		
Arroyo Grande	City of Arroyo Grande		
CIMIS	California Irrigation Management Information System		
County	San Luis Obispo County		
CSA	County Service Area		
CUP	Consumptive Use Program		
DDW	Division of Drinking Water		
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta		
DRI	Desert Research Institute		
DWR	California Department of Water Resources		
ET	evapotranspiration		
Grover Beach	City of Grover Beach		
gpcd	gallons per capita daily		
IDC	2015 Integrated Water Flow Model Demand Calculator		
IWFM	2015 Integrated Water Flow Model		
LRRP	Low Reservoir Response Plan		
NAVD 88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988		
NCMA Monitoring Program	Monitoring Program for the Northern Cities Management Area		
NCMA	Northern Cities Management Area		
Nipomo station	Nipomo Station (No. 202)		
NMMA	Nipomo Mesa Management Area		
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service		
Oceano CSD	Oceano Community Services District		
OCSD	Oceano Community Services District		
PE	Professional Engineer		
PG&E	Pacific Gas & Electric		
Pismo Beach	City of Pismo Beach		
SGMA	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act		
SLOFCWCD	County of San Luis Obispo Flood Control and Water Conservation District		
SMGB	Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin		
SMGBMA	Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Management Area		

- SMVMA Santa Maria Valley Management Area
- SSLOCSD South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District
 - SWP California State Water Project
 - SWRCB State Water Resources Control Board
 - TDS total dissolved solids
 - TG NCMA Technical Group
 - UWMP Urban Water Management Plan

Executive Summary

The 2019 Annual Monitoring Report for the Northern Cities Management Area (NCMA) (Annual Report) is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the 2005 Stipulation for the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Adjudication (2005 Stipulation) and the January 25, 2008 Judgment After Trial (2008 Judgment). This 2019 Annual Report provides an assessment of hydrologic conditions for the NCMA based on data collected during the calendar year of record. As specified in the Judgment, the NCMA agencies, consisting of the City of Arroyo Grande, City of Grover Beach, City of Pismo Beach, and Oceano Community Services District (OCSD), regularly monitor groundwater in the NCMA and analyze other data pertinent to water supply and demand, including the following:

- Land and water uses in the Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin (SMGB or basin)
- Sources of supply to meet water demand
- Groundwater conditions (including water levels and water quality)
- Amount and disposition of NCMA water supplies that are not groundwater

Results of the data compilation and analysis for calendar year 2019 are documented and discussed in this 2019 Annual Report.

Groundwater Conditions

During 2019, water elevations generally increased slightly throughout most of the NCMA portion of the SMGB in response to above-average rainfall in 2019. The generally observed increase in water levels throughout most of the area can be also be attributed to ongoing efforts by all NCMA agencies to minimize groundwater extraction and maximize surface water supply sources while maintaining the water conservation practices and requirements of the recent drought.

Groundwater Levels

The best indicator of whether the NCMA portion of the SMGB can prevent seawater intrusion is the water elevation in the NCMA sentry wells near the coastline. The average water elevations of three of the key sentry wells make up a Deep Well Index. This index was developed by the NCMA in 2007 to gauge the health of the aquifer. A Deep Well Index value above 7.5 feet above sea level generally indicates that sufficient freshwater flow occurs from the east to the coastline to prevent seawater intrusion. History has shown that a prolonged period in which the Deep Well Index level is below 7.5 feet develops groundwater conditions that pose a risk of seawater intrusion.

Spring 2019. In the mostly urbanized areas north of Arroyo Grande Creek, groundwater is extracted from the deep groundwater aquifers of the Paso Robles Formation and the Careaga sandstone. The water elevation contours in the deep aquifer system in spring of 2019 generally showed a westerly to southwesterly groundwater flow (see Figure 8). These positive groundwater gradients have been developed and maintained primarily because the NCMA agencies have collaborated on water management and conservation efforts. Those efforts have been in response to lower water levels in the Deep Well Index. The combined NCMA efforts are to ensure that flow to the ocean continues to prevent seawater intrusion. April 2019 groundwater elevations in the deep aquifer system main production zone along the coast ranged from 7.5 to 11.1 feet North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD 88).¹ Because

¹ North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). Note that NAVD 88 is 2.72 feet lower than mean sea level, as recorded at the Port San Luis tide station datum. https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/datums.html?id=9412110

of a limited number of wells and water level data in the southernmost portion of the area dominated by sensitive-species dunes and California State Parks land, the groundwater gradient and flow are generally inferred on the basis of historical records and trends as well as water level data from the Nipomo Mesa Management Area (NMMA) farther east.

- The Cienega Valley is in the central area of the NCMA south of Arroyo Grande Creek. Agricultural groundwater production resulted in seasonal drawdown of the alluvial aquifer. Groundwater elevations in the alluvial aquifer in the Cienega Valley were in the range of 10 to 30 feet NAVD 88 in spring 2019. These data show an increase in alluvial groundwater elevations by as much as 13 feet from April 2018 to April 2019. During the recent drought, spring alluvial groundwater elevations showed a subdued pumping trough in the Cienega Valley, with groundwater elevations generally below sea level (NAVD 88) in the center of the depression.
- Fall 2019. Groundwater level contours for October 2019 are presented in Figure 9. Groundwater elevations in the alluvial aquifer within the Cienega Valley in October 2019 were 8 to 28 feet lower than elevations in April 2019. These conditions are consistent with the pumping depressions observed historically during the fall within the Cienega Valley. The alluvial groundwater elevation in the pumping depression in October 2019, at (-) 17.6 feet NAVD 88, was 2 feet lower than was present in October 2018. October 2019 groundwater elevations in the deep aquifer system main production zone along the coast ranged from 6.1 to 8.3 feet NAVD 88.
- Deep Wells. In 2019, the Deep Well Index started the year above the trigger value with an index value of more than 9 feet in January. It continued to rise, reached a high more than 11 feet in late March, and then began to decline. The index value dropped down to the 7.5-foot trigger value briefly in late October and then immediately began to rise. The index value continued to rise throughout the end of the year and finished 2019 at about 10.5 feet NAVD 88.
- NCMA/NMMA Boundary. The water elevation in the San Luis Obispo County monitoring well (Well 32CO3) installed to monitor aquifer conditions along the NCMA/NMMA boundary typically exhibits regular seasonal fluctuations. Similar to 2018, the water elevation in the well remained above sea level throughout all of 2019, in contrast to the 2013 through 2016 period when the water levels in the NCMA/NMMA boundary area typically dropped below sea level in August and remained at a low elevation until early October.

Change in Groundwater in Storage

The change in groundwater in storage in the NCMA portion of the SMGB between April 2018 and April 2019 was estimated on the basis of a comparison of water level contour maps created for these periods. Separate estimates of change in groundwater in storage were computed for both the deep aquifer system and for the alluvial aquifer and then summed together to represent the total NCMA estimated change in groundwater in storage. Comparison of April water levels was chosen to comply with the California Department of Water Resources reporting requirements under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) to calculate an annual change of groundwater in storage.

An increase of groundwater in storage is a reflection of a net increase in water levels across the aquifer. During the period of April 2018 to April 2019, the NCMA portion of the SMGB experienced a net increase of groundwater in storage. The net increase in groundwater levels represented an increase of groundwater in storage from April 2018 to April 2019 of approximately 1,500 acre-feet (AF); that is, there was approximately 1,500 AF more groundwater stored in the NCMA portion of the SMGB in April 2019 than in April 2018.

Groundwater Quality

Analytical results of key water quality data (chloride, total dissolved solids [TDS], and sodium) in 2019 were generally consistent with historical concentrations and observed ranges of constituent concentrations. In general, no water quality results were observed that are a cause of concern.

None of the water quality results from monitoring wells throughout 2019 indicate an incipient episode or immediate threat of seawater intrusion. Incipient seawater intrusion was indicated in 2009 by elevated concentrations of TDS, sodium, and chloride (i.e., water quality degradation) in wells 30N02, 30N03, and MW-Blue, all of which are screened in the Paso Robles Formation. No indications of seawater intrusion have been observed in wells screened in the underlying Careaga sandstone. As TDS, sodium, and chloride concentrations declined following the 2009–2010 seasons, the location and inland extent of the seawater-freshwater interface is unknown.

Water Supply and Production/Deliveries

- Total water use in the NCMA in 2019 (including urban use by the NCMA agencies as well as agricultural irrigation and private pumping by rural water users) was 8,296 AF. Except for the water usage in 2016, this is the lowest estimated total water use in the past 30 years or more. Of this amount, Lopez Lake deliveries were 4,385 AF, State Water Project deliveries totaled 567 AF, and groundwater pumping from the NCMA portion of the SMGB accounted for approximately 3,320 AF. This is the lowest production volume from the SMGB in more than 20 years. The City of Arroyo Grande produced 24 AF from its Pismo Formation wells, outside the SMGB, in 2019. The breakdown is shown in Table ES- 1 (following page).
- Urban water use in 2019 among the NCMA agencies was 5,660 AF, the second-lowest urban water use in the past 20 years (second only to 2016, at 5,477 AF). Urban water use has ranged from 5,477 AF (2016) to 8,982 AF (2007). Water use generally declined from 2007 to 2016—with only slight increases in the trend in 2012 and 2013—and has only varied slightly since 2016. The decline in pumpage since 2013 was in direct response to a state-wide order by the governor to reduce the amount of water used in urban areas by 25 percent. That goal has been achieved locally by conservation activities implemented by the NCMA agencies.
- Agricultural acreage has remained fairly constant. Thus, the annual applied water requirement for agricultural irrigation has been relatively stable though it varies with weather conditions. Acknowledging the variability resulting from weather conditions, agricultural applied water is not expected to change significantly given the relative stability of applied irrigation acreage and cropping patterns in the NCMA. Changes in rural domestic pumping have not been significant.

Source	Lopez Lake	State Water Project	SMGB Groundwater	Other Supplies ¹	Total		
Urban Area							
Arroyo Grande	2,033	0	81	24	2,138		
Grover Beach	782	0	412	0	1,194		
Pismo Beach	1048	556	44	0	1,648		
Oceano CSD	522	11	147	0	680		
Urban Water Use Total	4,385	567	684	24	5,660		
Non-Urban Area							
Agricultural Irrigation Applied Water	0	0	2,506	0	2,506		
Rural Water Users	0	0	82	0	82		
Non-potable Irrigation by Arroyo Grande	0	0	48	0	48		
Total	4,385	567	3,320	24	8,296		

Table ES- 1. 2019 Water Production by Source (AF)

Notes:

¹ Other Supplies includes groundwater pumped from outside the NCMA boundaries.

AF = acre-feet, SMGB = Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, CSD = Community Services District

Threats to Water Supply

- Total groundwater pumping (urban, agriculture, and rural domestic) from the SMGB in the NCMA was 3,320 AF in 2019, which is 35 percent of the calculated 9,500 acre-feet per year (AFY) long-term basin yield of the NCMA portion of the SMGB.
- When pumping is less than the yield of an aquifer, groundwater in storage increases, as evidenced by rising water levels. Groundwater elevations throughout the NCMA portion of the SMGB should rise significantly if several consecutive years of groundwater pumping continues at 30 to 40 percent of the safe yield. Although groundwater levels increased some during 2019 as a result of the relatively wet rainfall year, the data show that the aquifer is still in a tenuous position. The fragile health of the aquifer is illustrated by water elevations at just a few feet above sea level, coupled with the formation of a pumping depression in the alluvial aquifer within the Cienega Valley just west of the NCMA/NMMA boundary. The data indicate that the aquifer has very little ability to withstand any future droughts. Any increase in

regional pumping, or any other changes that reduce recharge, either directly or through subsurface outflow to the east (Nipomo Mesa) will leave the NCMA with a serious groundwater deficit that may result in seawater intrusion.

- Historically, there has been a groundwater high between the NCMA and NMMA, which caused groundwater in the NCMA to flow westward towards the ocean, thereby acting to prevent seawater intrusion. The welldocumented pumping depression within the deep aquifer system in the NMMA to the east appears to have lowered the historical groundwater high between the NCMA and the NMMA (as documented in NMMA annual reports). With the loss of this groundwater high, there has been a reversal of groundwater gradients. The apparent development of a landward gradient in the southern portion of the NCMA including the elimination of the groundwater divide likely reduces the historical recharge volume of subsurface inflow into the NCMA. The reduction of groundwater flow to the NCMA creates conditions more likely to result in seawater intrusion in the NCMA and NMMA.
- During 2019, there were no indications of seawater intrusion but any increase of groundwater pumping or decrease in rainfall can quickly result in a greater risk of seawater intrusion.

This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 1: Introduction

This Northern Cities Management Area 2019 Annual Monitoring Report (2019 Annual Report, or Annual Report) summarizes hydrologic conditions for calendar year 2019 in the Northern Cities Management Area (NCMA) of the Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin (SMGB or the basin) in San Luis Obispo County (County), California (Figure 1). This report was prepared on behalf of four public agencies collectively referred to as the Northern Cities, which include the City of Arroyo Grande (Arroyo Grande), City of Grover Beach (Grover Beach), City of Pismo Beach (Pismo Beach), and the Oceano Community Services District (OCSD; Oceano CSD)^{2,3} (Figure 2). These agencies, along with local landowners, the County, and the County of San Luis Obispo Flood Control & Water Conservation District (SLOFCWCD) have managed local surface water and groundwater resources since the late 1970s to preserve the long-term integrity of water supplies.

1.1 History of the Litigation

The rights to pump groundwater from the SMGB have been in litigation (adjudication) since the late 1990s. The physical solution set forth in the 2005 Stipulation for the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Adjudication (2005 Stipulation) and the January 25, 2008 Judgment After Trial (2008 Judgment)⁴ established requirements and goals for the management of the entire SMGB. The Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara (Court) established three separate management areas, including the NCMA, the Nipomo Mesa Management Area (NMMA), and the Santa Maria Valley Management Area (SMVMA). The Court mandated that each management area form a technical group to monitor the groundwater conditions of its area, to continuously assess the hydrologic conditions of each area, and to prepare an annual report each year to provide the Court with a summary of the previous year's conditions, actions, and threats.

The requirements for the annual report, as directed by the Court in the 2005 Stipulation (June 30, 2005, version, paragraph IV.D.3), are as follows:

Within one hundred and twenty days after each Year end, the Management Area Engineers will file an Annual Report with the Court. The Annual Report will summarize the results of the Monitoring Program, changes in groundwater supplies, and any threats to Groundwater supplies. The Annual Report shall also include a tabulation of Management Area water use, including Imported Water availability and use, Return Flow entitlement and use, other Developed Water availability and use, and Groundwater use. Any Stipulating Party may object to the Monitoring Program, the reported results, or the Annual Report by motion.

This 2019 Annual Report satisfies the requirements of the Court. The annual report for each calendar year (January 1 to December 31) is submitted to the Court by April 30 of the following calendar year, pursuant to the 2005 Stipulation. As a result of legislation passed by the State of California related to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) that requires submittal of annual reports and supporting information and data for each adjudicated groundwater basin by April 1 of each year, the 2019 Annual Report is also published to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) adjudicated basin reporting website.⁵

The collaborative water supply management approach of the NCMA agencies was recognized by the Court in the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement (which was based on the 1983 Gentlemen's Agreement),

² Each agency may also be individually referred to as an NCMA agency.

³ Portions of Arroyo Grande and Pismo Beach extend outside the NCMA.

⁴ Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District v. City of Santa Maria, et al., Case #1-97-CV-770214 Filing #G-79046. (Cal. 2015).

⁵ The link to the reporting system is available on this DWR page: <u>https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Groundwater-Management/Adjudicated-Areas</u>.

formalized in the Settlement Agreement Between Northern Cities, Northern Cities Landowners, and Other Parties (2002 Settlement Agreement or Settlement Agreement) and incorporated in the 2005 Stipulation . On June 30, 2005, the 2005 Stipulation was agreed upon by numerous parties, including the NCMA agencies. The Stipulation included the 2002 Settlement Agreement. The approach then was adopted by the Court in its 2008 Judgment. Although appeals to that decision were filed, a subsequent decision by the Sixth Appellate District (filed November 21, 2012) upheld the Judgment. On February 13, 2013, the Supreme Court of California denied a petition to review the decision.

Pursuant to the Court's continuing jurisdiction, Arroyo Grande, Pismo Beach, and Grover Beach filed a motion on September 29, 2015, requesting that the Court impose moratoriums on certain water extraction and use by stipulating parties within the NMMA. Judge Kirwan denied the motion without prejudice. He did, however, order the parties to meet and confer to address the issues raised in the motion. The meet and confer process continued throughout 2019. The order by the Court precipitated a series of meetings and collaborative actions between the NCMA and NMMA agencies, including the tentative formation of a Seawater Intrusion Working Group to discuss the threat and potential solutions for possible seawater intrusion.

1.2 Description of the NCMA Technical Group

Pursuant to a requirement in the 2005 Stipulation, the NCMA Technical Group (TG) was formed (Paragraph IV.C and Paragraph VII). The TG is composed of representatives of each of the NCMA agencies Table 1.

Agency	Representative	
City of Arrovo Grande	Bill Robeson Public Works Director	
	Shane Taylor Utilities Manager	
City of Crover Peach	Gregory A. Ray, PE Director of Public Works/City Engineer	
	R.J. (Jim) Garing, PE Consulting City Engineer for Water and Sewer	
City of Pismo Beach	Benjamin A. Fine, PE Director of Public Works/City Engineer	
Oceano CSD	Will Clemens General Manager	
	Tony Marracino Utility Systems Supervisor	

Table 1. NCMA TG Representatives

Notes

CSD = Community Services District, PE = Professional Engineer

Arroyo Grande, Pismo Beach, and Grover Beach contract with Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC), to serve as staff extension to assist the TG in its roles and responsibilities in managing the water supply resources. The full NCMA TG contracts with GSI Water Solutions, Inc., and its subconsulting partner, GEI Consultants, Inc., to conduct the quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling tasks, evaluate water demand and available supply, identify threats to water supply, and assist the TG in preparation of the annual report.

1.3 NCMA TG Mission Statement

The NCMA TG developed the following Mission Statement to help guide ongoing initiatives and to capture the requirements outlined in the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement, 2002 Settlement Agreement 2005 Stipulation, and 2008 Judgment:

Preserve and enhance the sustainability of water supplies for the Northern Cities Area by:

- Enhancing supply reliability
- Protecting water quality
- Maintaining cost-effective water supplies
- Advancing the legacy of cooperative water resources management
- Promoting conjunctive use

1.4 Coordination with Management Areas

Since 1983, management of the NCMA has been based on cooperative efforts of the four NCMA agencies in continuing collaboration with the County, SLOFCWCD, and other local and state agencies. Specifically, the NCMA agencies have jointly monitored and managed their groundwater production and, in cooperation with the SLOFCWCD, invested in surface water supplies to reduce dependence on groundwater pumping and protect the groundwater resource. In addition to the efforts discussed in this 2019 Annual Report, cooperative management occurs through many means including communication by the NCMA agencies in their respective public meetings, participation in the SLOFCWCD Zone 3⁶ Advisory Committee (related to the management and operation of Lopez Lake, which is described further in Section 4.1.1), and participation in the Water Resources Advisory Council (the County-wide advisory panel on water issues). The NCMA agencies are active participants in current and ongoing integrated regional water management efforts and participated in preparation and adoption of the 2007 San Luis Obispo County Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (2007 County IRWMP) as well as the 2014 update of the County IRWMP. The IRWMP promotes integrated regional water management to ensure sustainable water uses, reliable water supplies, better water quality, environmental stewardship, efficient urban development, protection of agriculture, and a strong economy.

Since the 2008 Judgment, the NCMA TG has taken the lead in cooperative management of its management area. The NCMA TG has met monthly for many years and continued to do so throughout 2019. The TG also participates in the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Management Area (SMGBMA) technical subcommittee, formed in 2009. The purpose of the SMGBMA technical subcommittee is to coordinate efforts among the three management areas (NCMA, NMMA, SMVMA) such as sharing data throughout the year and during preparation of the annual report, reviewing and commenting on technical work efforts of other management areas, standardizing monitoring protocols, considering projects and grant opportunities of joint interest and benefit, and sharing information and data among the managers of the three management areas.

The outcomes of the motion that Arroyo Grande, Pismo Beach, and Grover Beach filed on September 29, 2015, include increased discussion and collaboration between the NCMA and NMMA. One of the initiatives was the formation of an NCMA-NMMA Management Coordination Committee that met several times in 2018 and 2019 to discuss items of mutual concern and develop strategies for addressing the concerns. Another area of increased mutual collaboration between the NCMA and NMMA was the formation in 2016 of a technical team to collaboratively develop a single data set of water level data points as part of preparing a consistent set of semiannual water level contour maps for the NCMA and NMMA. Those efforts continued into

⁶ Flood Control Zone 3 (Lopez Project) is operated by the County of San Luis Obispo Flood Control and Water Conservation District to operate Lopez Reservoir for municipal and agricultural water supplies. It was established to operate the Lopez water supply system and is a wholesale supplier. The contractors in Zone 3 include the communities of Oceano, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach, Arroyo Grande, and County Service Area 12 (including the Avila Beach area).

and throughout 2019 and resulted in the development of consistent water level contouring (and enhanced understanding of groundwater conditions) throughout the NCMA and NMMA.

1.5 Development of Monitoring Program

The 2008 Judgment orders the stipulating parties to comply with all terms of the 2005 Stipulation. As specified in the Judgment and as outlined in the Monitoring Program for the Northern Cities Management Area (Todd Groundwater, Inc. [Todd], 2008) (NCMA Monitoring Program), the NCMA agencies are to conduct groundwater monitoring of wells in the NCMA. In accordance with requirements of the Judgment, the NCMA agencies collect and analyze data pertinent to water supply and demand, including the following:

- Land and water uses in the NCMA portion of the SMGB
- Sources of supply to meet those uses
- Groundwater conditions (including water levels and water quality)
- Amount and disposition of other sources of water supply in the NCMA

The NCMA Monitoring Program requires that the NCMA agencies gather and compile pertinent information on a calendar-year basis; this is accomplished through data collected by NCMA agencies (including necessary field work), the SLOFCWCD, and by other public agencies. Periodic reports, such as Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs) prepared by Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach, provide information about demand, supply, and water supply facilities. Annual data are added to the comprehensive NCMA database and analyzed. Results of the data compilation and analysis for 2019 are documented and discussed in this 2019 Annual Report.

As shown in Figure 1, the NCMA represents the northernmost portion of the SMGB as defined in the 2005 Stipulation and by DWR (2019; GSI, 2018). Adjoining the NCMA to the south and east is the NMMA; the SMVMA encompasses the remainder of the SMGB. Figure 2 shows the locations of the four NCMA agencies in the NCMA.

1.6 Groundwater Monitoring Network

The NCMA Monitoring Program includes (1) compilation of groundwater elevation data from the County, (2) water quality and groundwater elevation monitoring data from the network of sentry and monitoring wells in the NCMA, (3) water quality data from the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Division of Drinking Water (DDW), and (4) groundwater elevation data from municipal pumping wells. Analysis of these data is summarized below in accordance with the NCMA Monitoring Program (Todd, 2008) and as modified as additional well data and data sources have become available over the years.

Approximately 150 wells within the NCMA were monitored by the County at some time during the past few decades. The County currently monitors 50 wells on a semiannual basis, in April and October, within the NCMA. The County monitoring program includes four sentry well clusters (piezometers) along the coast, a four-well cluster in Oceano, and County Monitoring Well No. 3 (12N/35W-32CO3) (County Monitoring Well No. 3 [32CO3]) located on the eastern NCMA boundary between the NCMA and NMMA (Figure 3). The County monitors more than 125 additional wells in the NMMA portion of the SMGB within the County. Beginning in 2009, the NCMA agencies initiated a quarterly sentry well monitoring program to supplement the County's semiannual schedule.

To monitor overall changes in groundwater conditions, representative wells within the NCMA were selected for preparation of hydrographs and evaluation of water level changes. Wells were selected based on the following criteria:

- The wells must be part of the County's current monitoring program or part of a public agency's regular monitoring program.
- Detailed location information must be available.
- Construction details of the wells must be available.
- The locations of the wells should have a wide geographic distribution.
- The historical record of water level data must be long and relatively complete.

Many of the wells that have been used in the program are production wells that were not designed for monitoring purposes, or, in other words, are screened across various production zones. Moreover, many of the wells are active production wells or are located near active wells and are therefore potentially subject to localized pumping effects that result in measurements that are lower than the regionally representative water level. These effects are not always apparent at the time of measurement and data cannot easily be identified as representing static groundwater levels in specific zones (e.g., unconfined or deep confined to semiconfined). Therefore, data should be considered as a whole in developing a general representation of groundwater conditions.

The "sentry" wells (32S/12E-24Bxx, 32S/13E-30Fxx, 32S/13E-30Nxx, and 12N/36W-36Lxx) are a critical element of the groundwater monitoring network and are designed to provide an early warning system to identify potential seawater intrusion in the aquifer (Figure 3). Each sentry well consists of a cluster of multiple wells that allows for the measurement of groundwater elevation and quality from discrete depths. Also shown in Figure 3 is the OCSD observation well cluster, a dedicated monitoring well cluster located just seaward of OCSD production wells 7 and 8, and County Monitoring Well No. 3 (32C03). Figure 4 shows the depth and well names of the sentry well clusters, the OCSD observation well cluster, and County Monitoring Well No. 3 (32C03).

Traditionally, the wells were divided into three basic depth categories including shallow, intermediate, and deep to describe the relative depths of each monitoring well within the cluster. The basic depth categories do not necessarily describe the geologic unit and relative depth of the unit that the screened portion of the well monitors. More recently, however, it is becoming apparent that it is important to recognize and identify the geologic unit that each well monitors. The water level responses and water quality changes are quite different between the shallow alluvial unit (24B01, 30F01, and 30N01), the Paso Robles Formation (24B02, 30F02, 30N02, 30N03, 36L01, OCSD MW-Green, OCSD MW-Blue, and 32C03), and the deeper Careaga Sandstone (24B03, 30F03, 36L02, 0CSD MW-Silver, and 0CSD MW-Yellow). The significance of this level of differentiation will be studied more extensively in the future.

Since the sentry well monitoring program began in 2009, 45 monitoring events have been conducted. These monitoring events include collection of synoptic groundwater elevation data and water quality samples for laboratory analysis.

1.7 Recent and Ongoing Strategic Initiatives

1.7.1 Strategic Plan

An NCMA Strategic Plan was developed in 2014 to provide the NCMA TG with a mission statement to guide future initiatives, provide a framework for identifying and communicating water resource planning goals and objectives, and formalize a 10-year work plan for implementation of those efforts. Several key objectives were identified related to enhancing water supply reliability, improving water resource management, and increasing effective public outreach. Implementation of some of these efforts continued throughout 2019.

Work began in 2019 to update the 2014 NCMA Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan was developed over a series of strategic planning sessions and NCMA TG meetings. The purpose of the Strategic Plan is to provide the NCMA TG with the following:

- A Mission Statement to guide future initiative
- A framework for communicating water resource goals
- A formalized Work Plan for the next 10 years

Through the strategic planning process, the NCMA TG identified several key strategies to guide future efforts. These key strategies include the following:

- Enhance groundwater management
- Develop supplemental water supply
- Improve understanding of the NCMA groundwater
- Improve water management governance
- Increase inter-agency coordination

Several strategic initiatives were developed for each key strategy, and an extensive screening and objective ranking process was applied. Utilizing the ranked and grouped strategic initiatives, the NCMA TG is developing an implementation plan for the key strategies. The implementation plan will include for each initiative the key participants, the NCMA lead, an estimated budget, and an implementation time frame.

1.7.2 Central Coast Blue

Central Coast Blue is a regional recycled water project that includes advanced treatment of water from the Pismo Beach and South San Luis Obispo County Sanitation District (SSLOCSD) wastewater treatment plants and injection of the highly treated effluent into the SMGB to reduce the risk of seawater intrusion and improve water supply sustainability for the region. Currently the water from both wastewater treatment plants is being treated and discharged to the ocean. Central Coast Blue will provide an opportunity to capture this lost water and use it to recharge the SMGB to create a drought proof, sustainable water supply for the community. Tasks related to the development of the project that were performed prior to and throughout 2019 include feasibility study analysis, preliminary design, pilot plant development and operation, funding appropriation, cost/benefit sharing analysis, groundwater modeling, and initial environmental review.

The intent of Central Coast Blue is to enable Pismo Beach, partnering NCMA agencies and the SSLOCSD to construct an Advanced Treatment Facility (ATF) to produce Advanced Purified Water (APW) to augment its water supply through injection to recharge the aquifer and develop a seawater intrusion barrier to improve water supply reliability for the area.

1.7.3 Phase **1B** Groundwater Model

As part of Central Coast Blue planning and technical studies, a localized groundwater flow model (the Phase 1A model) was developed for the northern portion of the NCMA that evaluated the concept of injecting APW into the SMGB to increase aquifer recharge, improve water supply reliability, and help prevent future occurrences of seawater intrusion. Based on the results of the Phase 1A model and through funding by the SSLOCSD Supplemental Environmental Program, work was initiated in 2017 and continued through 2018 and 2019 for development of the Phase 1B groundwater flow model. The domain of the Phase 1B model covers the entire NCMA, NMMA, and the portion of the SMVMA north of the Santa Maria River. The purpose of the model is to evaluate additional groundwater injection and extraction scenarios to further support Central Coast Blue. The model has now been used to (1) more completely understand the groundwater conditions of the

NCMA portion of the SMGB, (2) understand the groundwater flow dynamics and components of the groundwater water balance of the aquifer, (3) identify the locations of the proposed injection wells, (4) quantify the amount of water that can be injected, (5) evaluate strategies for preventing seawater intrusion, and (6) develop estimates of the overall yield that the Central Coast Blue stakeholders will be able to receive from the project.
This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 2: Basin Setting

2.1 Setting

The northern portion of the NCMA is dominantly urban (residential/commercial). The Cienega Valley, a lowlying coastal stream and valley regime, is the area south of Arroyo Grande Creek in the central part of the area and is predominantly agricultural. The southern and southwestern portions of the area are composed of beach dunes and small lakes. That area is primarily managed by California Department of Parks and Recreation as a recreational area and a sensitive species habitat.

2.2 Precipitation

Each year, climatological and hydrologic (stream flow) data for the NCMA are added to the NCMA database. Annual precipitation from 1950 to 2019 is presented in Figure 5.

Historical rainfall data are compiled on a monthly basis for the following two stations:7

- DWR California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) Nipomo Station (No. 202) (Nipomo station) for 2006 to present
- San Luis Obispo County-operated rain gauge (No. SLO 795) in Oceano for 2000 to present

The locations of the two stations are shown in Figure 6. In recent years, it was noted that the CIMIS Nipomo station may have been recording irrigation overspray as precipitation and the precipitation data from the station may not be reliable. However, the evapotranspiration data is still considered reliable. For this reason and because the DRI station was discontinued in 2017, the County-operated gauge (No. SLO 795) was the sole source of precipitation data used in this 2019 Annual Report. Figure 5 is a composite graph combining data from the DRI and County stations and illustrating annual rainfall totals from available data from 1950 through 2019 (on a calendar-year basis). Annual average rainfall for the NCMA is approximately 15.7 inches.

Monthly rainfall and evapotranspiration (ET) for 2019 as well as average monthly historical rainfall and ET are presented in Figure 7. During 2019, below-average rainfall occurred during 6 months and above-average rainfall occurred during the other 6 months. The total for the year was 20.0 inches, more than 4 inches above the average annual rainfall for the area.

Figure 5 illustrates annual rainfall and shows several multi-year drought cycles (e.g., 6 years, 1984 through 1990) followed by cycles of above-average rainfall (e.g., 7 years, 1991 through 1998). With the exception of 2010, the period 2007 through 2015 (8 years) experienced below-average annual rainfall indicating a dry hydrologic period. This pattern continued into late 2016, when the hydrologic pattern appeared to have broken the serious drought that the area (and state) had experienced for the previous 5 years. Annual rainfall totals since 2016 have generally been above average (with the exception of 2018), as illustrated in Figure 5.

2.3 Evapotranspiration

CIMIS maintains weather stations in locations throughout the state to provide real-time wind speed, humidity, and evapotranspiration data. The nearest CIMIS station to the NCMA is the Nipomo station (see Figure 6). The Nipomo station has gathered data since 2006. While this station may have been subject to irrigation overspray in recent years (noted in Section 2.2, Precipitation, above), the apparent irrigation overspray does not have a

⁷ The Desert Research Institute (DRI): Western Regional Climate Center Pismo Station (Coop ID: 046943) was discontinued in August of 2017.

significant impact on the measurements used for calculating ET. The monthly ET data for the Nipomo station is shown in Figure 7 for 2019 and average conditions (over 10 years). The ET rate affects recharge potential of rainfall and the amount of outdoor water use (irrigation).

2.4 Geology and Hydrogeology

The current understanding of the geologic framework and hydrogeologic setting is based on numerous previous investigations, particularly Woodring and Bramlette (1950), Worts (1951), Miller and Evenson (1966), DWR (1979, 2002), and Fugro (2015).

The NCMA overlies the northwest portion of the SMGB. There are two principal aquifers in the NCMA portion of the SMGB. Groundwater pumped from the sedimentary deposits that make up the main municipal production aquifer underlying the NCMA is derived from the Paso Robles Formation and the underlying Careaga Sandstone. The Paso Robles Formation and Careaga Sandstone aquifers together are referred to as the deep aquifer system in this report. All municipal production in the NCMA is from the deep aquifer.

The second principal aquifer is the alluvial aquifer, consisting of Quaternary-age alluvial sediments of Arroyo Grande Creek, Los Berros Creek, and the Cienega Valley. All agricultural groundwater production in the Cienega Valley is presumed to be extracted from the alluvial aquifer.

Several faults either cross or form the boundary of the NCMA, as identified by DWR (2002), Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E, 2014) (PG&E), and others. The Oceano Fault (USGS, 2006) trends northwest-southeast across the central portion of NCMA and has been extensively studied by PG&E (2014). Offshore, the Oceano Fault connects with the Hosgri and Shoreline fault systems several miles west of the coast. Onshore, the Oceano Fault consists of two mapped fault splays, including the main trace of the Oceano Fault as well as the Santa Maria River Fault, which diverges northward of the Oceano Fault through the Cienega Valley before trending into and across the Nipomo Mesa.

It is unknown the extent to which the Oceano and Santa Maria River faults impede groundwater flow within the deep aquifer system materials. However, movement on the faults, as mapped by PG&E (2014), may suggest a possible impediment to flow with the Careaga Formation and possibly the Paso Robles Formation. PG&E (2014) suggests that the existence of the Santa Maria River Fault is "uncertain." However, the water elevation contour maps of the NCMA (Figures 8 and 9, discussed in more detail in Section 3.4.1) may suggest that the Santa Maria River Fault plays a potential, but unknown, role in groundwater flow across the NCMA.

The Wilmar Avenue Fault generally forms the northern boundary of the NCMA, apparently acting as a barrier to groundwater flow from the older consolidated materials north of the fault southward into the SMGB. There is no evidence, however, that the Wilmar Avenue Fault impedes alluvial flow in the Pismo Creek, Meadow Creek, or Arroyo Grande Creek alluvial valleys.

2.5 Groundwater Flow

The groundwater system of the NCMA has several sources of recharge including precipitation, agricultural return flow, seepage from stream flow, and subsurface inflow from adjacent areas. In addition, some return flows occur from imported surface supply sources including Lopez Lake and the California State Water Project (SWP). Discharge in the region is dominated by groundwater production from pumping wells, but minor discharge certainly occurs through phreatophyte⁸ consumption and surface water outflow. Historically,

⁸ A phreatophyte is a deep-rooted plant that obtains a significant portion of the water that it needs from the water table. Phreatophytes are plants that are supplied with surface water or the upper portion of the near-surface water table and often have their roots constantly in touch with moisture.

groundwater elevations in wells throughout the NCMA and resulting hydraulic gradients show that subsurface outflow discharge occurs westward from the groundwater basin to the ocean. This subsurface outflow is an important control to limit the potential of seawater intrusion. This westward gradient and direction of groundwater flow still is prevalent throughout the northern portion of NCMA, although there is some evidence recently that the westward gradient may have reversed in the area of Cienega Valley.

The following descriptions of the boundary conditions of the NCMA are derived primarily from Todd (2007). The eastern boundary is coincident with the SLOFCWCD Zone 3 management boundary and with the northwestern boundary of the NMMA. Aquifer materials of similar formation, provenance, and characteristics are present across the majority of this boundary, which allows subsurface flow to occur between the NCMA and NMMA.

The northern and northwestern boundary, established by the Court during the 2005 Stipulation, is coincident with the Wilmar Avenue Fault, which is located approximately along Highway 101 from Pismo Creek to the southeastern edge of the Arroyo Grande Valley. There is likely insignificant subsurface flow from the consolidated materials (primarily Pismo Formation) north of the Wilmar Avenue Fault across the boundary into the SMGB; however, basin inflow occurs within the underflow associated with alluvial valleys of Arroyo Grande and Pismo creeks.

The southern boundary of the NCMA is an east-west line, roughly located along the trend of Black Lake Canyon and perpendicular to the coastline. Historically, it appears that groundwater flow is typically roughly parallel to the boundary. This suggests that little to no subsurface inflow occurs across this boundary.

The western boundary of the NCMA follows the coastline from Pismo Creek in the north to Black Lake Canyon. Given the generally westward groundwater gradient in the area, this boundary is the site of subsurface outflow and is an important impediment to seawater intrusion. However, the boundary is susceptible to seawater intrusion if groundwater elevations onshore decline, such as may be occurring seasonally in the southeast portion of NCMA along the boundary with NMMA.

This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 3: Groundwater Conditions

3.1 Groundwater Levels

Groundwater elevation data are gathered from the network of wells throughout the NCMA to monitor the effects of groundwater use and recharge, and to monitor the threat of seawater intrusion. Over time, analysis of these groundwater elevation data has included development of groundwater surface contour maps, hydrographs, and an index of key sentry well water elevations.

3.1.1 Groundwater Level Contour Maps

Contoured groundwater elevations for the spring (April 2019) and fall (October 2019) monitoring events, including data from the County monitoring program, are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. From an increased understanding of the groundwater basin aquifer system and to be consistent with recent work completed for the Phase IB model, the groundwater elevation analysis was performed separately for each of the two principal aquifers. As described earlier (Section 2.4), the two principal aquifers are the deep aquifer, consisting of the Paso Robles Formation and the Careaga Sandstone and from which all municipal production is pumped, and the alluvial aquifer within the Cienega Valley, from which all agricultural production is pumped.

Groundwater level contours for April 2019 are presented in Figure 8. Spring groundwater elevation contours in the deep aquifer system north of the Santa Maria River Fault show a westerly to southwesterly groundwater flow. The groundwater gradient and flow in the deep aquifer system in the southern portion of the NCMA are generally inferred on the basis of historical records, historical trends, and water level data from the NMMA farther east. This is due to a limited number of wells and water level data in the southernmost portion of the NCMA that is dominated by sensitive-species dunes and California State Parks land.

Spring groundwater contours in the alluvial aquifer exhibit a gradient and flow direction that generally follows the alignment of Arroyo Grande Creek. The alluvial groundwater contours also indicate an inflow of groundwater from the Los Berros Creek drainage (Figure 8).

Agricultural groundwater pumping results in seasonal drawdown of the alluvial aquifer in the Cienega Valley south and east of Arroyo Grande Creek. As shown on Figure 8, the April 2019 alluvial groundwater elevations in the Cienega Valley are in the range of less than 10 feet North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD 88) to more than 30 feet NAVD 88. These data show an increase in alluvial groundwater elevations by as much as 13 feet from April 2018 to April 2019 (see Figure 8 from NCMA, 2018). During the recent drought, spring alluvial groundwater elevations showed a subdued pumping trough in the Cienega Valley, with groundwater elevations generally below sea level (NAVD 88) in the center of the depression.

April 2019 groundwater elevations in the deep aquifer system main production zone along the coast ranged from 7.5 to 11.1 feet NAVD 88. A pumping depression occasionally formed in the deep aquifer system north and west of Arroyo Grande Creek in the area of concentrated municipal pumping. This depression occurred historically mostly in response to the recent drought. This pumping depression has not been observed since 2017, most likely due to a couple years of above-average rainfall, continued groundwater conservation efforts, and increased reliance on imported surface water.

Groundwater elevation contours for October 2019 are presented in Figure 9. Fall groundwater contours in the deep aquifer system north of the Santa Maria River Fault show a generally west to southwesterly groundwater flow, similar to conditions in the spring, but with some minor pumping effects evident in the area of the municipal wells. Fall groundwater contours in the alluvial aquifer show pumping effects from agricultural groundwater production, including a pronounced pumping depression in the southeast portion of the Cienega

Valley (Figure 9). Similar to spring 2019, the fall alluvial groundwater contours indicate an inflow of groundwater from the Los Berros Creek drainage (Figure 9).

The alluvial aquifer groundwater conditions in October 2019 shows a decline of approximately 8 to 28 feet from April 2019. These conditions are consistent with the pumping depressions observed historically during the fall within the Cienega Valley. The alluvial groundwater elevation in the pumping depression in October 2019, at (-) 17.6 feet NAVD 88, was 2 feet lower than was present in October 2018. October 2019 groundwater elevations in the deep aquifer system main production zone along the coast ranged from 6.1 to 8.3 feet NAVD 88.

3.1.2 Historical Water Level Trends

Hydrographs of several water wells in the NCMA are presented in Figure 10.

The hydrographs for wells 32D03 and 32D11 (Figure 10) are paired hydrographs for wells in the vicinity of the municipal wellfields. Depending on the duration of pumping of the municipal wells, historically water levels in these wells have been below levels in other areas of the NCMA for prolonged periods of time. The hydrographs show that, historically, groundwater elevations in these wells generally have been above mean sea level. In 2007 to 2009, when groundwater pumping was at its peak in comparison with pumping of the last 30 years and contributed to the apparent seawater intrusion event in the coastal wells in 2009, an area of lower groundwater elevations (a trough) beneath the active wellfield appeared.

As illustrated in Figure 10, the water elevations of all the wells, including the paired wells 32D03 and 32D11, exhibited a steady decline from 2011 to 2016, during which time rainfall was below normal every year. In this period, groundwater elevations declined to near sea level or, in the case of well 33K03, to below sea level. By October 2016 the groundwater elevations in these wells were generally below the levels observed in 2009–2010.

However, in 2016 and 2017, all of the wells exhibited an overall increase in water levels except for the normal, seasonal decline during the summer. Water levels have remained approximately steady in all of the wells since 2017. The water level in well 33K03, located near the NCMA/NMMA boundary, is currently several feet above sea level (NAVD 88).

3.1.3 Sentry Wells and the Deep Well Index

Regular monitoring of water elevations in clustered sentry wells located along the coast are an essential tool for tracking critical groundwater elevation changes at the coast. Groundwater elevations in these wells are monitored quarterly as part of the sentry well monitoring program. As shown by the hydrographs for the five sentry well clusters (Figure 11), the sentry wells provide a long history of groundwater elevations.

Inspection of the recent data shown in Figure 11 compared with the historical record illustrates some noteworthy trends:

- From 2013 until near the end of 2016, the water level trend of well 30N02, one of the wells that experienced elevated TDS and chloride levels in 2009–2010 (i.e. water quality degradation), looked quite similar to the water level trend of the well in 2007–2010, immediately before and during the period of incipient seawater intrusion. This trend was noteworthy and alarming. However, since the end of 2016 and continuing through 2019, the water level reversed the downward trend and now has water elevations seasonally fluctuating around 8 feet NAVD 88.
- The decline in water levels from 2005 to 2016 in the Oceano Dunes wells (36L01 and 36L02) was also notable and potentially significant, particularly in well 36L01, which is screened across the Paso Robles

Formation. In 2016, both wells reached historical low-water elevations. However, since late 2016, both wells have started recovering to less-alarming levels.

The deepest wells in the clusters, 24B03, 30F03, and 30N02, were previously identified as key wells to monitor for potential seawater intrusion and were suggested to reflect the net effect of changing groundwater recharge and discharge conditions in the primary production zone of the deep aquifer system. One of the thresholds to track the status and apparent health of the aquifer is to average the groundwater elevations from these three deep sentry wells to generate a single, representative index, called the Deep Well Index. Previous studies suggested a Deep Well Index value of 7.5 feet NAVD 88 as a minimum threshold, or trigger value, below which the aquifer is at risk for eastward migration of seawater and a subsequent threat of encroaching seawater intrusion. Historical variation of this index is represented by the average deep sentry well elevations in Figure 12.

Inspection of the Deep Well Index in 2008–2009, prior to the period of water quality degradation in wells 30N03 and 30N02, the Deep Well Index dropped below the 7.5-foot trigger value and remained below that level for almost 2 years. It appears that prolonged levels below the threshold may be causing the degradation. Since the start of the recent drought in 2012, the Deep Well Index dropped several times below the threshold, but usually for only a few months at a time.

In 2019, the Deep Well Index started the year above the trigger value with an index value of more than 9 feet in January. It continued to rise, reached a high more than 11 feet in late March, and then began to decline. The index value dropped down to the 7.5-foot trigger value briefly in late October and then immediately began to rise. The index value continued to rise throughout the end of the year and finished 2019 at about 10.5 feet NAVD 88 (Figure 12).

Key wells, including 24B03, 30F03, 30N02, 36L01, 36L02, and 32C03, are instrumented with pressure transducers equipped with conductivity probes that periodically record water level, water temperature, and conductivity (Figures 13 through 18). It should be noted that transducer malfunctions have resulted in variable conductivity data in some of the wells during certain years, including 2015 and 2019. Malfunctioning transducers have been replaced and continue to be monitored in an ongoing effort to maintain a properly functioning monitoring network.

Wells 24B03, 30F03, and 30N02 comprise the wells used to calculate the Deep Well Index. Wells 36L01 and 36L02 are adjacent to the coast. Well 32C03 is the easternmost well and adjacent to the boundary between the NCMA and NMMA. The following discusses 2019 water levels for these key wells:

 Deep Well Index Wells: The Deep Well Index wells exhibited a pattern throughout 2019 that is consistent with previous years. The water levels in wells 24B03, 30F03, and 30N02 generally declined starting in March or April 2019 and continued to decline into October when they began to rise.

Also consistent with patterns seen in previous years is the variability of aquifer response among the three wells. Well 24B03, the northernmost well and located in the North Beach Campground, maintains a relatively stable and moderated water level throughout the year and consistently sustains groundwater elevations higher than the Deep Well Index value. The water level in 24B03 mitigates the water levels in 30N02, which typically maintain levels consistently deeper than the Deep Well Index. Well 30F03 generally closely follows the Deep Well Index value.

Coastal Wells: The groundwater elevation in well 36L01, screened within the Paso Robles Formation, remained 4 to 10 feet above sea level (NAVD 88) throughout 2019 and remained stable within the relatively narrow historical range. The water level in well 36L02, which is screened within the Careaga Sandstone, illustrates a much greater seasonal fluctuation than is observed in 36L01. Similar to 2017 and 2018, the water elevation in 36L02 remained above sea level throughout 2019, in comparison with

2015 and 2016 when the water elevation in the well dropped below sea level in late September and remained below sea level into mid-October.

NCMA/NMMA Boundary: Well 32C03, which shows regular seasonal fluctuations, remained above sea level throughout all of 2017, 2018, and 2019, in contrast with the prior 4 years when the water level dropped below sea level in August and remained at a low elevation until early October. The groundwater elevation in 32C03 at the end of 2019 is the highest year-end elevation observed since the end of 2012.

3.2 Change in Groundwater in Storage

The relative change of groundwater levels and associated change in groundwater in storage in the NCMA portion of the SMGB between April 2018 and April 2019 were estimated using a comparison of water level contour maps created for these periods. Separate estimates of change in groundwater in storage were computed for both the deep aquifer system and for the alluvial aquifer and then summed together to represent the total NCMA estimated change in groundwater in storage. The comparison of the April water levels was chosen to comply with DWR reporting requirements and SGMA.

For each aquifer, the groundwater contour lines from each period were compared and the volumetric difference between the two periods were calculated. The results are presented in Figure 19 and Figure 20, which show contours of equal difference between April 2018 and April 2019 water elevations in the deep aquifer system and the alluvial aquifer, respectively. Figure 19 shows that deep aquifer system water elevations increased over a majority of the NCMA area, resulting in a net increase of groundwater in storage in the deep aquifer system. Figure 20 shows that alluvial aquifer water elevations increased everywhere within the extent of alluvium in the Cienega Valley, resulting in a net increase of groundwater in storage in the alluvial aquifer.

From the change of water levels maps, a volumetric change in groundwater in storage estimate was made for each aquifer, based on assumed aquifer properties⁹, and then summed to represent the total NCMA estimated change in groundwater in storage. The net increase in groundwater levels in both aquifers represents a net increase of groundwater in storage from April 2018 to April 2019 of approximately 1,500 acre-feet (AF).

3.3 Water Quality

Water is used in several ways in the NCMA and each use requires a certain minimum water quality. Because contaminants from seawater intrusion or from anthropogenic sources can potentially impact the quality of water in the aquifer, water quality is monitored at each of the sentry well locations in the NCMA and County Monitoring Well No. 3 (32CO3).

3.3.1 Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring

Quarterly groundwater monitoring events occurred in January, April, July, and October 2019. During each event, depths to groundwater were measured, and wells were sampled using procedures, sampling equipment, and in-field sample preservation protocol pursuant to ASTM International Standard D4448-01. The water quality data from these events and historical data from these wells are provided in Appendix A.

⁹ A storage coefficient of 0.02 was used for the deep aquifer system. This is representative of the Paso Robles Formation and Careaga Sandstone in the area, as documented in the SMGB Characterization Project (Fugro, 2015).

A specific yield value for the alluvial aquifer of 0.09 was back calculated using the 2019 estimated change in alluvial groundwater in storage represented by the calculated agricultural demand (Section 4.2.1) and an alluvial groundwater elevation change map representing the total volume change that occurred between April 2019 and October 2019.

Graphs of historical chloride and total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations over time are presented in Figures 21 and 22, respectively, to monitor for trends that may aid in the detection of impending seawater intrusion.

The historical water quality data show that concentration levels of chlorides and TDS, as well as other constituents, have remained relatively stable within a very narrow historical range since 2009. Improved management of municipal groundwater use, because of an overall reduction in pumping since 2009, has likely contributed to the past several years of relatively stable groundwater quality.

3.3.2 Analytical Results Summary

Analytical results of key water quality data, including chloride, TDS, and sodium, were generally consistent with historical concentrations and observed ranges of constituent concentrations during 2019. In general, no water quality results were observed that are a cause of concern.

Figure 23 is a Piper diagram, one of several means of graphically representing water quality. There appear to be three separate water quality types found in the monitoring wells:

- 1. The Pier Avenue deep well (30N02), screened in the Paso Robles Formation from 175 to 255 feet bgs, and Oceano Dunes intermediate well (36L01), screened in the Paso Robles Formation from 227 to 237 feet bgs, are screened in the same production zone. This is despite their different nomenclature as "deep" compared with "intermediate" wells. Relative to the other wells in the area, these two wells are high in sulfates and have calcium-magnesium-sulfate-rich water. Both wells are relatively low in chloride. This is significant because this zone, and well 30N02, was the site of an apparent seawater intrusion event in 2009–2010.
- 2. The County Monitoring Well No. 3 (32C03), screened from 90 to 170 feet bgs, in the Paso Robles Formation, has an apparent water quality that is different than any of the other wells in the area. It is relatively high in sodium, chloride, and potassium. Its location in the right quadrant of the diamond-shaped part of the Piper diagram (Figure 23) commonly characterizes a sodium-chloride-rich groundwater representative of marine or deep ancient groundwater, even though it is a relatively shallow well and screened within the Paso Robles Formation, a Plio-Pleistocene-age alluvial deposit. Although its overall water quality signature is quite different from seawater, it is more closely representative of seawater than any of the other wells in the area.
- 3. All of the other wells in the monitoring network fall into the third category of groundwater water quality. These wells are all generally a calcium-bicarbonate groundwater that is commonly associated with shallow groundwater. This grouping of water quality represents groundwater from wells that are screened in both the Paso Robles Formation and the Careaga sandstone (wells 24B03, 30F03, and 36L02 are screened in the Careaga sandstone; the others are screened in the Paso Robles Formation).

None of the water quality results from monitoring wells throughout 2019 indicate an incipient episode or immediate threat of seawater intrusion. Since the decline of TDS, sodium, and chloride concentrations following the 2009–2010 seasons, it is also clear that the location and inland extent of the seawater-fresh water interface is not known, except for the apparent indication that it was detected in well 30N02, 30N03, and OCSD MW-Blue, all of which are screened in the Paso Robles Formation. No indications of seawater intrusion have been observed in wells screened in the underlying Careaga sandstone. At this time, without additional offshore data, the location of the interface or mixing zone is not known and will not be known unless and until it intercepts a monitoring well.

This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 4: Water Supply and Production/Delivery

4.1 Water Supply

The NCMA water supply consists of three major sources including Lopez Lake, the SWP, and groundwater. Each source of supply has a defined delivery volume that varies from year to year.

4.1.1 Lopez Lake

The Lopez Project consists of Lopez Lake, Lopez Dam, Lopez Terminal Reservoir, and Lopez Water Treatment Plant and is operated by SLOFCWCD Zone 3. SLOFCWCD Zone 3 provides treated water directly to the Zone 3 contractors and releases water to Arroyo Grande Creek for habitat conservation and agricultural use. The Zone 3 contractors include Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach, Oceano CSD, and CSA 12, which serves Avila Beach and is not in the NCMA.

The operational safe yield of Lopez Lake is 8,730 acre-feet per year (AFY), which reflects the amount of sustainable water supply during a drought of defined severity. Of this, 4,530 AFY is apportioned to the contractors and 4,200 AFY is reserved for downstream releases to maintain flows in Arroyo Grande Creek and provide groundwater recharge. The 2019 SLOFCWCD Zone 3 allocations are shown in Table 2.

Contractor	Normal Water Allocation, (AFY)
City of Arroyo Grande	2,290
City of Grover Beach	800
City of Pismo Beach	892
Oceano CSD	303
CSA 12 (not in NCMA)	245
Total	4,530
Downstream Releases	4,200
Safe Yield of Lopez Lake	8,730

Table 2. Lopez Lake (SLOFCWCD Zone 3 Contractors) Water Allocations (AFY)

Notes

AFY = acre-feet per year, CSA = County Service Area, CSD = Community Services District, SLOFCWCD = County of San Luis Obispo Flood Control & Water Conservation District, NCMA = Northern Cities Management Area

In December 2014, SLOFCWCD Zone 3 adopted the Low Reservoir Response Plan (LRRP). The LRRP establishes actions that SLOFCWCD Zone 3 can take when the amount of water in storage in the reservoir drops below 20,000 AF, provided that the SLOFCWCD Board of Supervisors declares a drought emergency. The purpose of the LRRP is to limit downstream releases and municipal diversions from Lopez Lake to preserve water within the reservoir, above the minimum pool, for a minimum of 3 to 4 years under drought conditions.

The reduction strategies for the LRRP are tied to the amount of water in the reservoir. As the amount of water in the reservoir drops below the triggers (20,000; 15,000; 10,000; 5,000; and 4,000 AF), the hydrologic conditions are reviewed, and adaptive management is used to meet the LRRP objectives. The municipal diversions are to be reduced according to the strategies shown in Table 3.

Amount of Water	Municipal Diversion							
in Storage (AF)	Reduction	AFY						
20,000	0%	4,530						
15,000	10%	4,077						
10,000	20%	3,624						
5,000	35%	2,941						
4,000	100%	0						

Table 3. Lopez Lake Municipal Diversion LRRP Reduction Strategy

Notes

AF= acre-feet, AFY = acre-feet per year

The mandatory actions after the LRRP is enacted include (1) reductions in entitlement water deliveries; (2) reductions in downstream releases; (3) no new allocations of surplus water from unreleased downstream releases; and (4) extension of time that agencies can take delivery of existing unused water throughout the duration of the drought emergency, subject to evaporation losses if the water is not used in the year of original allocation. Included in the LRRP is an adaptive management provision that allows modification of the terms of the LRRP to match the initially prescribed reductions based on actual hydrologic conditions.

The downstream releases are to be reduced according to the strategies described in Table 4. The release strategies represent the maximum amount of water that can be released. The SLOFCWCD Zone 3 controls the timing of the reduced releases to meet the needs of the agricultural stakeholders and to address environmental requirements.

Amount of Water	Downstream Release							
in Storage (AF)	Reduction	AFY						
20,000	9.5%	3,800						
15,000	9.5%	3,800						
10,000	75.6%	1,026						
5,000	92.9%	300						
4,000	100%	0						

Table 4. Lopez Lake Downstream Release LRRP Reduction Strategy

Notes

AF= acre-feet, AFY = acre-feet per year

The LRRP was put into effect on April 1, 2015. Throughout 2015 and all of 2016, SLOFCWCD Zone 3 operated Lopez Lake pursuant to the 15,000 AF diversion reduction trigger that required a 10 percent reduction in municipal diversions. The 10,000 AF trigger requiring a 20 percent reduction was avoided because agencies enacted mandatory water conservation measures and utilized other sources of water including some minimal rainfall and SWP water.

Lopez Lake recovered from a low of 11,000 AF in storage to a peak of more than 30,000 AF in May 2017, ending with approximately 25,000 AF at the start of 2018 because of the relatively heavy rainfall year of late 2016 and early 2017. Although contractually the LRRP is no longer in effect when both triggers are rescinded (Board of Supervisors declaration of water emergency and reservoir levels drop below 20,000 AF), the

SLOFCWCD Zone 3 agencies resolved to keep the LRRP in effect until there is clear evidence that the drought was over. Because the reservoir volume was above 20,000 AF, no mandatory reductions in municipal deliveries were required in 2017 or 2018.

In 2019, the reservoir level stayed above 20,000 AF all year, reaching a high of 29,405 AF in May 2019. As a result, there were no mandatory reductions in municipal deliveries in 2019. The status of the reservoir and management actions related to the LRRP will be monitored throughout 2020 and adjusted accordingly based on winter 2020 rainfall and storage in Lopez Lake.

Total discharge from Lopez Lake in 2019 was 7,099 AF, of which 4,385 AF were delivered to NCMA contractors, 69 AF were delivered to CSA 12, and 2,645 AF were released downstream to maintain flow in Arroyo Grande Creek (Table 5).

When management of releases results in a portion of the 4,200 AFY remaining in the reservoir, or the contractors do not use their full entitlement for the year, the water is offered to the contractors as surplus water. Surplus water deliveries to the NCMA agencies in 2019 equaled 384 AF (Table 5).

Contractor	2019 Allocation Usage (AF)	2019 Surplus Usage (AF)	2019 Total Lopez Lake Water Delivery (AF)
City of Arroyo Grande	2,034	0	2,034
City of Grover Beach	772	9	781
City of Pismo Beach	892	156	1,048
Oceano CSD	303	219	522
Total NCMA 2019 Usage	4,001	384	4,385
CSA 12 (not in NCMA)	69	0	69
Downstream Releases	2,645		2,645
Total 2019 Lopez Lake Deliveries	6,715	384	7,099

Table 5. Lopez Lake 2019 Deliveries

Notes

AF= acre-feet, CSD = Community Services District, NCMA = Northern Cities Management Area Source: SLOFCWCD Zone 3 Monthly Operations Reports

4.1.2 State Water Project

Pismo Beach and OCSD have contracts with SLOFCWCD Zone 3 to receive water from the SWP. The SLOFCWCD serves as the SWP contractor and provides imported water to local retailers through the SWP Coastal Branch (Coastal Branch) pipeline. Pismo Beach and OCSD, as subcontractors to SLOFCWCD, have annual contractual water delivery allocations, commonly referred to as Table A water, of 1,240¹⁰ AFY and 750 AFY, respectively (Table 6). In addition to its Table A allocation, Pismo Beach holds 1,240 AFY of additional allocation known as "drought buffer" and OCSD holds an additional allocation of 750 AFY of drought buffer. The additional drought

¹⁰ 140 AF of which is owned by private parties.

buffer allocation held by the agencies is available to augment the SWP water supply when the SWP annual allocation, i.e., percentage of SWP water available, is less than 100 percent. The additional allocations also increase each agency's water held in storage. In any given year, however, Pismo Beach's and OCSD's total SWP deliveries cannot exceed 1,240 AF and 750 AF, respectively.

Table (6. <mark>2</mark> ()19 NC	MA S	WP [Deliveries
---------	---------------------	--------	------	------	-------------------

Agency	Table A Allocation, AFY	Drought Buffer, AFY	2019 Delivery, AF
City of Arroyo Grande			
City of Grover Beach			
City of Pismo Beach ¹	1,100	1,240	556
Oceano CSD	750	750	11
Total Allocation/Usage, AFY	1,850	1,990	567

Notes:

¹Pismo Beach contracts for 1,240 AF of Table A water from the SWP, but 140 AF are owned by private parties

AF=acre feet, AFY= acre-feet per year, CSD = Community Services District, NCMA = Northern Cities Management Area

The SWP annual allocation for all contractors throughout California (including SLOFCWCD, Pismo Beach and Oceano CSD) for 2019 was initially set on November 30, 2018 at 10 percent of the Table A contractual allocation. The 2019 SWP allocation was then increased three times in 2019: to 15 percent on January 25; to 35 percent on February 20; and to 70 percent on March 20. SWP contractors have the opportunity to store undelivered Table A water at the SWP facility called San Luis Reservoir (limitations exist on the amount that can be stored in any one year). This stored water is called "carryover water" and can be delivered in subsequent years but total annual deliveries cannot exceed their Table A allocation due to capacity restrictions in the Coastal Branch. In addition, carryover water can be lost (or "spilled") if its storage interferes with storage of current year SWP water for project needs.

For 2020, the initial allocation of the SWP contractors was set at 10 percent of Table A contractual allocation amounts on December 2, 2019 and increased to 15 percent on January 24, 2020.

The SWP supply has the potential to be affected by drought and environmental issues, particularly because of the endangered Delta smelt in the Delta. However, OCSD and Pismo Beach, as well as the other SLOFCWCD sub-contractors have not been negatively affected to date by reduced SWP supplies because of the SLOFCWCD's large amount of unsubscribed Table A allocation which has been used to fulfill subcontractors' requests, even in dry years. Therefore, even when SWP supplies are decreased, the SLOFCWCD's unsubscribed allocation and any carryover water in San Luis Reservoir provides a buffer so that contracted volumes to subcontractors, such as OCSD and Pismo Beach, still may be provided in full. During 2019, Pismo Beach took delivery of 556 AF of SWP water and OCSD took delivery of 11 AF of SWP water.

4.1.3 Groundwater

The 2008 Judgment and the 2002 Settlement Agreement govern the use of groundwater in the NCMA and establish that groundwater will continue to be allotted and independently managed by the NCMA agencies, NCMA overlying owners, and SLOFCWCD (Northern Parties). Each of the NCMA agencies has the capability to extract groundwater from municipal water supply wells located in the central and northern portions of the

NCMA (Figure 24). Groundwater also satisfies agricultural irrigation and rural domestic use throughout the NCMA.

The calculated, consensus safe yield value of 9,500 AFY for the NCMA portion of the SMGB was included in the 2002 Settlement Agreement through affirmation of the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement among the NCMA agencies. The basis of the safe yield was established in 1982 by a Technical Advisory Committee, consisting of representatives from Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach, OCSD, Avila Beach Community Water District, Port San Luis Harbor District, the Farm Bureau, and the County to deal with a safe yield allocation strategy and agreement not to exceed the safe yield of what was then called the Arroyo Grande Groundwater Basin. The basis for the committee's analysis was DWR (1979). The Technical Advisory Committee concluded that the safe yield was 9,500 AFY. These findings and the allocation of the safe yield then were incorporated into a voluntary groundwater management plan (1983 Gentlemen's Agreement) and were further formalized in the 2002 Settlement Agreement and the 2005 Stipulation.

According to Todd (2007), the safe yield allotment for agricultural irrigation is significantly higher than the actual agricultural irrigation demand and the calculated amount for subsurface outflow is unreasonably low. Todd (2007) recognized that maintaining sufficient subsurface outflow to the coast and preservation of a westward groundwater gradient are essential to preventing seawater intrusion. A regional outflow of 3,000 AFY was estimated as a reasonable approximation although the minimum subsurface outflow necessary to prevent seawater intrusion is unknown.

The 9.500 safe yield provides allotments for agricultural irrigation of 5,300 AFY, subsurface outflow to the ocean of 200 AFY, and urban use of 4,000 AFY. The volume of the allotment for urban use was subdivided as follows:

- Arroyo Grande: 1,202 AFY
- Grover Beach: 1,198 AFY
- Pismo Beach: 700 AFY
- OCSD: 900 AFY

The 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement provides that groundwater allotments of each of the urban agencies can be increased when land within the corporate boundaries is converted from agricultural use to urban use, which is referred to as an agricultural conversion credit. Agricultural conversion credits equal to 121 AFY and 209 AFY were developed in 2011 for Arroyo Grande and Grover Beach, respectively. These agricultural credits were unchanged during 2019.

Total groundwater production in the NCMA, including agricultural irrigation and rural uses, is shown in Table 7 (descriptions of agricultural irrigation applied water and rural use estimation are provided in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, respectively). Total estimated groundwater pumpage in the NCMA in 2019 from the SMGB was 3,320 AF.

Total Allotment/Use	Groundwater Allotment + Ag Conversion Credit (AF)	2019 Groundwater Use from SMGB (AF)			
Total Urban Groundwater Allotment / Use	4,000 + 330 = 4,330	684			
Total NCMA Groundwater Allotment / Use	9,500	3,320			

Table 7. NCMA Groundwater Allotment and Production from Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, 2019

Notes:

AF= acre-feet, Ag = agriculture, SMGB = Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, CSD = Community Services District,

4.1.4 Developed Water

The 2005 Stipulation states that "developed water" is "groundwater derived from human intervention" and states that this includes infiltration from the following sources: "Lopez Lake water, return flow, and recharge resulting from storm water percolation ponds." Return flow results from deep percolation of water used in irrigation that is in excess of a plant's requirements. Return flows have not been estimated recently but would be considered part of the groundwater basin inflow.

In 2008, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach prepared stormwater management plans. To control stormwater runoff and to increase groundwater recharge, each city now requires that new development construct onsite retention or detention ponds. As these new ponds or basins are constructed, the increase in groundwater recharge could result in recognition of substantial augmentation of basin yield and provision of recharge credits to one or more of the NCMA agencies (Todd, 2007). Thus, a re-evaluation of estimated stormwater recharge is warranted as new recharge facilities are installed and as additional information on flow rates, pond size, infiltration rates, and tributary watershed area becomes available. Pursuant to the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement, calculation of recharge credits would be based on a mutually accepted methodology to evaluate the amount of recharge, including quantification of factors such as Lopez Lake and SWP recharge, stormwater runoff amounts, determination of effective recharge under various conditions, and methods to document actual recharge to developed aquifers.

4.1.5 Other Supplies

Arroyo Grande owns three water wells that are located outside the SMGB and pump groundwater from the Pismo Formation, which is the geologic bedrock unit in the area. Two of the wells are pumped by the City and used for municipal consumption; the third well is likely to be used in the future. There is no established allocation that limits the volume of groundwater that Arroyo Grande can pump from these wells, but for planning purposes the City assumes that they have the ability to pump up to 160 AFY for municipal use. The volume that Arroyo Grande pumps from these wells varies from year to year and is included in summary totals for urban water use, but the volume is not included in the summary totals for SMGB production.

4.1.6 Total Water Supply Availability

The baseline, or full allocation, water supply available to the NCMA agencies is summarized in Table 8. The baseline water supplies include 100 percent Lopez Lake allocation, SMGB groundwater allotments,

agricultural credits, and 100 percent delivery of SWP allocations. This baseline water supply does not include Lopez Lake surplus or SWP carryover, because these supplies vary from year to year and are not always available. The category "Other Supplies" includes groundwater pumped from outside the NCMA boundaries (outside the SMGB). The baseline supply for the NCMA agencies totals 10,625 AFY.

Agency	Lopez Lake	SWP Allocation (at 100%)	Groundwater Allotment	Ag Credit	Other Supplies	Total
City of Arroyo Grande	2,290	0	1,202	121	160	3,773
City of Grover Beach	800	0	1,198	209	0	2,207
City of Pismo Beach	892	1,100	700	0	0	2,692
Oceano CSD	303	750	900	0	0	1,953
Total	4,285	1,850	4,000	330	160	10,625

 Table 8. Baseline (Full Allotment) Available Urban Water Supplies (AFY)

Notes:

AFY= acre-feet per year, Ag = agriculture, CSD = Community Services District, SWP = State Water Project

Table 9 summarizes the available water supply to the NCMA agencies in 2019, including Lopez Lake, Lopez Lake carryover (surplus) water, the 2019 SWP 70 percent Table A delivery schedule, and the available SWP carryover water. The total available water supply is a compilation of all components of each agency's portfolio.

Agency	Lopez Lake Allocation	Lopez Lake Surplus	2019 SWP Allocation with Drought Buffer (at 70% Delivery)	2019 SWP Carryover	Ground- water Allotment	Ag Credit	Other Supplies	Total (2019)
Arroyo Grande	2,290	378	0	0	1,202	121	160	4,151
Grover Beach	800	132	0 0 1,198 209 0		0	2,339		
Pismo Beach	892	147	1,6381	1,860 ²	700	0	0	2,9791
Oceano CSD	303	50	1,050 ¹	1,125 ²	900	0	0	2,0031
Total	4,285	707	2,688	2,985	4,000	330	160	11,472

Table 9. 2019 Available Urban Water Supply, (AF)

Notes:

¹ In any given year, Pismo Beach's total SWP deliveries cannot exceed 1,240 AF and OCSD's deliveries cannot exceed 750 AF. In years when the Table A SWP allocation, plus drought buffer, plus carryover exceed 1,240 AF for Pismo Beach and 750 AF for OCSD, the total available SWP supply is capped at 1,240 AF or 750 AF for Pismo Beach and OCSD, respectively.

² Based on personal communication with Jill Ogren, SLOFCWCD, on January 29, 2020.

AF = acre-feet, CSD = Community Services District, SWP = State Water Project

4.2 Water Use

Water use refers to the total amount of water used to satisfy the needs of all water user groups. In the NCMA, water use predominantly serves urban production and agricultural applied water; a relatively small component of rural domestic use, including small community water systems; and domestic, recreational, and agriculture-related businesses.

4.2.1 Agricultural Water Supply Requirements

For the 2019 Annual Report, the applied irrigation demand estimations were updated using the 2015 Integrated Water Flow Model (IWFM) Demand Calculator (IDC). The IDC is a stand-alone program that simulates land surface and root zone flow processes, and, importantly for this report, the agricultural water supply requirements for each crop type. IDC applies user-specified soil, weather, and land-use data to estimate and track the soil moisture balances. More specifically, available water within the root zone is tracked for each of the crops to simulate when irrigation events take place based on crop requirements and cultural irrigation practices. The data used in the IDC program for NCMA are described below along with their respective sources.

Data Used in the IDC

- Land-use Information. The San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Commissioner's Office (ACO) compiles an annual estimate of irrigated acres in the County. A view displaying the irrigated agricultural lands within NCMA for 2019 is shown in Figure 25. The 2019 survey indicates a total of 1,463 acres of irrigated agriculture in the NCMA consisting predominantly of rotational crops. Table 10 lists the crop types and acreages found in the NCMA that were used in the IDC program.
- Climate Data. 2019 weather data from the SLOFCWCD rain gauge in Oceano and the CIMIS Nipomo Station were used for precipitation and data related to reference ET values, respectively. The data needed to calculate reference ET values include solar radiation, humidity, air temperature, and wind speed. Both weather stations are shown in Figure 6.
- ET Values by Crop Category. The DWR Consumptive Use Program (CUP) was used to estimate potential ET values based on specific annual climate data and crop type. The CUP used monthly climate data from the closest CIMIS station (Nipomo station) and includes crop coefficients to calculate ET values for the irrigated crop categories.

Assumptions used in the analysis include the following:

- As the NCMA is located near the coast, agricultural practices are influenced significantly by the marine layer, a mass of air that may be of lower temperature and have higher humidity than air over inland areas. As seen in Figure 6, the Nipomo CIMIS station used for climatological data in both the CUP and IDC is located farther inland than the easternmost boundary of NCMA and the recorded weather data does not fully account for the cooling and moisture effects of the marine layer.
- Use of an unadjusted calculated ET value results in a higher ET value than that actually taking place in the NCMA. Studies¹¹ have identified that ET values within the influence of the marine layer can be as much as 20 to 25 percent lower than ET values for the same crop located just outside of the marine layer influence. The distance the marine layer extends inland can vary from less than one-half mile to as much as 4 to 5

¹¹ Irrigation Training and Research Center <<u>http://www.itrc.org/etdata/etmain.htm</u>> (Cal Poly, 2019) provides typical-year (1997 Hydrology) ET values using various irrigation methods for Zone 3, the coastal outside marine layer, and Zone 1, the marine layer. The computed percentage reduction in ET to Zone 3 values range from 11 percent for rotational crops (small vegetables) to 19 percent for strawberries.

miles, depending on land topography. Low-lying areas have a higher frequency of marine layer coverage and for longer periods throughout the day.

- The NCMA is considered a low-lying area with boundaries extending between 2 and 5 miles inland. Recognizing that not all the crops would be affected by the marine layer, but accounting for the cooling influence over some of the area, monthly ET values calculated based on the CIMIS Nipomo Station data were adjusted lower by 12 percent¹² and are shown in Table 10.
- Soil Data. The Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey Geographic Database) was used to collect soil parameters in the NCMA for use in the IDC. The soil properties used include saturated hydraulic conductivity, porosity, and the runoff curve numbers. The field capacity and wilting points were developed on the basis of the described soil textures (i.e., sand, loam, sandy clay, etc.) and industry standards. The IDC relies on soil properties for estimating water storage, deep percolation, and runoff; all of which lead to a refined estimation of applied water.

Сгор Туре	Acreage	2019 Potential ET ¹ (AF/Acre)
Rotational Crops	1,284	1.9 ²
Strawberry	155	1.0
Avocados	24	2.0

Table 10. 2019 NCMA Crop Acreages and Calculated Evapotranspiration

Notes:

¹See ET Values by Crop Category, in text section above.

² Rotational crop ET is based on a rotation of two to three crops.

ET = evapotranspiration, AF = acre-feet

Model Development and Computations

The IDC is written in FORTRAN 2003 using an object-oriented programming approach. The program consists of three main components: (1) input data files, (2) output data files, and (3) the numerical engine that reads data from input files, computes applied water demands, routes water through the root zone, and exports the results to the output files. The flow terms used in the root zone routing are defined in Table 11 and shown in the graphic below the table. Drainage from ponded areas (Dr) was not applicable because there are no ponded crops in the NCMA; data related to generic soil moisture (G) were not available.

¹² A single ET reduction value is used based on changing location and rotation of crop types relative to influence of marine layer.

Abbreviation	Term	Notes
Р	Precipitation	User Specified
ET	Evapotranspiration	IDC Output
G	Generic source of moisture (i.e., fog, dew)	Data Not Available
Aw	Applied water	IDC Output
Dr	Outflow resulting from drainage of ponded areas (e.g., rice, refuges)	Not Applicable
R _P	Direct runoff	IDC Output
Rf	Return flow	User Specified (fraction of applied water)
U	Re-used portion of return flow	User Specified (fraction of return flow)
D	Deep percolation	IDC Output

Table 11. Flow Terms Used in Root Zone Routing for IDC Model

Notes:

Integrated Water Flow Model (IWFM) Demand Calculator (IDC) (California Department of Water Resources Bay Delta Office, 2016)



Source: California DWR (2016).

All extracted geospatial information was applied to a computational grid within the IDC framework to simulate the root zone moisture for 2019 in NCMA agricultural areas. The IDC provides the total water supply requirement for each crop category met through rainfall and applied irrigation water in agricultural areas based on user-defined parameters for crop evaporation and transpiration requirements, climate conditions, soil properties, and agricultural management practices. Sources for data related to crop demands (i.e., potential ET), climate conditions, and soil properties are discussed above. The computations for actual crop ET (versus potential ET), applied water, and deep percolation are described below.

The potential ET is the amount of water a given crop will consume through evaporation and/or transpiration under ideal conditions (i.e., fully irrigated 100 percent of the time). Fully irrigated conditions mean that the

water required to meet all crop demands is available. Water is available to the crops when the soil moisture content within the root zone is between the field capacity and the wilting point. When the soil moisture is above the field capacity, some water will go to runoff and/or deep percolation; when the soil moisture is below the wilting point, it is contained in the smallest pore spaces within the root zone and considered unavailable to the crops.

The difference between the field capacity and the wilting point is the total available water (TAW). In IDC, when the soil moisture is above one-half of the TAW, the crop ET will be equal to the potential ET. However, if the soil moisture is below one-half of the TAW, the plants will experience water stress and ET decreases linearly until it reaches zero at the wilting point. This method of simulating water stress is similar to the method described in Allen et al. (1998) to compute non-standard crop ET under water stress conditions.

The IDC monitors the moisture content within the root zone and applies water by triggering an irrigation event when the calculated soil moisture is below a user-specified minimum allowable soil moisture requirement. For this application of the IDC, the minimum soil moisture requirement was set to trigger an irrigation event when the soil moisture fell below one-half the TAW to limit water stress in the crops. During an irrigation event, the soil moisture content in the root zone reaches field capacity. If precipitation occurs, soil moisture may increase above field capacity, generating deep percolation, and potentially runoff, both depending on the quantity and temporal distribution of rainfall.

Deep percolation is the vertical movement of water through the soil column flowing out of the root zone resulting in the potential for groundwater recharge. The IDC applies the van Genuchten-Mualem equation (Mualem, 1976; van Genuchten, 1985) to compute deep percolation using the user-defined saturated hydraulic conductivity and pore size distribution.

Results

The total agricultural water supply requirements for 2019 was estimated to be 2,506 AF, and the effective precipitation (i.e., rainwater used by the crop) was 606 AF. Figure 26 illustrates the estimated crop water requirement in the NCMA as calculated by the IDC and displays the three identified crop types and their estimated monthly applied water. The rotational crops have the highest water supply requirements because they cover the greatest area (see Figure 25) and have the greatest annual ET (Table 12).

The estimated agricultural water supply requirement of 2,506 AF in 2019 is comparable to the estimated 2,651 AF in 2018; 2,536 AF in 2017; 2,494 AF in 2016; 3,008 AF in 2015; and 2,955 AF in 2014. In 2014, the methodology of estimating agricultural water requirements was modified from an estimated applied rate based on hydrologic conditions to the IWFM IDC methodology described here.

	Monthly Applied Water (AF)								Annual Total (AF)				
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Rotational Crops	0	0	0	131	362	143	448	392	323	275	254	0	2,329
Strawberry	0	0	0	0	0	14	21	33	32	30	21	0	152
Avocados	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	5	4	4	0	25
Total	1	0	0	131	362	157	474	431	361	309	279	0	2,506
			•		Month	ly Preci	ipitatior	n (inche	s)	•			Annual Total (inches)
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Precipitation	4.7	4.8	3.2	0.2	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	2.4	3.4	20.1
	Monthly Unit Water Demand (AF/Acre)							Annual Total					
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Rotational Crops	0	0	0	0.1	0.28	0.11	0.35	0.31	0.25	0.21	0.2	0	1.81
Strawberry	0	0	0	0	0	0.09	0.13	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.14	0	0.98
Avocados	0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0.21	0.27	0.2	0.18	0.16	0	1.05
Area Weighted Average	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.25	0.11	0.32	0.30	0.25	0.21	0.19	0.00	1.71

Table 12. 2019 IDC Model Results of Monthly Applied Water

Notes:

AF = acre-feet, AF/Acre = acre-feet per acre

4.2.2 Rural Use

In the NCMA, rural water use refers to groundwater pumping not designated as urban use or agricultural irrigation applied water and includes small community water systems, individual domestic water systems, recreational uses, and agriculture-related business systems. Small community water systems using groundwater in the NCMA were identified initially through a review of a list of water purveyors compiled in the 2007 County IRWMP. These include the Halcyon Water System, Ken Mar Gardens, and Pacific Dunes RV Resort. The Halcyon Water System serves 35 homes in the community of Halcyon, and Ken Mar Gardens provides water supply to 48 mobile homes on South Halcyon Road. The Pacific Dunes RV Resort, with 215 RV sites, provides water supply to a largely transitory population and a nearby riding stable. In addition, an inspection of aerial photographs of rural areas within NCMA has identified about 25 homes and businesses that are served by private wells. Two mobile home communities, Grande Mobile and Halcyon Estates, are served by OCSD through the distribution system of Arroyo Grande. Therefore, the production summary of OCSD includes these two communities. Based on prior reports, it is assumed that the number of private wells is negligible within the service areas of the NCMA agencies.

The Pismo Beach Golf Course (Le Sage Riviera Campground) uses an onsite water well for turf irrigation. The pumped water is not metered, and the golf course operators do not know the total water use. An estimate of water demand for the golf course is based on the irrigated acreage, sandy soils, near-ocean climate, and water duty factors from the U.S. Golf Association, Alliance for Water Efficiency, U.S. Golf Courses Organization of America, and several other sources. The estimated rural water demand is provided in Table 13.

Groundwater User	Estimated Annual Water Production, AF	Notes		
Halcyon Water System	35	0.40	14	1
Ken Mar Gardens	48		7	2
Pacific Dunes RV Resort	215	0.03	6	3
Pismo Beach Golf Course			45	4
Rural Users	10	1		
Current Estimated Rural Pro	82			

Table 13. Estimated Rural Water Production

Notes:

¹ Water use/unit based on 2000 and 2005 Grover Beach water use per connection, 2005 UWMP.

 $^{\rm 2}$ Demand based on metered water usage.

 $^{\rm 3}$ Water use/unit assumes 50 percent annual occupancy and 0.06 AFY per occupied site.

⁴ Estimated golf course demand, based on estimated water duty factor, annual ET, and irrigated acreage.

AF=acre feet, AFY = acre-feet per year

ET = evapotranspiration

UWMP = Urban Water Management Plan

4.2.3 Urban Production for Potable Use

Urban water production for potable use is presented in Table 14 for each of the NCMA agencies from 2005 through 2019. These values reflect Lopez Lake deliveries, SWP deliveries, groundwater production data, and system losses, and represent all water used within the service areas of the four NCMA agencies. In the last 15 years, urban water production has ranged from 5,476 AF (2016) to 8,982 AF (2007). There has been an overall decline in urban production since 2007, although there were slight increases in 2012, 2013, 2017, and 2018. The long-term declining trend in production is likely attributed to the relatively slow economy from 2009 through 2012 and conservation activities implemented by the NCMA agencies in response to the historic drought. Since 2013, when urban production was 7,939 AF, urban production declined dramatically to 2016 to the lowest level in at least the past 20 years. The urban production increased slightly in 2017 and 2018 but declined in 2019 to 5,660 AF.

Year	Arroyo Grande	Grover Beach	Pismo Beach	OCSD	Total Urban
2005	3,460	2,082	2,142	931	8,615
2006	3,425	2,025	2,121	882	8,453
2007	3,690	2,087	2,261	944	8,982
2008	3,579	2,051	2,208	933	8,771
2009	3,315	1,941	2,039	885	8,180
2010	2,956	1,787	1,944	855	7,542
2011	2,922	1,787	1,912	852	7,473
2012	3,022	1,757	2,029	838	7,646
2013	3,111	1,792	2,148	888	7,939
2014	2,752	1,347	1,949	807	6,856
2015	2,239	1,265	1,736	703	5,943
2016	1,948	1,210	1,646	672	5,476
2017	2,194	1,248	1,700	718	5,860
2018	2,212	1,221	1,720	725	5,878
2019	2,139	1,193	1,648	680	5,660

Table 14. Urban Water Production for Potable Use (Groundwater and Surface Water, AF)

Notes:

AF = acre-feet, OCSD = Oceano Community Services District

4.2.4 2019 Groundwater Pumpage

Total SMGB groundwater production in the NCMA, including urban production, applied agricultural water requirements, and rural pumping, is shown in Table 15. Total estimated SMGB groundwater pumpage in the NCMA in 2019 was 3,320 AF, which represents a decrease from 2018 (3,557 AF) and the lowest volume of groundwater production from the NCMA portion of the SMGB in at least the last 20 years.

Agency/Water User or Use	Groundwater Allotment + Ag Conversion Credit (AF)	2019 Groundwater Use from SMGB (AF)	Percent Pumped of Groundwater Allotment	
City of Arroyo Grande	1,202 + 121 = 1,323	81	7%	
City of Grover Beach	1,198 + 209 = 1,407	412	34%	
City of Pismo Beach	700	44	6%	
Oceano CSD	900	147	16%	
Total Urban Groundwater Allotment / Use	4,000 + 330 = 4,330	684	17%	
Agricultural Irrigation Applied Water	5,300 - 330 = 4,970	2,506	50%	
Nonpotable Irrigation by City of Arroyo Grande	-	48		
Rural Water Users		82		
Estimated Subsurface Outflow to Ocean (2001 Groundwater Management Agreement)	200			
Total NCMA Groundwater Allotment / Use	9,500	3,320	35%	

	Table	15.	NCMA	Groundwater	Pumpage	from	Santa	Maria	Groundwa	ater Basin	, 2019	(AF)
--	--------------	-----	-------------	-------------	---------	------	-------	-------	----------	------------	--------	-----	---

Notes:

AF = acre-feet, Ag = agriculture, CSD = Community Services District, NCMA = Northern Cities Management Area

The total estimated groundwater pumpage of 3,320 in 2019 represents about 35 percent of the calculated yield of 9,500 AFY for the NCMA portion of the SMGB.

A graphical depiction of water use by supply source for each NCMA agency since 2000 is presented as Figure 27. The graphs depict changes in water supply availability and use over time, including the increased use of SWP water during the early years of the period when SWP Table A deliveries were greater. The increased dependence in 2017, 2018, and 2019 on Lopez Lake is illustrated in this graphic. Although all four agencies pumped groundwater as part of their supply portfolios in 2019, groundwater pumped from the SMGB constituted a minor part of the overall water supply, an amount of 732 AF¹³ or 13 percent of overall urban use.

As shown in Figure 28, groundwater pumpage reached a peak in 2007 and then declined in 2008, 2009, and 2010. From 2010 through 2013, pumpage increased slightly every year, but even so, overall groundwater use remained significantly lower than previous annual pumpage rates. From 2013 through the present, annual pumpage totals have been on a downward trend. In 2019, urban potable groundwater use declined to 708 AF; which is 16 percent of the 4,330 AF of combined urban groundwater allotment and agricultural conversion credit.

¹³ This total includes the 684 AF pumped by Arroyo Grande and 48 AF of non-potable irrigation production.

4.2.5 Changes in Water Production

Historical water use for urban uses, agricultural irrigation, and rural uses is shown in Table 16.

Year	Arroyo Grande	Grover Beach	Pismo Beach	OCSD	Total Urban	Agricultural Irrigation ¹	Rural Water	Total Use
2005	3,460	2,082	2,142	931	8,615	2,056	36	10,707
2006	3,425	2,025	2,121	882	8,453	2,056	36	10,545
2007	3,690	2,087	2,261	944	8,982	2,742	36	11,760
2008	3,579	2,051	2,208	933	8,771	2,742	36	11,549
2009	3,315	1,941	2,039	885	8,180	2,742	36	10,958
2010	2,956	1,787	1,944	855	7,542	2,056	38	9,636
2011	2,922	1,787	1,912	852	7,473	2,742	38	10,253
2012	3,022	1,757	2,029	838	7,646	2,742	41	10,429
2013	3,111	1,792	2,148	888	7,939	2,742	42	10,722
2014	2,752	1,347	1,949	807	6,855	2,955	38	9,848
2015	2,239	1,266	1,736	703	5,943	3,008	38	8,990
2016	1,948	1,210	1,646	672	5,476	2,551	81	8,108
2017	2,194	1,248	1,700	718	5,860	2,579	80	8,519
2018	2,212	1,221	1,720	725	5,878	2,713	81	8,672
2019	2,139	1,193	1,648	680	5,660	2,554	82	8,296

Table 16. Total Water Use (Groundwater and Surface Water, AF)

Notes:

¹ Irrigation applied water includes agricultural irrigation plus SMGB non-potable irrigation by Arroyo Grande.

AF = acre-feet, CSD = Community Services District

In general, urban water production has ranged from 8,982 AF in 2007 (Table 16) to 5,476 AF in 2016. Water use since 2007 has been on a general downward trend; this overall decline in water use may be attributed to conservation activities implemented by the NCMA agencies in response to the drought.

In the agricultural irrigation category, agricultural acreage has remained fairly constant. Thus, annual applied water for agricultural irrigation varies mostly with weather conditions. Acknowledging the variability caused by weather conditions, agricultural irrigation applied water is not expected to change significantly given the relative stability of applied irrigation acreage and cropping patterns in the NCMA south of Arroyo Grande Creek.

Changes in rural domestic pumping have not been significant.

This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 5: Comparison of Water Supply and Water Production

The Baseline Available Urban Water Supplies for each of the NCMA agencies is 10,625 AFY, assuming 100 percent delivery of SWP allocation and assuming no Lopez Lake surplus water or SWP carryover (Table 8). In 2019, because of the availability of Lopez Lake surplus water and SWP carryover water, the total available urban water supply was 11,472 AF (Table 9).

As described in the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement and affirmed in the 2002 Settlement Agreement, the calculated safe yield from the NCMA portion of the SMGB is 9,500 AFY (Tables 8 and 15). Because all agricultural irrigation water use is supplied by groundwater, the total available agricultural irrigation supply is a portion of the estimated safe yield; this portion was allocated as 5,300 AFY for agricultural and rural use. The agricultural conversion of 330 AFY reduces this allocation to 4,970 AFY. Of the estimated safe yield of 9,500 AFY, other than what is allocated for agricultural irrigation and rural use, the remaining 4,330 AFY is allocated for urban water use (4,330 AFY, including 4,000 AFY groundwater allocation plus 330 AFY in agricultural conversion credit) and an estimated 200 AFY for subsurface outflow to the ocean.

In 2019, the total estimated NCMA water production was 8,296 AF (Table 17). The 2019 water production, by source, of each city and agency is shown in Table 17). Note that the production volumes described here are gross production (if pumped groundwater) and gross deliveries (if surface water deliveries) and equal net consumptive demand plus losses and return water.

|--|

Source	Lopez Lake	State Water Project	SMGB Groundwater	Other Supplies ¹	Total
Urban Area	·				
Arroyo Grande	2,033	0	81	24	2,138
Grover Beach	782	0	412	0	1,194
Pismo Beach	1048	556	44	0	1,648
Oceano CSD	522	522 11		0	680
Urban Water Use Total	4,385	567	684	24	5,660
Non-Urban Area					
Agricultural Irrigation Applied Water	0	0	2,506	0	2,506
Rural Water Users	0	0	82	0	82
Non-potable Irrigation by Arroyo Grande	0	0	48	0	48
Total	4,385	567	3,320	24	8,296

Notes:

¹ Other Supplies includes groundwater pumped from outside the NCMA boundaries.

AF = acre-feet, SMGB = Santa Maria Groundwater Basin, CSD = Community Services District

As shown in Table 17, urban water use in 2019 to the NCMA was supplied from 4,385 AF of Lopez Lake water; 567 AF of SWP water; and 684 AF of groundwater. Arroyo Grande produced 24 AF from its Pismo Formation wells in 2019.

Based on the calculated yield of the NCMA portion of the SMGB, the baseline, or full allocation, of total available supply for all uses is 15,595 AFY, which is the sum of 10,625 AFY for urban use plus the allocation for agricultural irrigation and rural area of 4,970 AFY. In 2019, factoring in the SWP delivery schedule and availability of SWP carryover water and Lopez Lake surplus, the total available supply for all uses was 11,472 AF (Table 9) compared with actual 2019 NCMA water use of 8,296 AF (Table 17). It must be noted, however, that this comparative review of available 2019 supply versus production must be viewed with caution because of the potential threats to the groundwater supply (see Section 6.1, below). As described earlier, the NCMA agencies pumped only 17 percent of their "available" groundwater allotment. Such minimal utilization of the groundwater resource resulted in a minor gain of groundwater in storage in the NCMA portion of the SMGB,

which is likely attributable to the year of above average rainfall. It is clear that the NCMA agencies could not have used their entire groundwater allotment in 2019 without significantly lowering water elevations below current conditions and potentially seriously exacerbating the threat of seawater intrusion.

This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 6: Threats to Water Supply

Because the NCMA agencies depend on both local and imported water supplies, changes in either state-wide or local conditions can threaten the NCMA water supply. Water supply imported from other areas of the state may be threatened by state-wide drought, effects of climate change in the SWP source area, management and environmental protection issues in the Delta that affect the amount and reliability of SWP deliveries, and risk of seismic damage to the SWP delivery system. Local threats to the NCMA water supply similarly include extended drought and climate change that may affect the yield from Lopez Lake and reduced recharge to the NCMA. In addition, the NCMA is not hydrologically isolated from the NMMA and the rest of the SMGB, and water supply threats in the NMMA are a potential threat to the water supply sustainability of the NCMA.

There is a potential impact from seawater intrusion if the groundwater system as a whole, including the entire SMGB, is not adequately monitored and managed. In particular, management of the SMGB may need to account for sea level rise and the relative change in groundwater gradient along the shoreline.

6.1 Threats to Local Groundwater Supply

6.1.1 Declining Water Levels

Water levels in the NCMA portion of the SMGB exhibited an overall declining trend for many years but have started to recover since about 2016. Important factors to maintaining water levels are managing inflow and outflow to the aquifer.

- Inflow: An important inflow component to the NCMA area is subsurface inflow into the aquifers that supply water wells serving the NCMA. Historically, subsurface inflow to the NCMA from the Nipomo Mesa along the southeast boundary of the NCMA has been an important component of groundwater recharge. This inflow is reduced from historical levels, as first recognized in 2008–2009, to "something approaching no subsurface flow" because of lower groundwater levels in the NMMA (NMMA 2nd Annual Report CY 2009, page 43) (NMMA, 2010). This condition continues, as described in all subsequent NMMA Annual Reports.
- Outflow: A major outflow component is groundwater pumpage. Total SMGB groundwater pumping in the NCMA (urban, agriculture, and rural domestic) was 3,320 AF in 2019, which is 35 percent of the court-accepted 9,500 AF safe yield of the NCMA portion of the SMGB. Such minimal utilization of the groundwater resource resulted in only a minor gain of groundwater in storage in the aquifer and a relatively slight rise in water level elevations. However, it is clear that the NCMA agencies could not have used their entire groundwater allotment in 2019 without significantly lowering water elevations below current conditions and potentially seriously exacerbating the threat of seawater intrusion.

The serious drought from 2011 to 2015 resulted in a steady decline in groundwater in storage in the NCMA portion of the SMGB. The recent slight increase of groundwater in storage (despite groundwater pumping at only 35 percent of the safe yield, which seemingly should have resulted in significant increases in groundwater in storage) illustrates the impacts of the recent drought. However, it also illustrates the impacts of reduced subsurface inflow recharge from the east (Nipomo Mesa). This condition of a long-term decline in groundwater in storage in the NCMA, even though total pumping is currently 35 percent of the basin safe yield, will be exacerbated if the NCMA agencies are required to increase groundwater withdrawals because of a reduction or total loss in local surface water supplies or SWP deliveries.

6.1.2 Seawater Intrusion

The NCMA is underlain by an accumulation of alluvial materials that slope gently offshore and extend for many miles under the ocean (DWR 1970, 1975). Coarser materials within the alluvial materials comprise aquifer

zones that receive freshwater recharge in areas above sea level. If sufficient outflow from the aquifer occurs, the dynamic interface between seawater and fresh water will be prevented from moving onshore. Sufficient differential pressure to maintain a net outflow is indicated by onshore groundwater elevations that are above mean sea level and establish a seaward gradient to maintain that outflow.

The 2008 NCMA Annual Report documented that a portion of the aquifer underlying the NCMA exhibited water surface elevations below sea level (NCMA, 2008). Hydrographs for NCMA sentry wells and the Deep Well Index (Figures 11 through 15) show that coastal groundwater elevations were at relatively low levels for as long as 2 years during that time. Such sustained low levels had not occurred previously in the historical record and reflected the impact of drought on groundwater levels. The low coastal groundwater levels indicated a potential for seawater intrusion.

Elevated concentrations of TDS, chloride, and sodium were observed in wells 30N03 and 30N02 beginning in May 2009, indicating potential seawater intrusion (Figures 29 and 30). OCSD MW-Blue also showed elevated concentrations of TDS and chlorides, but a concurrent decline in sodium (Figure 30). Concentrations declined to historical levels in well 30N03 by July 2010 and declined in well 30N02, one of the sentry wells comprising the Deep Well Index, to historical levels by October 2009. Comparing well 30N02 to the other Deep Well Index wells, the other Deep Well Index wells showed no elevated concentrations during the same period. However, comparing well 30N02 to wells with similar screen elevations (Figure 4), wells 36L01 (approximately 12,000 feet south of well 30N02) and the OCSD MW-Blue well, approximately 3,300 feet east-southeast of well 30N02, suggested that seawater intrusion perhaps progressed eastward as far as the OCSD MW-Blue well, but not as far south as well 36L01 (Figure 30). While the TDS and chloride concentrations were elevated from August 2009 to July 2011 in the OCSD MW-Blue well, the sodium concentrations remained within historical levels in well 36L01.

During 2019, there were no indications of seawater intrusion.

6.1.3 Measures to Avoid Seawater Intrusion

In recognition of the risk of seawater intrusion, the NCMA agencies have developed and implemented a water quality monitoring program for the sentry wells and OCSD observation wells. The NCMA agencies and SLOFCWCD have worked cooperatively toward the protection of the sentry wells as long-term monitoring sites. Several measures are employed by the NCMA agencies to reduce the potential for seawater intrusion. Specifically, the NCMA agencies have voluntarily reduced coastal groundwater pumping, decreased overall water use via conservation, and initiated plans, studies, and institutional arrangements to secure additional surface water supplies. As a result, each of the four major municipal water users in the NCMA reduced groundwater use between 25 and 95 percent during the past several years. In 2019, municipal groundwater use was 684 AF, which constitutes 17 percent of the urban user's groundwater allotment (including agricultural conversion credits) of the basin safe yield (Table 7).

Any action that results in reduced groundwater recharge, whether it is from drought or reduction of subsurface inflow from the north and east, reduces overall recharge to the groundwater basin, lowers the gradient (or head) of the groundwater near the shoreline, and reduces subsurface outflow to the ocean, thereby increasing the potential threat of seawater intrusion. Alternatively, any action that results in increased groundwater recharge lessens the threat of seawater intrusion.

A major initiative that will provide significant protection to the threat of seawater intrusion is the development of Central Coast Blue. Central Coast Blue is a regional recycled water project that includes advanced treatment of water from the wastewater treatment plants of Pismo Beach and SSLOCSD and injection into the NCMA portion of the SMGB. Injection of the highly treated effluent will reduce the threat of seawater intrusion and improve water supply sustainability for the region. Tasks related to the development of the project that were performed prior to and throughout 2019 include feasibility study analysis, preliminary design, pilot plant development and operation, funding appropriation, cost/benefit sharing analysis, groundwater modeling, and initial environmental review.

6.2 Threats to State Water Project Supply

Both extended drought and long-term reduction in snowpack from climate change can affect SWP deliveries. Above-average precipitation in 2016, 2017, and 2019 have resulted in a modest reprieve from drought conditions, locally. However, storage capacity levels of the state's two largest reservoirs, Lake Shasta and Lake Oroville, were 50 and 29 percent capacity, respectively, as of the start of 2019, both down from the previous year.

Leading into 2020, rainfall during the last 8 months of 2019 resulted in 7.21 inches of rain. The initial allocation announcement by DWR, announced on December 2, 2019, informed SWP contractors that their 2020 allocation would be 10 percent of requests for deliveries. The Table A allocation was subsequently increased on January 24, 2020, to 15 percent. As the winter rainfall season progresses, the allocations often increase by March or April. The last 100 percent allocation—difficult to achieve even in wet years largely because of Delta pumping restrictions to protect threatened and endangered fish species—was in 2006.

The immediate threat of allocation reductions to Pismo Beach and OCSD, the only SWP subcontractors in the NCMA, has not significantly materialized during the past several years. The SLOFCWCD's large amount of unsubscribed Table A allocation provides a buffer, in addition to the agency's drought buffer, so that contracted volumes to SWP subcontractors, such as the OCSD and Pismo Beach, still may be provided in full. However, the SWP supply has the potential to be affected by drought as well as environmental issues, particularly involving the Delta smelt.

6.3 Threats to Lopez Lake Water Supply

Extended drought conditions in recent years have contributed to record low water levels in Lopez Lake; the impacts of climate change may affect future precipitation amounts in the Lopez Creek watershed. As discussed in Section 4.1.1, the Zone 3 agencies developed and implemented the LRRP in response to reduced water in storage in the lake. The LRRP is intended to reduce municipal diversions and downstream releases as water levels drop in order to preserve water within the reservoir for an extended drought. Despite below-average precipitation in 2018, above-average precipitation in 2016, 2017, and 2019 resulted in a return to non-drought conditions in the lake. However, even with reduced diversions and releases, water from Lopez Lake may be significantly reduced or unavailable to the Zone 3 agencies in the event of future drought. Without access to water from Lopez Lake, the NCMA agencies and local agriculture stakeholders may be forced to rely more heavily on their groundwater supplies and increase pumping during extended drought conditions, which could result in lowering water levels in the aquifer and an increased threat from seawater intrusion. Moreover, a reduction in downstream releases from the reservoir, as mandated by the LRRP, likely will lead to reduced recharge to the NCMA portion of the SMGB and further contribute to declining groundwater levels.
This page intentionally left blank.

SECTION 7: Management Activities

The NCMA and overlying private well users have actively managed surface water and groundwater resources in the NCMA agencies area for more than 30 years. Management objectives and responsibilities were first established in the 1983 Gentlemen's Agreement, recognized in the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement, and affirmed in the 2002 Settlement Agreement. The responsibility and authority of the Northern Parties for NCMA groundwater management was formally established through the 2002 Settlement Agreement, 2005 Stipulation, and 2008 Judgment. Throughout the long history of collaborative management, which was formalized through the Agreement, Stipulation, and Judgment, the overall management goal for the NCMA agencies is to preserve the long-term integrity of water supplies in the NCMA portion of the SMGB.

7.1 Management Objectives

Eight basic Water Management Objectives have been established for ongoing NCMA groundwater management:

- 1. Share Groundwater Resources and Manage Pumping
- 2. Enhance Management of NCMA Groundwater
- 3. Monitor Supply and Demand and Share Information
- 4. Manage Groundwater Levels and Prevent Seawater Intrusion
- 5. Protect Groundwater Quality
- 6. Manage Cooperatively
- 7. Encourage Water Conservation
- 8. Evaluate Alternative Sources of Supply

Each of these objectives is discussed in the following sections. Under each objective, the NCMA TG has identified strategies to meet the objectives. These strategies are listed and then discussed under each of the eight objectives listed below. Other potential objectives are outlined in the final section.

An NCMA Strategic Plan was developed in 2014 to provide the NCMA TG with a mission statement to guide future initiatives, provide a framework for identifying and communicating water resource planning goals and objectives, and formalize a 10-year work plan for implementation of those efforts. Several key objectives were identified related to enhancing water supply reliability, improving water resource management, and increasing effective public outreach. Implementation of some of these efforts continued throughout 2019.

Work began in 2019 to update the 2014 NCMA Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan was developed over a series of strategic planning sessions and NCMA TG meetings. The purpose of the Strategic Plan is to provide the NCMA TG with the following:

- A Mission Statement to guide future initiatives;
- A framework for communicating water resource goals; and
- A formalized Work Plan for the next 10 years.

Through the strategic planning process, the NCMA TG identified several key strategies to guide future efforts. These key strategies include the following:

- Enhance groundwater management;
- Develop supplemental water supply;
- Improve understanding of the NCMA groundwater;
- Improve water management governance; and
- Increase inter-agency coordination.

Several strategic initiatives were developed for each key strategy, and an extensive screening and objective ranking process was applied. Utilizing the ranked and grouped strategic initiatives, the NCMA TG is developing an implementation plan for the key strategies. The implementation plan will include for each initiative the key participants, the NCMA lead, an estimated budget, and an implementation time frame.

Through the 2019 strategic planning process, the NCMA TG identified several key strategic initiatives for each strategy. These initiatives include the following:

A. Enhance Groundwater Management

- Complete an update to the 2002 Management Agreement, including the development of a Low Basin Response Plan
- Use the Phase 1B groundwater model to simulate regional groundwater management scenarios
- Prepare a groundwater sustainability plan consistent with SGMA requirements
- Develop a conjunctive use plan to optimize use of available groundwater storage

B. Develop Supplemental Water Supply

- Implement Central Coast Blue
- Prepare a stormwater capture feasibility study

C. Improve Understanding of the NCMA Groundwater

- Re-evaluate the NCMA Deep Well Index
- Expand the water quality database to include all water quality data
- Construct additional monitoring well
- Complete Phase 2 groundwater flow model for SMGB

D. Improve Water Management Governance

- Improve managerial and legal coordination
- Prepare bi-annual presentation to County Board of Supervisors

E. Increase Inter-Agency Coordination

- Complete intertie plan for NCMA agencies
- Select a NCMA logo
- Prepare an infrastructure resiliency analysis

In January 2015, the NCMA agencies developed a Water Supply, Production and Delivery Plan (WSPDP) that applies the strategic objectives to the various supplies available to the area. The NCMA area receives supplies from Lopez Lake, the SWP, and the SMGB.

The purpose of the WSPDP is to provide the NCMA agencies with a delivery plan that optimizes use of existing infrastructure and minimizes groundwater pumping from the SMGB. The plan includes the development of a water supply and delivery modeling tool for the NCMA agencies, evaluation of three delivery scenarios, and development of recommendations for water delivery.

The WSPDP made recommendations that were implemented or subject to further study. These recommendations are summarized in subsequent sections, and include the following:

- Continue ongoing water conservation efforts to limit demand and make additional supply available for potentially future dry years.
- Immediately implement the strategies identified in Scenario 1, Baseline Delivery, to minimize SMGB groundwater pumping in the near term.

These recommendations reinforce the ongoing management efforts by the NCMA and provide potential projects to improve water supply reliability and protect water quality during the ongoing drought. Ongoing work to implement the recommendations includes evaluation of additional delivery facilities to add operational flexibility to ensure optimum use of all supplies.

Implementing the WSPDP has allowed the NCMA to minimize the use of groundwater thereby protecting against seawater intrusion while meeting the needs of its customers and other water users.

The NCMA agencies, in conjunction with the other Zone 3 agencies and SLOFCWCD, continue efforts to evaluate potential drought emergency options and implement drought emergency actions. This initiative includes identification, evaluation, and ranking of potential options available to Zone 3 to improve the reliability of its water supplies. The Zone 3 agencies and the County have pledged to work collaboratively to continue to evaluate and implement emergency water supply reliability options as required in conditions of extended drought.

A drought emergency action initiated by Zone 3 is cloud seeding. In 2019, the County Board of Supervisors, in coordination with the NCMA agencies and other Zone 3 partners, approved a professional services contract for the 2019-2020 Winter Season Cloud Seeding Program for the Lopez Lake Watershed. The program is conceived to be a 3-year program. At this time, Zone 3 is committing to the first year (2019-2020) only and will return to the Board in subsequent years for further approval.

Additional potential drought emergency options that the Zone 3 agencies have evaluated in the past few years include the following:

Zone 3 Extended Drought Emergency Options:

- State Water Project. Maximize importation of SLOFCWCD SWP supplies, including subcontractor supplies and the large amount of unsubscribed Table A allocation.
- Surplus Nacimiento Water Project (NWP) Water. Investigate transfer/exchange opportunities to obtain surplus NWP water for the Zone 3 agencies (i.e., exchange agreements with the City of San Luis Obispo and the Chorro Valley pipeline SWP subcontractors).
- Water Market Purchases. Investigate opportunities to obtain additional imported water and deliver it to the Zone 3 agencies through the SWP infrastructure (e.g., exchange agreements with San Joaquin/Sacramento Valley farmers, water broker consultation, groundwater banking exchange agreements, and others).
- Morro Bay Desalination Plant Exchanges. Investigate opportunities to obtain SWP water from Morro Bay by providing incentives for Morro Bay to fully utilize its desalination plant capacity.
- Land Fallowing. Evaluate potential agreements with local agriculture representatives to offer financial incentives to fallow land within the Arroyo Grande and Cienega Valleys to make that irrigation water available for municipal use.
- Enhanced Conservation. Evaluate opportunities for enhanced water conservation by the Zone 3 agencies (e.g., water rationing, no outdoor watering, agriculture water restrictions) to preserve additional water.
- Nacimiento/California Men's Colony Intertie. Complete design of a pipeline that would connect the NWP pipeline to the California Men's Colony (CMC) Water Treatment Plant. Investigate opportunities for Zone 3

agencies to purchase NWP water and use exchange agreements and existing infrastructure to deliver additional water to Zone 3 through the Coastal Branch pipeline.

7.1.1 Share Groundwater Resources and Manage Pumping

Strategies:

- Continued reduction of groundwater pumping; maintain pumping below safe yield.
- Coordinated delivery of Lopez Lake water to the maximum amount available, pursuant to the LRRP.
- Continue to import SWP supplies to OCSD and Pismo Beach.
- Maintain surface water delivery infrastructure to maximize capacity.
- Utilize Lopez Lake to store additional SWP water within San Luis Obispo County.
- Modify Zone 3 agency contracts to incorporate storage provisions in the contract.

Discussion:

A longstanding objective of water users in the NCMA has been to cooperatively share and manage groundwater resources. In 1983, the Northern Parties mutually agreed on an initial safe yield estimate and an allotment of pumping between the urban users and agricultural irrigation users of 57 percent and 43 percent, respectively. In this agreement, the NCMA agencies also established pumping allotments among themselves. Subsequently, the 2001 Groundwater Management Agreement included provisions to account for changes such as agricultural land conversions. The agreements provide that any change in the accepted safe yield based on ongoing assessments would be shared on a pro rata basis. Pursuant to the 2005 Stipulation, the NCMA agencies conducted a water balance study to update the safe yield estimate (Todd, 2007). As a result, the NCMA agencies agreed to maintain the existing pumping allotment among the urban users and established a consistent methodology to address agricultural land use conversion.

In addition to cooperatively sharing and managing groundwater resources, the NCMA agencies have coordinated delivery of water from Lopez Lake. At the same time, Pismo Beach and OCSD have continued to import SWP water. Both actions maximize use of available surface water supplies. In 2016, in response to the ongoing drought at that time and the threat of diminishing water supplies, Arroyo Grande approved a measure authorizing the City to purchase SWP water from the SLOFCWCD's excess allotment on a temporary basis and only during a declared local water emergency. That condition was not reached in 2017 nor subsequent years, and Arroyo Grande has not purchased SWP water to date.

An initiative to modify the Zone agency contracts to incorporate storage provisions into agency contracts was started in late 2019. By the end of 2019, the Zone 3 Technical Advisory Committee was evaluating contract language changes to allow for the following:

- Allow for year-over-year individual agency storage;
- Account for evaporation losses of stored water;
- Allow for the reduction of entitlements or to utilize stored water to meet downstream release requirements;
- Develop mechanisms to address the condition when the Zone 3 agencies do not have water to contribute to downstream release obligations;
- Clarify Lopez turnout delivery capacity limitations;
- Remove antiquated language from the contract that is no longer relevant, and;

 Allow for exchanges of Lopez Lake water with SWP water during times when there is a curtailment of delivery of Lopez Lake water due to maintenance projects.

The benefits of the initiative to modify the contract language is to provide the ability of each agency to store water for future drought, improve flexibility of multi-year water supply planning, and provides an incentive for agencies to preserve water in the reservoir.

The WSPDP now provides a framework for the NCMA, as a whole, to actively and effectively manage the groundwater resource, particularly in years of below-normal rainfall and below "normal" SWP delivery schedules. The WSPDP outlined a strategy to provide sufficient supplies to NCMA water users in instances of reduced SWP delivery. Specifically, in 2019, municipal groundwater pumpage at 684 AF (732 AF including non-potable water pumped by Arroyo Grande) was less than any other year during the 21-year period from 1999 through 2019 (inclusive).

Seawater intrusion is the most important potential adverse impact for the NCMA agencies to consider in the efforts to effectively manage the aquifer. Seawater intrusion, a concern since the 1960s, would degrade the quality of water in the aquifer and potentially render portions of the SMGB unsuitable for groundwater production (DWR, 1970). A Deep Well Index of the three primary deep sentry wells of 7.5 feet (NAVD 88) has been recognized as the threshold, above which it is thought that there is sufficient fresh water (groundwater) outflow to prevent seawater intrusion. From late 2009 to April 2013, the NCMA agencies' management of groundwater levels and groundwater pumpage maintained the Deep Well Index above the 7.5-foot level. Then in mid-to-late 2013, 2014, and 2015, groundwater levels dropped below the target index value on several occasions and often remained below the target elevation for several months on end. In 2015, the groundwater levels were generally between 4 and 7 feet below the 7.5-foot target index level. Groundwater elevations dropped below the Deep Well Index threshold several months in 2016, but this occurred for a shorter duration than in 2015, with groundwater elevations reaching less than 2 feet below the target value. In 2017, the Deep Well Index remained above the 7.5-foot threshold value the entire year for the first time since 2012 (except for a very brief period between August 18 and August 29, 2017, when the agencies were forced to increase groundwater pumping due to a maintenance shutdown of the Lopez Lake water supply). In 2018, the Deep Well Index started the year above the trigger value, then dropped below the 7.5-foot threshold in early July. For more than 4 months, the Deep Well Index remained below the index trigger value, reaching an index value of approximately 6.5 feet in late October. In late November 2018, it rose above the threshold value where it remained through the end of the year (Figure 12).

In 2019, the Deep Well Index started the year above the trigger value with an index value of more than 9 feet in January. It continued to rise, reached a high at more than 11 feet in late March, and then began to decline. The index value dropped down to the 7.5-foot trigger value briefly in late October and then immediately began to rise. The index value continued to rise throughout the end of the year and finished 2019 at about 10.5 feet NAVD 88 (Figure 12).

Another potential adverse impact of localized pumping includes reduction of flow in local streams, notably Arroyo Grande Creek (Todd, 2007). The NCMA agencies (as Zone 3 contractors) have participated with SLOFCWCD in preparation of the Arroyo Grande Creek Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) that addresses reservoir releases to maintain both groundwater levels and habitat diversity in the creek. Efforts by the SLOFCWCD to conduct the hydraulic studies to finalize the HCP have been started are ongoing. The scheduled completion of the HCP is not certain.

7.1.2 Enhance Management of NCMA Groundwater

Strategies:

Develop a groundwater model for the NCMA/NMMA or the entire SMGB.

- Coordinate with the County and NMMA to develop new monitoring well(s) in key locations within the SMGB.
- Develop a Salt and Nutrient Management Plan (SNMP) for the NCMA/NMMA.
- Develop and implement a framework for groundwater storage/conjunctive use, including return flows.
- Update the 2001 Management Agreement.

Discussion:

The NCMA agencies participated in the oversight of the performance of the SMGB characterization study (Fugro, 2015) that was finalized with the distribution of the complete data sets in March 2016. The project was conducted as part of the County IRWMP 2014 update, in part to prepare for and to provide the foundational data for development of a numerical groundwater flow model and preparation of a basin-wide SNMP. To date, the SNMP has not been initiated, but the groundwater flow modeling work has been completed through Phase 1B, as described below. This groundwater flow model is associated with Central Coast Blue, a recycled water project formerly known as the Regional Groundwater Sustainability Project. The intent of Central Coast Blue is to enable Pismo Beach, partnering NCMA agencies, and the SSLOCSD to construct an advanced treatment facility (ATF) to produce advanced purified water (APW) to augment its water supply through injection to recharge the aquifer and develop a seawater intrusion barrier to improve water supply reliability for the area. As part of Central Coast Blue planning and technical studies, a localized groundwater flow model (the Phase 1A model) was developed for the northern portion of the NCMA that evaluated the concept of injecting APW into the aquifer to increase recharge, improve water supply reliability and help prevent future occurrences of seawater intrusion.

Based on the results of the Phase 1A model and through funding by SSLOCSD Supplemental Environmental Program, work was initiated in 2017 and continued through 2019 for development of the Phase 1B groundwater flow model. The model domain of the Phase 1B model covers the entire NCMA, NMMA, and the portion of the SMVMA north of the Santa Maria River. The purpose of the Phase 1B model is to evaluate additional groundwater injection and extraction scenarios to further support Central Coast Blue. It will be utilized to identify the locations of the proposed injection wells, quantify the amount of water that can be injected, evaluate strategies for preventing seawater intrusion, and develop estimates of the overall yield that the Central Coast Blue stakeholders will be able to receive from the project. The Phase 1B model will be a tool for the NCMA agencies to further evaluate basin yield and basin management initiatives. The Phase 1B modeling work was completed in December 2019.

As part of the SLOFCWCD's SMGB characterization study (Fugro, 2015), continuous monitoring transducers were installed in 2015 in coastal sentry wells 36L01 and 36L02 (which are part of the NCMA monitoring program) and in wells 11N/36W-12C01 and 11N/36W-12C02 (located in the NMMA and monitored by the County and by NMMA). As a result, continuous water level and field-parameter water quality data were collected from these wells throughout 2019.

As discussed in Section 7.1, the 2019 update of the NCMA strategic plan has placed a high priority on updating the 2001 Management Agreement. This effort will likely be a major effort in 2020.

The monthly NCMA TG meetings provide for collaborative development of joint budget proposals for studies and plans and shared water resources. In addition, the monthly meetings provide a forum for discussing the data collected as part of the quarterly monitoring reports.

7.1.3 Monitor Supply and Demand and Share Information

Strategies:

Develop coordinated Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs) for the NCMA agencies.

- Develop a coordinated Water Shortage Contingency Plan to respond to a severe water shortage condition in the NCMA.
- Share groundwater pumping data at monthly NCMA TG meetings.
- Evaluate future water demands through comparison with the following UWMP projections:
 - Arroyo Grande 2015 UWMP (revised and updated, January 2017)
 - Pismo Beach 2015 UWMP (June 2016)
 - Grover Beach 2010 UWMP (June 2011)
 - OCSD is not required to prepare an UWMP because the community population does not meet the minimum requirement threshold.

Discussion:

Arroyo Grande and Pismo Beach prepared updated UWMPs in 2016 and 2017, respectively. Grover Beach is currently developing an updated UWMP that is expected to be complete by this summer. OCSD is not required to prepare an UWMP because the community population does not meet the minimum requirement threshold; however, many of the aspects of a UWMP are addressed through OCSD's participation in the NCMA planning process.

Regular monitoring of activities that affect the groundwater basin and sharing that information have occurred for many years. The monitoring efforts include gathering data on hydrologic conditions, water supply and demand, and groundwater pumping, levels, and quality. The current monitoring program is managed by the NCMA agencies in accordance with the 2005 Stipulation and the 2008 Judgment, guided by the July 2008 Monitoring Program for the NCMA. The monitoring data and a summary of groundwater management activities are summarized in the annual reports. Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach have each evaluated their future water demands as part of their respective UWMPs. The NCMA shares information with the two other management areas (NMMA and SMVMA) through data exchange and regular meetings throughout the annual report preparation cycle. The NCMA TG believes that the UWMP process by each individual agency is adequate and that a coordinated UWMP is not necessary.

Management activities have become more closely coordinated among the NCMA agencies as a result of the 2011 through 2016 drought. In particular, the NCMA agencies implemented the LRRP to limit municipal diversions and downstream releases from Lopez Lake to ensure that water is available for future potentially dry years. In addition, the Zone 3 agencies (which include the NCMA agencies) initiated a long-term drought planning effort. The planning effort is intended to prepare water supplies for periods of extended drought conditions.

7.1.4 Manage Groundwater Levels and Prevent Seawater Intrusion

Strategies:

- Use stormwater ponds to capture stormwater runoff and recharge the groundwater basin.
- Install transducers in key monitoring wells to provide continuous groundwater elevation data. The following wells have transducers:
 - 24B03
 - 30F03
 - 30N02
 - 36L01
 - 36L02
 - 32C03 (County Monitoring Well No. 3)

 Collect and evaluate daily municipal pumping data to determine the impact on local groundwater elevation levels.

Discussion:

Prevention of seawater intrusion through the management of groundwater levels is essential to protect the shared resource. The NCMA agencies increase groundwater recharge with stormwater infiltration and closely monitoring groundwater levels and water quality in sentry wells along the coast.

Arroyo Grande and Grover Beach each maintain stormwater retention ponds within their jurisdictions; the SLOFCWCD maintains the stormwater system, including retention ponds, in OCSD. These ponds collect stormwater runoff, allowing it to recharge the underlying aquifers. There are approximately 140 acres of detention ponds in Arroyo Grande and 48 acres of detention ponds in Grover Beach. The stormwater detention pond in OCSD is approximately one-half acre. Grover Beach modified its stormwater system in 2012 to direct additional flow into one of its recharge basins.

The San Luis Obispo County Stormwater Resources Plan (Stormwater Resources Plan) (SLO Co., 2019) was submitted to the SWRCB for review on February 28, 2019. The purpose of this Stormwater Resources Plan is to identify and prioritize stormwater and dry weather runoff capture projects in the County through detailed analyses of watershed conditions and processes, surface and groundwater resources, and the multiple benefits that can be achieved through stormwater-related capital projects and other programmatic actions (SLO Co., 2019). The Stormwater Management Plan identifies four proposed projects within the NCMA, including the Pismo Preserve Roads Improvement Project, the Oceano Drainage Improvement Project (which is nearly complete and will be completed in 2020), South Halcyon Green/Complete Street, and a stormwater infiltration basins project. In 2019, Oceano CSD started design of the Oceano Stormwater Capture and Groundwater Recharge Project These proposed projects emphasize water supply augmentation, environmental restoration and other community benefits, including an estimated annual infiltration capacity of 26 AF and an instantaneous floodwater capture capacity of 3.37 AF (SLO Co., 2019).

Although closely related to the objectives to manage pumping, monitor supply and demand, and share information, this objective also specifically recognizes the proximity of production wells to the coast and the threat of seawater intrusion. The NCMA agencies and SLOFCWCD have long cooperated in the monitoring of groundwater levels, including quarterly measurement by the NCMA of groundwater levels in sentry wells at the coast. Upon assuming responsibility for the coastal monitoring wells, the NCMA became aware of the need to upgrade the condition of the sentry wells. In July 2010 the wellheads (surface completions) at the four sentry monitoring well clusters in the NCMA were renovated (Todd, 2010). The renovations included raising the elevations of the top of each individual well casing by 2 to 3 feet and resurveying relative to the NAVD 88 standard in late September 2010 (Wallace Group, 2010). The individual well casings are now above the ground surface and protective locking steel risers enclose each cluster. As a result of this work, the sentry wells in the NCMA now are protected from surface contamination and tampering.

Quarterly measurement of groundwater levels aids in assessing the risk of seawater intrusion along the coast. To enhance the data collection and assessment efforts, the NCMA installed transducers in four of the key sentry monitoring wells to provide continuous groundwater levels at key locations (originally, a transducer was placed in the shallow completion 24B01, but was later removed). By combining this with the collection and evaluation of daily municipal pumping data, the NCMA is better able to determine the response of local groundwater levels to extractions and, therefore, is able to better manage the aquifer and NCMA portion of the SMGB.

To gain insight into water level fluctuation and water quality variation in the area between the NCMA and NMMA, a continuous monitor was installed in County Monitoring Well #3 (32C03), which was constructed and

is owned by the County as part of the County-wide groundwater monitoring network. Water level monitoring was initiated in April 2012, when sensors were installed to document water level, temperature, and specific conductivity.

In 2015, continuous monitoring sensors were installed in coastal monitoring wells 36L01 and 36L02 located in the Oceano Dunes. Data from the transducers in these wells now are collected on a quarterly basis along with the other sentry wells.

Additional studies to enhance basin management efforts that have been discussed by the NCMA TG include the following:

- Consider implementation of a monthly water level elevation data analysis of the sentry wells during periods when the Deep Well Index value is below the index target of 7.5 feet NAVD 88 for an extended period of time. Given that the index generally has remained steady because of reduced groundwater pumping, the NCMA has deferred the issue of monthly analysis.
- Consider implementation of a monthly analysis of electrical conductivity data from the wells with downhole transducers during periods when the Deep Well Index value is below the index target of 7.5 feet to track potential water quality degradation (an enhanced monitoring schedule of County Monitoring Well No. 3 is not necessary because background water quality does not change or fluctuate significantly). If electrical conductivity data suggest water quality degradation, implement a monthly sampling and monitoring program. (Given that the index generally has remained steady because of reductions in groundwater pumping, the NCMA has deferred the issue of monthly analysis.)
- Assess the potential impacts on sentry well water level elevations from extended periods of increased groundwater pumping by conducting analytical modeling analyses to predict water level responses given certain pumping scenarios. These analyses may prove fruitful as scenarios unfold regarding decreased SWP deliveries or short-term emergency cuts to Lopez Lake deliveries. Utilization of the Phase 1B model may be used for this purpose in 2020 and beyond.
- The 2005 Stipulation requires Nipomo Community Services District (NCSD) and the other NMMA parties to develop a Nipomo Supplemental Water Project (NSWP) to import a minimum of 2,500 AFY to mitigate overpumping that may impact groundwater inflow to the NCMA, and thus may facilitate seawater intrusion in both NCMA and NMMA. On July 2, 2015, the NCSD began taking deliveries of water from the City of Santa Maria. The NSWP is designed to deliver 3,000 AFY, however current deliveries are about 950 AFY. The additional stages of the NSWP and funding sources to implement the project to allow increased water delivery to meet the requirements of the 2008 Judgment are being planned; full implementation of the project is apparently planned for 2025–2026.

7.1.5 Protect Groundwater Quality

Strategies:

- Perform quarterly water quality monitoring at all sentry wells and County Well No. 3.
- Gather temperature and electrical conductivity data from monitoring wells to continuously track water quality indicators for seawater intrusion.
- Prepare an SNMP pursuant to state policy using the results of the SMGB characterization study (Fugro, 2015).
- Construct Central Coast Blue.
- Support regional recycled water project planning through performance of a Recycled Water Facility Planning Study (RWFPS) by the SSLOCSD. The RWFPS was completed in 2017.

Discussion:

The objective to protect groundwater quality is closely linked with the objective for monitoring and data sharing. To meet this objective, all sources of water quality degradation, including the threat of seawater intrusion, need to be recognized. Water quality threats and possible degradation affect the integrity of the groundwater basin, potentially resulting in loss of use or the need for expensive water treatment processes. Sentry wells are monitored quarterly and data from other NCMA production wells are assessed annually. The monitoring program includes evaluation of potential contaminants in addition to those that might indicate seawater intrusion. Temperature and electrical conductivity probes have been installed in five monitoring wells to provide continuous water quality tracking for early indication of seawater intrusion. A sixth sentry well cluster (36L) in the Oceano Dunes was instrumented in April 2015 as part of the SMGB characterization study (Fugro, 2015). The results of the SMGB characterization study provide the foundation for preparation of an SNMP.

Investigations continued throughout 2019 for work associated with Pismo Beach's Central Coast Blue project. These efforts continue to follow up on Pismo Beach's RWFPS to investigate alternatives for constructing a recycled water system that will enable the NCMA agencies to beneficially use recycled water to augment their groundwater supply and provide a new, drought-proof source of water supply for the area.

7.1.6 Manage Cooperatively

Strategies:

- Improve agriculture outreach by enhancing coordination with local growers.
- Coordinate groundwater monitoring data sharing and annual report preparation with the NCMA, NMMA, and the SMVMA.
- Improve interagency coordination among the NCMA agencies and include the County.

Discussion:

Since 1983, NCMA management has been based on cooperative efforts of the affected parties, including the NCMA agencies, private agricultural groundwater users, the County, the SLOFCWCD, and other local and state agencies. Specifically, the NCMA agencies have limited their pumping and, in cooperation with SLOFCWCD, invested in surface water supplies to not exceed the safe yield of the NCMA portion of the SMGB. Other organizations participate, as appropriate. In addition to the efforts discussed in this 2019 Annual Report, cooperative management occurs through many other venues and forums, including communication by the NCMA agencies in their respective public meetings and participation in the Water Resources Advisory Council (the county-wide advisory panel on water issues).

The NCMA agencies participated in preparation and adoption of the 2014 update of the County IRWMP. The IRWMP promotes integrated regional water management to ensure sustainable water uses, reliable water supplies, better water quality, environmental stewardship, efficient urban development, protection of agriculture, and a strong economy. The IRWMP integrates all of the programs, plans, and projects within the region into water supply, water quality, ecosystem preservation and restoration, groundwater monitoring and management, and flood management programs.

Since the 2008 Judgment, the NCMA has taken the lead in cooperative management of its management area. The NCMA TG met monthly throughout 2019 and has been a willing and active participant in the SMGBMA technical subcommittee, which first met in 2009 (the SMGBMA technical subcommittee did not meet in 2019). The purpose of the SMGBMA technical subcommittee is to coordinate efforts— such as enhanced monitoring of groundwater levels and improved sharing of data—among the management areas. With the current threats

to water supply in all management areas, greater communication, analytical collaboration, and data sharing are encouraged, especially between NCMA and NMMA.

An outcome of actions initiated by NCMA in early 2016 resulted in increased discussion and collaboration between the NCMA and NMMA in the past three years. The NCMA-NMMA Management Coordination Committee met several times in 2017, 2018, and 2019 to discuss items of mutual concern and develop strategies for addressing the concerns.

Another area of increased mutual collaboration between the NCMA and NMMA was the formation of a technical team, consisting of representatives from the NCMA and NMMA, to collaboratively develop a single data set of water level data points to prepare a consistent set of semiannual water level contour maps for the NCMA and NMMA, so that the maps from each management area would represent a mutually agreed upon condition at the NCMA/NMMA boundary. This collaboration continued throughout 2019 through continued assessment and evaluation of the water level database, sharing of new data, and discussions of knowledge of hydrogeologic conditions gained. The result has been a series of groundwater elevation contour maps of both the NCMA and the NMMA that reflect water level conditions at the NCMA/NMMA boundary.

A third initiative was to create a Modeling Subcommittee, composed of representatives from the NCMA and NMMA, to discuss the feasibility and possible work scope for the development of a numerical groundwater flow model of the SMGB, or at least the portion of the basin north of the Santa Maria River. When the Phase 1B groundwater flow model project was initiated in 2017, representatives from this subcommittee formed a technical review and advisory committee to provide input to the modeling consultant and monitor progress. An NMMA representative participated in the technical review and in an advisory capacity throughout the development of the Phase 1B model.

7.1.7 Encourage Water Conservation

Strategies:

- Share updated water conservation information.
- Implement UWMPs.

Discussion:

Water conservation, or water use efficiency, is linked to the monitoring of supply and demand and the management of pumping. Water conservation reduces overall demand on all sources, including groundwater, and supports management objectives to manage groundwater levels and prevent seawater intrusion. In addition, water conservation is consistent with state policies seeking to achieve a 20 percent reduction in water use by the year 2020. Water conservation activities in the NCMA are summarized in various documents produced by the NCMA agencies, including the 2015 UWMPs of Arroyo Grande and Pismo Beach and the 2010 UWMP of Grover Beach. OCSD is not required to prepare an UWMP.

In addition to ongoing water conservation efforts, the drought conditions that extended throughout 2016 led the NCMA agencies to increase their efforts to reduce water use. The statewide mandatory water conservation requirements (signed into law on April 1, 2015, by the governor [Executive Order B-29-15] that enacted mandatory water conservation requirements because of the ongoing drought conditions and the historic low Sierra snowpack measurements) were continued throughout 2016 and into early 2017. On April 7, 2017, the State of California lifted the drought emergency and State mandated water use restrictions throughout the state.

The water conservation measures instituted by each NCMA agency are summarized below.

Arroyo Grande

On April 7, 2017, the State of California took action to lift the drought emergency and State mandated water use restrictions throughout the state. The action also eliminated the State's mandate for Arroyo Grande to save 28 percent of its water use. In response, the Arroyo Grande City Council approved and adopted a resolution in May 2017, rescinding the Stage 1 Water Shortage Emergency in the City, which removes temporary water use limitations that established individualized water budgets for all residential customers. During the State-mandated Stage 1 restrictions, Arroyo Grande's water use reduction was, on average, 42 percent compared with 2013, thereby meeting and exceeding the state mandates.

The City Council's action was based on a determination that there is no immediate or imminent threat to the City's ability to meet the community's water supply needs. However, all established mandatory water use restrictions remained in effect, including limitations on outdoor irrigation and continued adherence to four-day outdoor irrigation based on the property address. These mandatory water use restrictions remained in place throughout 2019.

Mandatory water conservation measures include the following:

- Use of water that results in excessive gutter runoff is prohibited.
- No water will be used for cleaning driveways, patios, parking lots, sidewalks, streets, or other such use except where necessary to protect the public health and safety.
- Outdoor water use for washing vehicles will be attended and have hand-controlled water devices.
- Outdoor irrigation is prohibited between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m.
- Irrigation of private and public landscaping, turf areas, and gardens is permitted at even-numbered addresses only on Mondays and Thursdays, and at odd-numbered addresses only on Tuesdays and Fridays.
- No irrigation of private and public landscaping, turf areas, and gardens is permitted on Wednesdays. Irrigation is permitted at all addresses on Saturdays and Sundays.
- In all cases, customers are directed to use no more water than necessary to maintain landscaping.
- Emptying and refilling swimming pools and commercial spas is prohibited except to prevent structural damage and/or to provide for the public health and safety.
- Use of potable water for soil compaction or dust control purposes in construction activities is prohibited.
- New swimming pools may be constructed; however, they will have a cover that conforms to the size and shape of the pool and acts as an effective barrier to evaporation. The cover must be in place during periods when use of the pool is not reasonably expected to occur.
- Hotel, motel, or other commercial lodging establishments will offer patrons the option to forego the daily laundering of towels, sheets, and other linens.
- Restaurants or other commercial food service establishments will not serve water except upon the request of a patron.

To help manage the use of water, the City offers water conservation incentive programs designed to decrease overall water use. The conservation and incentive programs include the following:

Plumbing Retrofit Program. This program includes installation or adjustment of showerheads, toilets, faucet aerators, and pressure regulators for single-family and multi-family residential units constructed before 1992. This program has been in place since 2004 at an expense to the City of more than \$1.55 million.

- Water-Wise Landscaping Program. This program provides resources for designing and installing waterwise landscaping in San Luis Obispo County, selecting climate-appropriate plants, and irrigation and drainage improvements that will help residents improve their landscaping and protect the watershed.
- Washing Machine Rebate. This program pays water customers a one-time rebate for the installation of a certified energy-efficient Tier 3 washing machine.
- Mandatory Plumbing Retrofit. Upon change of ownership of any residential property, the seller must retrofit the property's plumbing fixtures to meet defined low-water-use criteria.
- Water Conservation Hotline.

Pismo Beach

In 2014, Pismo Beach introduced the first-in-the-state waterless urinal mandate and a 0.5-gallon per minute (gpm) restroom aerator retrofit requirement. The components of this program include the following:

- Waterless urinal retrofits. All existing urinals in the City were retrofitted to waterless urinals before February 14, 2016.
- Faucet aerators. New residential restroom construction requires faucets that are fitted with aerators that emit no more than 0.5 gpm. Restroom faucets in all publicly accessible restrooms, including those in hotel rooms, lobbies and restrooms, restaurants, schools, commercial and retail buildings, public buildings, and similar publicly accessible restrooms were retrofitted to install aerators that emit no more than 0.5 gpm.
- Sub-meters in new construction. All new multi-unit buildings, regardless of proposed use, were required to have a separate sub-meter capable of measuring the water use of every usable unit, separate common space, and landscaping that is expected to use at least 25 gallons of water per day on average for the course of a year, regardless of the overall size of the building. Buildings that have a separate water meter for each unit are exempt.

Also in 2014, Pismo Beach adopted several Water Conservation Incentive Programs to help reduce water consumption and ensure reliable future water supply. On February 16, 2016, the Pismo Beach City Council updated the Water Conservation Incentive Programs list to include:

- Cash for Grass. This program reimburses residents for each square foot of lawn removed (minimum 300 square feet) and replaced with drought-tolerant landscaping, which is required to have drip or micro-spray irrigation and be on an automatic timer.
- Free Catch Bucket Program. This program gives residents one free shower catch bucket for capturing unused shower water and re-purposing it for irrigation or utility purposes.
- Rain Barrel Rebate Program. This program reimburses residents up to \$100 (\$50 per rain barrel) when up to two rain barrels are purchased and installed to use rainwater, conserve potable water, and reduce stormwater runoff.
- Water-Wise Landscaping Program. This program provides resources for designing and installing waterwise landscaping in San Luis Obispo County, selecting climate-appropriate plants, and irrigation and drainage improvements that will help residents improve their landscaping and protect the watershed.
- High Efficiency Toilet Rebate Program. This program provides a one-time rebate for each 3.5-gallon-perflush or higher toilet replaced with a 1.28-gallon-per-flush or lower toilet.
- Water Conservation Hotline.

In January, 2017, Pismo Beach adopted an updated schedule of development impact fees to include new recycled water fees for all new development, redevelopment, and additions to existing buildings that create

additional dwelling units or additional non-residential floor area, to help fund the cost of the Central Coast Blue project.

In June, 2017, in response to the State of California action to lift the drought emergency and State mandated water use restrictions throughout the state, Pismo Beach declared a "Normal Water Supply" and adopted an Urgency Ordinance O-2017-003, revising the restrictions associated with each water supply status to conform to State mandates. The restrictions for a Normal Water Supply include the following:

- Use of water that causes runoff onto adjacent properties, non-irrigated areas, private and public walkways, roadways, gutters, parking lots or structures is prohibited.
- Outdoor water use for washing vehicles, boats, paved surfaces, buildings, and similar uses shall be attended and have hand-controlled water devices, which shut off the water immediately when not in use.
- No water will be used for cleaning driveways, patios, parking lots, sidewalks, streets, or other such uses except as found necessary by the city to protect the public health or safety.
- Outdoor Irrigation:
 - Outdoor irrigation is prohibited between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m.
 - Applying water to outdoor landscapes during and within 48 hours following measurable precipitation is prohibited.
- Restaurants will serve drinking water only in response to a specific request by a customer.
- Using water in a fountain or other decorative water feature, except where the water is part of a recirculating system, is prohibited.
- The use of outdoor irrigation during, and 48 hours following, measurable precipitation is prohibited.

Grover Beach

Between 2011 and 2014, Grover Beach declared Stage I and Stage II water shortage conditions and implemented conservation measures including public outreach and education and voluntary prohibitions on water use. In June 2014, Grover Beach declared a Stage III Water Shortage that required all water customers to reduce their water usage by 10 percent. Many of the prohibitions that had previously been voluntary since declaration of the Stage II Water Shortage Declaration became mandatory with the Stage III declaration. The declaration also provided the City with the authority to impose penalties for failure to comply with the water reduction or use prohibitions. The Stage III Water Shortage declaration, with associated prohibitions, continued throughout 2019. These prohibitions include the following:

- Washing of sidewalks, driveways, or roadways where air blowers or sweeping provides a reasonable alternative.
- Refilling of private pools except to maintain water levels.
- Planting of turf and other new landscaping, unless it consists of drought-tolerant plants.
- Washing vehicles, boats, etc. without a quick-acting shut-off nozzle on the hose.
- Washing any exterior surfaces unless using a quick-acting shut-off nozzle on the hose.
- Restaurant water service, unless requested.
- Use of potable water for construction purposes, unless no other source of water or method can be used.
- Operation of ornamental fountain or car wash unless water is re-circulated.

As of January 2020, Grover Beach is considering making changes to its water conservation program that would be incorporated into a Water Shortage Contingency Plan (WSCP),¹⁴ enacted when water supplies are insufficient to support demand. As droughts and other events impacting water supply occur more frequently and intensely, the WSCP helps prepare for and respond to water shortages. The proposed changes include six stages of action tied to actual water shortage conditions in 10 percent increments. Each stage relates a supply reduction range to an associated demand reduction target, which may vary based on the nature of Triggering Conditions that are dependent on the cause, severity, and anticipated duration of the water supply shortage. If adopted, the Grover Beach city staff would continuously monitor the availability of water supply sources¹⁵ and, if one or more set of triggering conditions were met, the Public Works Director would notify the City Council and recommend declaration of the appropriate stage of water shortage.

In addition to mandatory water use prohibitions, Grover Beach has implemented water conservation incentive programs including the following:

- Cash for Grass Rebate Program
- Smart Irrigation Controller and Sensor Rebate Program
- Toilet Fixture, Showerhead, and Sink Aerator Retrofit Rebate Program
- Washing Machine Rebate Program

Oceano CSD

Given the population of its service area, OCSD is not required to prepare an UWMP or reduce water consumption as mandated by the Governor for urban water suppliers. Outdoor water use restrictions have been adopted, as required. In April 2015, OCSD adopted a rate increase that included tiered rates to promote water conservation. These tiered rates remain in effect, but were reduced in July 2017, upon adoption of the Post Drought Consumption Charges and Supplemental Water Charge Ordinance.

OCSD pumped only 16 percent of its groundwater allotment in 2019 and is utilizing Lopez Lake surplus water in addition to its Lopez Lake allocation. Meanwhile, OCSD's conservation efforts continue to exceed the Governor's drought-mandated goal (since rescinded) of 25 percent. Overall consumption has declined to approximately 79 gallons per capita daily (gpcd) after the implementation of drought conservation rates, illustrating that, as a disadvantaged community, it is responding effectively to conservation rates.

OCSD's demand is less than its annual allocation of SWP water, preserving local supplies if needed in subsequent years, depending on SWP deliveries. In the event that SWP deliveries are decreased to a level that is insufficient to meet OCSD demand, then mandatory conservation efforts will be implemented to match the available supply. If the supply is less than the s55 gpcd needed to meet health and safety needs, then the supply shortfall will be supplemented from Lopez Lake supplies. Current SWP reliability analyses prepared by the DWR illustrate a low probability that SWP water will not be able to meet OCSD demands in any two consecutive years.

Additional strategies exist in the event of temporary non-delivery of SWP and Lopez Lake water and other unforeseen circumstances. Post-drought strategies include resumption of groundwater pumping, resumption of Lopez Lake deliveries, and storage of SWP water as provided in SWP contracts.

¹⁴ The WSCP is a required component of the City of Grover Beach's updated 2020 UWMP, currently under development for submission to the State this summer.

¹⁵ Including monitoring of Lopez Lake supplies and monitoring of groundwater availability based on the Deep Well Index as compared with its threshold value of 7.5 feet NAVD 88.

7.1.8 Evaluate Alternative Sources of Supply

Strategies:

- Evaluate expanded use of recycled water, including implementation of Central Coast Blue.
- Analyze the capacity of the Lopez Lake and Coastal Branch pipelines to maximize deliveries of surface water. The following analyses have been completed:
 - Lopez Lake Pipeline Capacity Evaluation (WSC, 2011a)
 - Lopez Lake Pipeline Capacity Re-Evaluation (WSC, 2011b)
 - Coastal Branch Capacity Assessment (WSC, 2011a)
 - Lopez Bypass and State Water Delivery Evaluation (WSC, 2017)
- Optimize existing surface water supplies, including surface water storage, through the development of a framework for interagency exchanges and transfers, including SWP and Lopez Lake supplies.
- Maximize Lopez Lake pipeline capacity.

Discussion:

The NCMA agencies continue to evaluate alternative sources of water supply that could provide a more reliable and sustainable water supply for the NCMA. An expanded portfolio of water supply sources will support sustainable management of the groundwater resource and help to reduce the risk of water shortages. These alternative sources include the following:

- State Water Project. OCSD and Pismo Beach are currently SWP customers. Both agencies increased their SWP allocations by securing "drought buffers" to increase the availability of supply during periods of SWP shortfalls. Grover Beach and Arroyo Grande are not SWP customers. However, Arroyo Grande approved a measure in 2016 authorizing the City to purchase SWP water from the SLOFCWCD's excess allotment on a temporary basis and only during a declared local water emergency. To date, Arroyo Grande has not declared such an emergency and has not purchased SWP water.
- Water Recycling. As discussed in Section 7.1.5, Pismo Beach and the SSLOCSD both prepared RWFPSs to evaluate alternatives for a recycled water program that could provide a supplemental water supply source and improve the water supply reliability for the Pismo Beach and the SSLOCSD member agencies (Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and OCSD).

Section 7.1.5 also describes ongoing efforts for Central Coast Blue that will enable the NCMA agencies to produce recycled water to augment their water supplies. Construction of the new facility will allow for the use of recycled water to recharge the groundwater basin and provide a new, drought-proof source of water supply for the area. As conceived, the project includes construction of a distribution system that will inject advanced purified water into the SMGB and will allow the NCMA agencies to increase recharge to the aquifer, improve water supply reliability, and help to prevent future occurrences of seawater intrusion.

Lopez Lake Expansion. In 2008, the County sponsored a preliminary assessment of the concept of installing an inflatable rubber dam at the Lopez Dam spillway. Subsequently, the SLOFCWCD CSA 12 and Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and Pismo Beach funded a study to further analyze the feasibility of increasing the yield of Lopez Lake by raising the spillway height with an inflatable dam or permanent extension. The study was finalized in 2013 and identified the potential to increase the annual yield from Lopez Lake by 500 AFY with a spillway height increase of 6 feet (Stetson, 2013). The NCMA agencies are continuing to evaluate other aspects of the project, including pipeline capacity and impacts on the HCP process.

Desalination. In 2006, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and OCSD used Proposition 50 funds to complete a
feasibility study on desalination as an additional water supply option for the NCMA. This alternative supply
is not considered to be a viable option at this time.

When PG&E announced plans to close its Diablo Canyon Power Plant, previous efforts by the SLOFCWCD to (1) evaluate the potential to expand the existing desalination facility at the PG&E Diablo Canyon Power Plant and (2) connect it to the Lopez Lake pipeline to provide a supplemental water supply for the Zone 3 agencies were terminated.

 Nacimiento Pipeline Extension. In 2006, Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, and OCSD completed an evaluation of a Nacimiento pipeline extension to determine the feasibility of delivery of water from the Nacimiento reservoir to the NCMA. This alternative supply is not considered to be a viable option at this time.

SECTION 8: References

- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., and Smith, M. 1998. Crop evapotranspiration- Guidelines for computing crop water requirements: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56, 300p.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1958. San Luis Obispo County Investigation, Bulletin No. 18, vol. 1 and 2.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1970. Sea-Water Intrusion: Pismo-Guadalupe Area. Bulletin No. 63-3, 76 p.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1975. Sea-Water Intrusion in California, Inventory of Coastal Ground Water Basins, Bulletin No. 63-5.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 1979. Ground Water in the Arroyo Grande Area, Southern District Report.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2002. Water resources of the Arroyo Grande Nipomo Mesa Area: Southern District Report.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2019. Sustainable Groundwater Management Act 2019 Basin Prioritization Process and Results. Prepared by the State of California Natural Resources Agency Department of Water Resources Sustainable Groundwater Management Program.
- California Department of Water Resources Bay Delta Office. 2016. IWFM Demand Calculator IDC 2015: Theoretical Documentation and User's Manual. Central Valley Modeling Unit.
- Cal Poly. 2019. California Evapotranspiration Data for Irrigation District Water Balances, Irrigation Training & Research Center, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407-0730.
- Carollo Engineers. 2011. City of Pismo Beach 2010 Urban Water Management Plan.
- City of Arroyo Grande. 2017. Amended Final Draft, 2015 Urban Water Management Plan, City of Arroyo Grande.
- City of Grover Beach. 2010. City of Grover Beach 2010 Urban Water Management Plan.
- EDAW, Inc. August 1998. San Luis Obispo County Master Water Plan Update.
- Fugro Consultants, Inc. 2015. Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Characterization and Planning Activities Study, Final Report. Prepared for San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, December 30, 2015.
- GSI Water Solutions, Inc. (GSI) 2018. Santa Maria River Valley Groundwater Basin, Basin Boundary Modification Request Technical Report. Prepared for San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water **Conservation District.**
- Miller, G. A. and Evenson, R. E. 1966. Utilization of Groundwater in the Santa Maria Valley Area, California. USGS Water Supply Paper 1819-A.
- Mualem, Y. 1976. A new model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated porous media. Water Resources Res., 12, 513-522.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2008. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by Todd Engineers. April 2009.

Northern Cities Management Area. 2009. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by Todd Engineers. April 2010.

Northern Cities Management Area. 2010. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GEI Consultants. April 2011.

Northern Cities Management Area. 2011. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GEI Consultants. May 2012.

Northern Cities Management Area. 2012. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GEI Consultants. April 2013.

- Northern Cities Management Area. 2013. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by Fugro Consultants. April 2014.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2014. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by Fugro Consultants. April 2015.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2015. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by Fugro Consultants. April 2016.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2016. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GSI Water Solutions, Inc. April 2017.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2017. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GSI Water Solutions, Inc. April 2018.
- Northern Cities Management Area. 2018. Annual Monitoring Report, prepared by GSI Water Solutions, Inc. April 2019.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2010. 2nd Annual Report, Calendar Year 2009, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2010.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2011. 3rd Annual Report, Calendar Year 2010, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2011.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2012. 4th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2011, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2012.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2013. 5th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2012, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2013.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2014. 6th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2013, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2014.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2015. 7th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2014, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2015.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area. 2016. 8th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2015, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2016.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area, 9th Annual Report, Calendar Year 2016, prepared by the NMMA Technical Group, April 2017.
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). 2014. Central Coastal California Seismic Imaging Project (CCSIP),

 report
 to
 the
 California
 Public
 Utilities
 Commission.

 http://www.pge.com/en/safety/systemworks/dcpp/seismicsafety/report.page
- San Luis Obispo County (SLO Co). 2019. San Luis Obispo County Stormwater Resources Plan. Submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board on February 28, 2019.
- Stetson Engineers. 2013. Lopez Lake Spillway Raise Project Report.
- Todd Engineers. 2007. Water Balance Study for the Northern Cities Area. Todd Engineers. April 2007.
- Todd. Engineers. 2008. Monitoring Program for the Northern Cities Management Area. Todd Engineers, July 2008.

- Todd Engineers. 2010. Summary of Renovations for the Northern Cities Management Area Sentry Wells, San Luis Obispo County, California.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. Quaternary fault and fold database for the United States. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/regional/qfaults
- van Genuchten, M.T. 1985. A Closed-form solution for predicting the conductivity of unsaturated soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 44, 892-898.
- Wallace Group. 2010. Survey Report on the "Sentry" Well Elevation Establishment for Cities of Arroyo Grande, Grover Beach, Pismo Beach and the Oceano Community Services District.
- Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC). 2011a. Capacity Assessment of the Coastal Branch, Chorro Valley, & Lopez Pipelines. Prepared by Water Systems Consulting, Inc. for the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and the Central Coast Water Authority.
- Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC). 2011b. Lopez Pipeline Re-Evaluation Technical Memorandum. Prepared by Water Systems Consulting, Inc. for the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and the Central Coast Water Authority.
- Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC). 2014. Final Draft Strategic Plan for the Northern Cities Management Area Technical Group, June 2014.
- Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC). 2015. Recycled Water Facilities Planning Study Final: prepared for the City of Pismo Beach, April 2015.
- Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC). 2017. Lopez Bypass & State Water Delivery Evaluation. Prepared for the San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.
- Woodring, W.P and Bramlette, M.N. 1950. Geology and Paleontology of the Santa Maria District, California: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 222, 142 p.
- Worts, G.G., Jr. 1951. Geology and ground-water resources of the Santa Maria Valley area, California: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1000, 176 p.

FIGURES

This page left blank intentionally.







Date: January 28, 2020 Data Sources:

er Agenda 1tem 76 lites_Management_Area\Source_Figures\006_20 May 13, 2020 - Page 96 of 20 Jaria_Groundwa Attaschintent - NCMA Annual Report





Date: February 26, 2020 Data Sources: Documery Path: Yunon LSP10677C Nort

hent_ArealSource_FiguMage-13, 2020 Per Page 97 of 201 - Northern_Citiatharaneet for a model Annual Report

Miles







Agenda Item 7C



Miles



DEPTHS OF MONITORING WELLS

Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California May 13, 2020 - Page 99 of 201





Portland\672-Northern Cities Management Area\006-2019 Annual Report\03 Annual Report\0 Admin Draft\Figures\Parts Fig 3 NCMA Precip 1950 - present Shaded.

ANNUAL PRECIPITATION 1950 TO 2019

Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California May 13, 2020 - Page 100 of 201





er Agenda 1tem 76 lies_Management_Area\Source_Figures\005_20 and a straight 13, 2020 - Page 101 of 201 on _Locations. Attachment - NCMA Annual Report





P:\Portland\672-Northern Cities Management Area\005-2018 Annual Report\03 Annual Report\03 Admini Draftliffigures\Parts Fig 51%C0WANNontthy Prexipantbey .grf



MONTHLY AND AVERAGE PRECIPITATION AND EVAPOTRANSPIRATION Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California
Attachment - NCMA Ar

Attachment - NCMA Annual REGURE 7



LEGEND

Fault

- Municipal Well Sentry Well Ο Alluvial Groundwater Contour (feet, NAVD88) Deep Groundwater Contour (feet, NAVD88)
- All Other Features Northern Cities Management Area Alluvial Aquifer Extent Highway

Watercourse

Groundwater Elevation Contours Spring 2019

Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California



Date: January 30, 2020 Data Sources: SLO County, NCMA and NMMA Agence

re Agen Vala Theme 7 Cities_Management_Area\Source_Figures\006_218 a yr 13, R 2020 - Page 103 of 201 NCMA_Water Atta Chimientri - NCMA Annual Report



O Sentry Well Alluvial Groundwater Contour (feet, NAVD88) Deep Groundwater Contour (feet, NAVD88)

Area Alluvial Aquifer Extent 🖊 Highway

Northern Cities Management

Watercourse

Groundwater Elevation Contours Fall 2019

Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California



GS Water Solutions, Inc

Date: January 30, 2020 Data Sources: SLO County, NCMA and NMMA Agenci

Fault

re Agen Val 7 them 7 Cities_Management_Area\Source_Figures\006_218 a yr 13, R 2020 - Page 104 5 for 201 NCMA_Water Atta Chimient - 2 NCMA Annual Report



Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

Water Solutions, Inc

GURE 10

Agenda Item 7C



Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California May 13, 2020 - Page 106 of 201

Agenda Item 7C

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

Water Solutions, Inc.



Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

Agenda Item 7C














Date: January 30, 2020 Data Sources: SLO County, NCMA and NMMA Agend

Pagen 14 bit 201 Water Level Crattachiment_Area\Source_Figures\006_May 13, 2020 and Page 114 bit 201 Water Level Crattachiment 1 NCMA Annual Report

Water Solutions, Inc



Date: January 30, 2020 Data Sources: SLO County, NCMA and NMMA Agen ne Agen Vaa Item 7 Cities_Management_Area\Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 115 of 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area\Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 115 of 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 115 of 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 115 of 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 115 of 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 Mater Level CiAttachiment Area Source_Figures\006_2Ma()n13, 20201 al Page 201 A

Water Solutions, Inc



FIGURE 21

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

Agenda Item 7C



Agenda Item 7C

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report



P:Portland\672-Northern Cities Management Area\006-2019 Annual Report\03 Annual Report\0 Admin Draft\Figures\Parts Fig 23 NCMA Piper Diagram

Note: Data include "middle" and "deep" wells from 2019 quarterly sampling events.



 PIPER DIAGRAM OF WATER QUALITY IN SELECT MONITORING WELLS

 7C
 Northern Cities Management Area May 13, 2020 Page 18 of 201 San Luis Obispo County, California



Agenda Item 7C

nt_Area\Source_Figmation 13, 2020 - Page 1997 5204 Locations of Matical Endedine Weiking Annual Report



Highway Watercourse

Northern Cities Management

Date: January 30, 2020 Data Sources:

"Agenda' Item 70" lies_Management_Area\Source_Figures\006_2Magorf3, 2020 usi Page 120° of 201 NCMA AgricultAttachment - NCMA Annual Report

0.5

Miles

GSI

Water Solutions, Inc



P:/Portland/672-Northern Cities Management Area/005-2018 Annual Report/03 Annual Report/0 Admin Draft/Figures/Parts Fig 24 2017 NCMA Estimated Agricultural Water Demand and Monthly Precipitation at the CIMIS Nipomo Station

2019 ESTIMATED AGRICULTURAL WATER DEMAND AND MONTHLY PRECIPITATION AT THE SLO NO. 795 GAUGE



Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California May 13, 2020 - Page 121 of 201



MUNICIPAL WATER USE BY SOURCE Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California

May 13, 2020 - Page 122 of 201

Water Solutions, In

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

Agenda Item 7C



TOTAL WATER USE (URBAN, RURAL, AG) BY SOURCE Northern Cities Management Area San Luis Obispo County, California May 13, 2020 - Page 123 of 201



FIGURE 28

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report





Agenda Item 7C

Attachment - NCMA Annual Report

APPENDIX A NCMA Monitoring Well Water Level and Water Quality Data

This page left blank intentionally.



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/9/2019	6.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.36
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/9/2019	6.07	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.51
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/9/2019	7.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.4
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/8/2019	5.95	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.63
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/9/2018	6.29	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.29
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/10/2018	7.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.58
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/10/2018	6.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.10
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/10/2018	6.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.58
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/10/2017	6.12	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.46
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/11/2017	6.74	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.84
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/11/2017	6.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.28
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/10/2017	5.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.04
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/12/2016	6.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.04
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/19/2016	6.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.80
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/12/2016	6.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.23
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/12/2016	5.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.41
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/13/2015	5.73	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.85
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/14/2015	6.06	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.52
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/14/2015	6.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.36
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/13/2015	5.83	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.75
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/14/2014	5.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.82
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/29/2014	5.99	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.59
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	6/4/2014	6.52	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.06
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/15/2014	5.95	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.63
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/14/2014	5.75	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.83
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/14/2013	6.07	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.51
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/9/2013	6.09	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.49
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/10/2013	7.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.58
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/14/2013	5.72	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.86
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/29/2012	5.92	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.66
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/23/2012	5.79	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.79
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/18/2012	5.58	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.00
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/11/2012	5.72	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.86
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	11/21/2011	5.80	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.78
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/26/2011	6.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.20
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/20/2011	6.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.18
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/24/2011	5.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.80
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/21/2010	6.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.21
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	7/27/2010	6.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.1
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/27/2010	3.84	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	6.86
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	1/27/2010	3.13	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	7.57
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	10/19/2009	2.28	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	8.42
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	8/20/2009	3.25	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	7.45
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	5/12/2009	3.58	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	7.12
32S/12E-24B01	North Beach Shallow	Alluvium	4/7/2009	1.61	Flush	Top Flush Mount	11.70	10.09



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	6.52	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.06
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	6.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.18
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	6.50	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.08
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	6.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.58
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	6.65	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.93
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	7.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.41
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	6.02	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.56
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	5.57	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.01
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	6.46	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.12
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	6.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.65
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	6.26	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.32
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	5.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.25
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	7.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.53
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	7.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	5.97
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	6.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.21
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	5.51	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.07
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	6.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.97
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	6.97	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.61
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	7.13	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.45
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	6.28	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.30
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	6.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.97
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	7.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.53
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	8.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	5.33
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	6.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.03
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	6.34	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.24
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	7.08	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.50
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	7.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.41
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	6.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.25
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	5.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.97
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/29/2012	5.88	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.7
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/23/2012	6.12	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.46
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	5.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.1
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/11/2012	5.47	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.11
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	5.69	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.89
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	6.51	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.07
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	6.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.28
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	5.69	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	7.89
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	6.79	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.79
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	7/27/2010	7.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.53
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	4/27/2010	4.34	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	6.36
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	1/27/2010	3.38	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	7.32
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	10/19/2009	2.26	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	8.44
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	8/20/2009	4.09	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	6.61
32S/12E-24B02	North Beach Middle	Paso Robles	5/12/2009	4.74	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	5.96



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/9/2019	3.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.22
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/9/2019	3.39	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.19
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/9/2019	3.08	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.50
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/8/2019	3.12	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.46
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/9/2018	3.80	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.78
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/10/2018	4.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.40
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/10/2018	2.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	11.03
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/10/2018	2.51	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	11.07
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/10/2017	3.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.98
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/11/2017	3.75	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.83
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/11/2017	2.90	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.68
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/10/2017	2.59	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.99
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/12/2016	4.70	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.88
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/19/2016	5.10	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.48
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/12/2016	3.81	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.77
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/12/2016	3.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.57
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/13/2015	4.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.96
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/14/2015	4.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.82
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/14/2015	4.86	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.72
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/13/2015	3.59	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.99
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/14/2014	4.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.98
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/29/2014	4.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.80
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	6/4/2014	7.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	6.25
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	5/5/2014	5.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.22
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/15/2014	3.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.64
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/14/2014	3.81	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.77
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/14/2013	4.50	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.08
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/9/2013	4.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.1
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/10/2013	3.41	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.17
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/14/2013	2.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	11.1
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/29/2012	3.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.57
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/23/2012	2.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.6
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/18/2012	1.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	11.65
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/12/2012	2.15	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	11.43
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	11/21/2011	2.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.65
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/26/2011	3.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.41
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/20/2011	3.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.33
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/24/2011	2.65	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	10.93
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/21/2010	4.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	8.98
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	7/27/2010	4.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	13.58	9.04
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	4/27/2010	1.43	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	9.27
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	1/27/2010	0.94	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	9.76
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	10/19/2009	0.81	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	9.89
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	8/19/2009	4.18	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	6.52
32S/12E-24B03	North Beach Deep	Careaga	5/12/2009	3.18	Flush	Top Flush Mount	10.70	7.52



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/9/2019	14.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.38
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/9/2019	13.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.56
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/9/2019	13.03	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.13
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/8/2019	14.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.62
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/9/2018	15.23	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.93
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/10/2018	14.81	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.35
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/10/2018	14.03	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.13
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/10/2018	14.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.76
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/10/2017	14.65	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.51
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/11/2017	13.73	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.43
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/11/2017	13.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.91
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/10/2017	13.99	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.17
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/12/2016	17.08	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.08
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/19/2016	16.42	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.74
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/12/2016	14.83	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.33
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/12/2016	15.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.16
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/13/2015	17.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.05
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/14/2015	16.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.23
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/14/2015	16.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.15
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/13/2015	15.41	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.75
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/14/2014	17.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.11
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/29/2014	17.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.05
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	6/4/2014	16.82	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.34
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/15/2014	15.56	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.60
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/14/2014	16.58	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.58
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/14/2013	17.07	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.09
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/9/2013	16.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.99
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/10/2013	14.58	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.58
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/14/2013	14.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.8
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/30/2012	14.95	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.21
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/24/2012	14.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.16
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/18/2012	13.42	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.74
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/10/2012	13.80	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.36
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	11/21/2011	13.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.38
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/26/2011	13.50	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.66
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/20/2011	12.82	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.34
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/24/2011	13.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.83
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/21/2010	16.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.61
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	7/26/2010	15.68	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.48
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/27/2010	11.02	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	12.14
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	1/28/2010	12.73	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.43
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	10/19/2009	14.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.83
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	8/19/2009	14.34	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.82
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	5/12/2009	12.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.78
32S/13E-30F01	Highway 1 Shallow	Alluvium / Paso Robles	4/7/2009	11.67	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	24.16	12.49



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	15.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.61
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	14.90	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.26
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	14.87	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.29
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	15.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.05
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	15.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.22
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	15.90	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.26
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	14.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.54
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	14.79	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.37
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	15.45	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.71
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	15.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.86
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	14.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.89
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	14.53	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.63
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	17.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.81
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	17.63	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.53
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	15.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.18
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	15.29	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.87
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	17.29	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.87
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	17.44	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.72
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	16.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.22
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	16.41	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.75
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	17.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.83
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	17.31	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.85
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	18.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.16
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	16.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.89
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	17.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.15
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	17.52	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.64
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	17.15	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.01
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	15.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.4
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	15.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.15
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/30/2012	15.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.89
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/24/2012	14.82	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.34
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	14.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.78
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/12/2012	14.31	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.85
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	14.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.22
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	14.46	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.7
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	14.23	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.93
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	14.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.80
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	7.39	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	15.77
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	7/26/2010	16.21	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.95
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/27/2010	12.14	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	8.22
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	1/28/2010	13.09	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	7.27
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	10/19/2009	14.36	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	6.00
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	8/19/2009	14.81	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	5.55
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	5/12/2009	14.34	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	6.02
32S/13E-30F02	Highway 1 Middle	Paso Robles	4/7/2009	12.28	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	8.08



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/9/2019	14.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.76
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/9/2019	13.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.78
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/9/2019	12.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.61
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/8/2019	14.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.89
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/9/2018	15.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.68
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/10/2018	16.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.05
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/10/2018	12.16	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	11.00
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/10/2018	12.85	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.31
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/10/2017	14.70	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.46
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/11/2017	13.64	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.52
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/11/2017	12.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.80
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/10/2017	14.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.91
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/12/2016	17.82	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.34
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/19/2016	17.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.94
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/12/2016	14.90	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.26
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/12/2016	14.84	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.32
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/13/2015	18.87	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	4.29
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/14/2015	18.87	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	4.29
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/14/2015	17.92	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.24
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/13/2015	14.13	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.03
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/14/2014	18.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	4.18
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/29/2014	18.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	4.54
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	6/4/2014	22.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	0.89
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	5/5/2014	21.34	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	1.82
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/15/2014	16.14	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.02
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/14/2014	15.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	7.81
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/14/2013	17.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.86
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/9/2013	16.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	6.55
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/10/2013	14.69	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.47
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/14/2013	12.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.54
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/30/2012	14.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.55
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/24/2012	14.50	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.66
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/18/2012	10.43	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	12.73
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/12/2012	12.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.79
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	11/21/2011	13.24	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	9.92
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/26/2011	14.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	8.94
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/20/2011	12.51	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.65
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/24/2011	12.67	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	10.49
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/21/2010	6.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	16.54
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	7/26/2010	17.32	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	23.16	5.84
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	4/27/2010	11.38	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	8.98
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	1/28/2010	10.98	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	9.38
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	10/19/2009	14.18	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	6.18
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	8/19/2009	20.23	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	0.13
32S/13E-30F03	Highway 1 Deep	Careaga	5/12/2009	17.68	Flush	Top Flush Mount	20.36	2.68



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/9/2019	9.63	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.50
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/9/2019	9.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.88
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/9/2019	8.91	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.22
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/8/2019	8.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.53
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/9/2018	9.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.78
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/10/2018	9.46	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.67
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/10/2018	9.04	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.09
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/10/2018	8.97	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.16
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/10/2017	9.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.78
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/11/2017	9.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.13
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/11/2017	8.70	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.43
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/10/2017	7.89	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.24
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/12/2016	10.21	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.92
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/19/2016	9.91	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.22
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/12/2016	8.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.20
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/12/2016	8.73	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.40
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/13/2015	10.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.02
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/14/2015	9.91	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.22
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/14/2015	9.51	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.62
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/13/2015	9.03	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.10
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/14/2014	9.95	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.18
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/29/2014	9.88	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.25
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	6/4/2014	9.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.59
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/15/2014	9.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.96
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/14/2014	9.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.52
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/14/2013	9.86	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.27
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/9/2013	9.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.73
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/10/2013	8.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.15
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/14/2013	8.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.53
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/29/2012	8.96	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.17
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/23/2012	8.54	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.59
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/18/2012	8.53	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.60
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/9/2012	8.74	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.39
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	11/21/2011	8.78	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.35
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/26/2011	9.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.12
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/20/2011	8.59	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.54
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/24/2011	8.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.95
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/21/2010	9.99	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.14
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	7/27/2010	8.97	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.16
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/27/2010	6.14	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.39
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	1/26/2010	4.90	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	8.63
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	10/20/2009	6.53	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.00
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	8/20/2009	6.71	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	6.82
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	5/11/2009	6.03	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.50
32S/13E-30N01	Pier Ave Shallow	Alluvium	4/7/2009	5.83	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.70



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	8.29	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.84
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	8.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.78
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	8.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.88
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	7.90	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.23
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	8.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.76
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	9.12	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.01
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	7.50	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.63
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	7.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.52
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	8.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.52
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	8.84	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.29
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	7.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.58
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	7.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.02
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	10.13	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.00
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	10.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.51
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	9.21	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.92
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	7.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.15
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	10.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.65
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	10.88	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.25
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	11.88	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	4.25
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	9.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.73
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	10.52	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.61
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	10.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.91
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	11.33	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	4.80
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	9.31	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.82
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	10.26	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.87
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	10.72	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.41
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	10.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.77
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	8.26	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.87
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	7.71	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.42
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/29/2012	8.01	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.12
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/23/2012	9.15	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.98
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	6.72	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.41
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/11/2012	7.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.96
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	6.45	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.68
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	7.59	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.54
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	6.65	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.48
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	6.68	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.45
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	10.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.37
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	7/27/2010	9.53	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.60
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	4/27/2010	5.26	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	8.27
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	1/26/2010	5.88	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.65
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	10/20/2009	6.56	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	6.97
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	8/20/2009	7.50	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	6.03
32S/13E-30N03	Pier Ave Middle	Paso Robles	5/12/2009	6.33	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.20



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	10.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.13
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	8.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.08
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	5.23	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	10.90
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	7.60	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.53
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	10.77	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.36
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	10.23	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.90
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	5.96	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	10.17
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	7.43	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.70
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	10.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.73
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	8.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.75
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	5.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	10.78
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	7.34	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.79
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	13.44	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	2.69
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	12.40	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	3.73
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	8.57	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.56
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	7.48	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.65
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	14.14	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	1.99
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	13.55	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	2.58
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	10.02	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.11
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	7.85	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.28
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	13.69	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	2.44
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	13.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	2.86
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	15.20	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	0.93
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	5/5/2014	13.19	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	2.94
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	8.57	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.56
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	9.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	6.83
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	12.13	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	4.00
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	11.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	5.08
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	7.06	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	9.07
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	4.98	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	11.15
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/29/2012	8.52	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.61
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/23/2012	8.31	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	7.82
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	3.45	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	12.68
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/11/2012	4.88	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	11.25
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	5.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	10.78
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	7.25	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	16.13	8.88
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	3.53	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	10.00
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	3.67	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	9.86
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	10.42	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	3.11
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	7/27/2010	10.02	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	3.51
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	4/27/2010	6.14	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	7.39
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	2/25/2010	1.72	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	11.81
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	2/25/2010	1.72	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	11.81
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	1/26/2010	3.72	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	9.81
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	10/20/2009	7.38	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	6.15
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	8/20/2009	11.94	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	1.59
32S/13E-30N02	Pier Ave Deep	Paso Robles	5/11/2009	6.98	Flush	Top Flush Mount	13.53	6.55



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	27.50	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.13
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	28.68	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.95
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	22.35	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.28
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	24.48	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.15
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	27.35	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.28
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	27.94	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.69
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	24.15	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.48
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	24.15	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.48
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	26.53	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.10
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	25.11	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.52
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	21.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.65
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	24.50	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.13
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	30.74	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.89
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	29.77	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.86
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	25.64	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.99
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	20.83	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	9.66
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	31.88	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.75
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	31.61	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.02
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	28.81	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.82
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	26.11	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.52
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	31.64	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.99
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	32.30	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.33
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	32.82	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	1.81
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	27.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.65
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	28.55	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.08
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	30.31	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.32
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	29.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.65
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	23.30	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.33
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	23.59	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.04
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/30/2012	27.31	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.32
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/25/2012	27.15	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.48
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	21.65	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.98
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/12/2012	23.29	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.34
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	22.46	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.17
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	25.51	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.12
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	114.79	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-80.16
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	106.59	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-71.96
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	112.71	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	-82.22
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	7/26/2010	95.61	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	-65.12
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/26/2010	63.90	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	-33.41
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	1/27/2010	43.71	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	-13.22
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	10/20/2009	29.20	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	1.29
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	8/19/2009	24.55	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	5.94
32S/13E-31H10	Oceano Green	Paso Robles	4/7/2009	28.12	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.49	2.37



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	28.31	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.32
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	26.69	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.94
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	22.61	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.02
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	25.18	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.45
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	28.82	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.81
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	28.60	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.03
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	23.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.65
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	25.08	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.55
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	28.03	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.6
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	26.18	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.45
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	21.90	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.73
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	25.00	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.63
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	30.74	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.89
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	29.62	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.01
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	25.13	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.50
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	22.00	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	8.54
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	32.70	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	1.93
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	32.21	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.42
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	28.41	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.22
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	25.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.65
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	32.70	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	1.93
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	32.69	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	1.94
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	34.02	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	0.61
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	27.07	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.56
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	27.86	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.77
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	30.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.65
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	29.36	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.27
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	24.45	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.18
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	23.14	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.49
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/30/2012	27.68	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.95
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/25/2012	27.18	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.45
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	20.10	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	14.53
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/12/2012	22.26	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.37
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	22.73	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.90
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	25.29	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.34
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	22.59	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.04
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	24.87	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.76
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	30.11	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	0.43
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	7/26/2010	24.74	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	5.80
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/26/2010	18.52	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	12.02
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	1/27/2010	22.06	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	8.48
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	10/20/2009	27.50	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	3.04
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	8/19/2009	24.65	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	5.89
32S/13E-31H11	Oceano Blue	Paso Robles	4/7/2009	27.65	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.54	2.89



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/9/2019	26.50	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.13
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/9/2019	24.59	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.04
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/9/2019	27.90	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.73
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/8/2019	28.15	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.48
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/9/2018	39.15	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-4.52
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/10/2018	28.92	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.71
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/10/2018	23.50	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.13
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/10/2018	23.90	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.73
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/10/2017	28.06	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.57
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/11/2017	24.09	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.54
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/11/2017	21.14	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	13.49
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/10/2017	24.80	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.83
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/12/2016	31.00	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.63
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/19/2016	26.95	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.48	3.53
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/12/2016	25.32	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.31
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/12/2016	21.44	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.48	9.04
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/13/2015	32.30	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.33
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/14/2015	32.58	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.05
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/14/2015	30.38	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.25
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/13/2015	26.19	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.44
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/14/2014	43.01	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-8.38
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/29/2014	33.65	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	0.98
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	6/4/2014	36.33	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-1.70
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/15/2014	42.20	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-7.57
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/14/2014	27.78	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.85
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/14/2013	30.92	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.71
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/9/2013	30.91	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.72
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/10/2013	26.08	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.55
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/14/2013	23.12	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.51
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/30/2012	27.14	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.49
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/25/2012	27.68	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.95
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/18/2012	20.13	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	14.5
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/11/2012	23.00	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.63
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	11/21/2011	22.85	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.78
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/26/2011	25.23	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.4
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/20/2011	21.27	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	13.36
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/24/2011	22.02	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.61
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/21/2010	29.11	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.52
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	7/26/2010	24.24	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	6.24
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/26/2010	19.04	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	11.44
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	1/27/2010	21.05	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	9.43
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	10/20/2009	27.52	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	2.96
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	8/19/2009	29.34	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	1.14
32S/13E-31H12	Oceano Silver	Careaga	4/7/2009	31.32	Manhole	Well Casing	30.48	-0.84



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/9/2019	26.35	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.28
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/9/2019	24.47	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.16
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/9/2019	28.05	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.58
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/8/2019	28.35	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.28
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/9/2018	37.38	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-2.75
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/10/2018	28.90	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.73
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/10/2018	23.55	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.08
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/10/2018	23.85	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.78
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/10/2017	27.96	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.67
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/11/2017	23.68	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	10.95
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/11/2017	21.18	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	13.45
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/10/2017	24.79	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.84
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/12/2016	30.91	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.72
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/19/2016	29.58	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	5.05
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/12/2016	25.25	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	9.38
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/12/2016	21.66	Manhole	Top of Casing	30.52	8.86
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/13/2015	32.28	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.35
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/14/2015	32.60	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	2.03
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/14/2015	30.42	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.21
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/13/2015	26.32	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.31
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/14/2014	41.12	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-6.49
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/29/2014	33.72	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	0.91
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	6/4/2014	36.55	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-1.92
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/15/2014	39.06	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	-4.43
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/14/2014	27.80	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.83
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/14/2013	30.83	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	3.80
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/9/2013	30.41	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	4.22
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/10/2013	26.09	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	8.54
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/14/2013	23.25	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.38
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/30/2012	27.23	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.40
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/25/2012	27.69	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	6.94
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/18/2012	20.05	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	14.58
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/12/2012	23.08	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.55
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	11/21/2011	22.98	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	11.65
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/26/2011	26.73	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	7.90
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/20/2011	21.30	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	13.33
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/24/2011	22.01	Manhole	Top Flush Mount	34.63	12.62
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/21/2010	28.22	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	2.30
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	7/26/2010	25.50	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	5.02
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/26/2010	19.17	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	11.35
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	1/27/2010	20.58	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	9.94
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	10/20/2009	25.80	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	4.72
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	8/19/2009	31.04	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	-0.52
32S/13E-31H13	Oceano Yellow	Careaga	4/7/2009	34.78	Manhole	Well Casing	30.52	-4.26



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	20.85	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.92
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	20.39	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.38
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	19.93	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.84
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	19.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.77
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	20.80	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.97
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	20.74	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.03
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	19.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.66
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	19.32	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.45
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	21.23	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.54
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	21.59	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.18
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	19.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.39
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	19.70	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.07
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	21.86	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.91
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	22.21	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.56
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	20.56	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.21
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	18.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.01
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	22.14	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.63
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	21.84	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.93
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	21.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.59
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	19.89	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.88
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	21.75	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.02
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	21.57	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.20
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	22.36	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.41
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	19.89	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.88
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	20.38	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.39
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	21.71	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.06
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	21.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.4
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	20.10	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.67
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	18.62	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.15
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/31/2012	20.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.66
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/24/2012	19.42	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.35
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/20/2012	18.26	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.51
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/18/2012	23.83	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	2.94
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/11/2012	17.68	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	9.09
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	11/21/2011	18.08	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.69
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/26/2011	19.63	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.14
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/20/2011	18.26	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.51
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	1/24/2011	17.61	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	9.16
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/21/2010	20.75	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.02
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	7/27/2010	21.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.59
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/26/2010	15.94	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	8.04
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	10/21/2009	17.72	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	6.26
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	8/20/2009	19.16	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	4.82
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	5/11/2009	17.68	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	6.30
12N/36W-36L01	Oceano Dunes Middle	Paso Robles	4/18/2009	15.95	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	8.03



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/9/2019	24.32	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	2.45
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/9/2019	21.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	5.47
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/9/2019	15.72	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	11.05
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/8/2019	17.45	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	9.32
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/9/2018	25.10	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	1.67
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/10/2018	24.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	2.66
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/10/2018	16.02	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	10.75
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/10/2018	19.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.66
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/10/2017	24.70	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	2.07
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/11/2017	23.65	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	3.12
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/10/2017	15.00	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	11.77
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/10/2017	16.15	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	10.62
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/12/2016	27.86	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	-1.09
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/19/2016	25.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	1.01
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/12/2016	18.43	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.34
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/12/2016	16.27	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	10.50
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/13/2015	27.17	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	-0.40
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/14/2015	26.11	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	0.66
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/14/2015	22.24	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	4.53
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/13/2015	16.91	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	9.86
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/14/2014	26.30	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	0.47
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/29/2014	25.64	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	1.13
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	6/4/2014	25.22	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	1.55
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/15/2014	16.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	9.83
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/14/2014	18.76	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.01
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/14/2013	23.94	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	2.83
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/9/2013	23.15	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	3.62
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/10/2013	15.35	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	11.42
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/14/2013	11.24	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	15.53
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/31/2012	18.81	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.96
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/24/2012	19.05	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.72
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/18/2012	10.81	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	15.96
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/11/2012	11.18	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	15.59
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	11/21/2011	13.99	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	12.78
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/26/2011	18.03	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	8.74
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	1/24/2011	9.37	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	17.40
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/21/2010	19.77	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	7.00
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	7/27/2010	20.53	Stove Pipe	Top of Steel	26.77	6.24
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	4/26/2010	9.24	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	14.74
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	10/21/2009	17.65	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	6.33
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	8/20/2009	19.15	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	4.83
12N/36W-36L02	Oceano Dunes Deep	Careaga	5/11/2009	14.38	Flush	Top Flush Mount	23.98	9.60



Well	Common Name	Aquifer	Date	Depth to Water (feet)	Surface Completion	RP Description	RP Elev, feet NAVD88	Groundwater Elevation (feet VD88)
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/9/2019	42.42	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	5.28
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/9/2019	37.20	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	10.50
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/9/2019	32.50	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	15.20
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/8/2019	35.45	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	12.25
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/9/2018	43.85	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	3.85
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/10/2018	40.00	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	7.70
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/10/2018	32.80	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	14.90
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/10/2018	35.10	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	12.60
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/10/2017	42.05	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	5.65
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/11/2017	38.34	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	9.36
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/11/2017	28.44	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	19.26
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/10/2017	34.85	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	12.85
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/12/2016	47.49	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	0.21
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/19/2016	44.51	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	3.19
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/12/2016	36.41	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	11.29
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/12/2016	36.48	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	11.22
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/13/2015	51.21	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	-3.51
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/14/2015	49.07	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	-1.37
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/14/2015	44.00	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	3.70
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/13/2015	38.90	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	8.80
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/14/2014	50.50	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	-2.80
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/29/2014	44.02	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	3.68
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	6/4/2014	45.46	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	2.24
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/15/2014	41.51	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	6.19
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/14/2014	41.00	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	6.70
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/14/2013	45.26	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	2.44
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/9/2013	43.83	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	3.87
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/10/2013	37.89	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	9.81
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	1/14/2013	32.26	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	15.44
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	10/30/2012	40.05	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	7.65
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	7/25/2012	38.62	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	9.08
12N/35W-32C03	County MW-3	Paso Robles	4/19/2012	23.02	Flush	Top Flush Mount	47.70	24.68

Chloride / Bromide Ratio		351	350	275	2188	298	341	292	338	382	NA NA	500	414	433	400	000	37 I	109	467	481	375	366	368	393	369	239	261	288	247	345	AN :	AN N									
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		0.0028	0.0029	0.0026	0.0005	0.0034	0.0029	0.0034	0.0030	0.0026	NA NA	0.0020	0.0024	0.0023	0.0025	G100.0	0.0022	0.0092	0.0021	0.0021	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0025	0.0027	0.0042	0.0038	0.0034	0.0040	0.0029	AN	AN AN									
Iron	mg/l	2.7	2:1	77	1.7	1.8	1.3	0.73	0.54	0.72	79.0	0.62	0.72	0.71	0.75	10.0	0.70	0.78	1.4	0.6	0.55	0.73	AN AN	0.89	1.3	9.34	4.06	7.79	7.15	23.9	AN 1	AN N									
Specific	umhos/cm	4,900	5,260	5,040	5.180	5,020	5,020	5,000	4,880	4,760	4,040	4,830	4,790	4,800	4,810	4,650	4,030	4,750	4,720	4,660	4,560	4,470	4.430	4,020	3,860	1,000	066	1,000	1,000	1,100	NA	AN AN									
Hydroxide as CaCO3 (mg/l	QN	Q		<u>p</u> q	Q	Q	<8.2	<10	<10		<10	<10	<10	<10	010	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	2 4	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	AN	NA									
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/I	QN	Q	ON CN	QN	QN	ŊŊ	<8.2	<10	40	410	<10	<10	<10	410	010	012	<10	<10	<10	40	012 <22	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	AN .	AN AN									
otal Alkalinity as CaCO3	ng/l	410	430	410	430	420	450	420	413	410	390	440	390	380	380	380	410	360	397	400	400	380	400	380	350	341	327	340	286	288	NA	NA									
Bromide	mg/l	3.7	4.0	5.1 5.1	0.64	4.7	4.1	4.8	0.68	3.4	<0.5	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.0	0.0 8 C	5: 1	3	3.12	3.2	3 281	3.3	2.8	2.6	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.38	0.29	AN	AN AN									
Manganese	mg/l	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0: F.	1.1	0.92	1.0	1.0	1.1	96.0	1.1	0.86	0.89	0.94	0.91	0.95	0.68	0.75	0.274	0.0458	678.0 0.208	0.248	0.66	NA	AN AN									
lodide	mg/l	0.01	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.081	0.091	0.023	<0.01	0.03	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.041	<0.01	0.033	0.053	0.067	0.63	NA	0.11	< 0.10	0.33 < 0.10	0.12	ΝA	AN 2	e A	-								
Fluoride	mg/l	0			0.13	0.17	Q	<0.055	<0.10	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	C.U>	<0.1 0.1	<0.5	<0.1	0.13	0.1	<0.1	0.18	<0.3	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.11	AN 2	0.3									
Boron	mg/l	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.130	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.18	0.14	0.24	0.16	0.15	0.063	0.0714	21.0	AA	NA	0.27	0.07									
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/l	2	2 0	200	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.9	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.2	4.7	5.7	2.4	2.1	2	4	1.8	1.7	1.8	3.9	2.9	0.98	2.8	2.7	NA	NA	AN N									
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	QN	Q	0.25	CZ-D	Ð	0.26	<0.48	<0.05	<0.05	60.20 0.32	<0.25	<0.05	<0.25	<0.25	20.05	20.05	<0.25	<0.05	<0.1	40.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.15	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.40	0.21	ΝA	0.2	4:0									
Sulfate	mg/l	180	200	061	190	190	190	190	42	220	180	190	190	214	200	G17	220	200	210	230	170	200.2	216	180	160	160	140	150	150	150	154	175	S S S S S S								
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	410	430	410	430	420	450	420	413	410	390	440	390	380	380	340	410	360	397	400	400	380	400	380	350	341	327	303	286	288	427	4/4									
Magnesium	mg/l	180	190	180	190	210	220	180	170	160	170	170	140	160	160	160	140	160	130	120	140	150	130	120	140	44.7	43.6	43.2 52.4	35.6	45	95	66 83	A MO Sentry								
Calcium	mg/l	180	180	120	180	190	210	170	160	150	150	140	140	140	150	OCI P	140	150	120	120	140	140	140	110	140	115	107	111	116	108	125	95									
Potassium	mg/l	29	35	8 8	36	41	44	37	34	30	32	29	29	30	31	32	30	34	30	27	30 75	33	27	24	25	6.21	4.60	6.8U 16.7	11.7	13.2	24.0	20.0	Mater Ou								
Sodium	mg/l	540	520	260	200	680	200	640	560	510	530	520	560	540	560	000	540	590	530	450	520	410	200	370	390	52.5	54.7	121	86.8	82.1	380	406									
Chloride	mg/l	1,300	1,400	1,400	1.400	1,400	1,400	1,400	230	1,300	1,100	1,300	1,200	1,300	1,200	1,300	1,300	1,200	1,400	1,500	1,200	1 200	1.214	1,100	960	43	47	55 140	94	100	773	652									
TDS	mg/l	3,100	2,800	3,000	3.100	3,400	3,100	2,800	3,230	3,010	3,160	2,950	2,880	2,870	2,860	2,300	2,320	2,950	3,010	3,000	2,750	3,690	2.810	2,380	2,330	616	676	766	705	695	1,870	1,700	The second s								
Date		10/14/2019	4/10/2019	4/11/2/2018	10/11/2017	4/11/2017	10/11/2016	4/12/2016	10/15/2015	4/15/2015	10/14/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/15/2014	10/15/2013	1/9/2013	4/10/2013	10/29/2012	7/23/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	7/25/2011	4/20/2011	1/24/2011	10/28/2010	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	01/02/1/2/1	8/20/2009	5/12/2009	3/26/1996	0/9/19/0									
Well		32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	325/12E-24B01 37S/17E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32.5/12E-24B01 32.5/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	325/12E-24B01	325/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	325/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01 32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	32S/12E-24B01	325/12E-24B01 32S/12E-24B01	d. A. A. A								
em 7C		32S/1	32S/1	325/1	32S/1	32S/1	32S/1	32S/1	32S/1	32S/1	32.5/1 32.S/1	32S/1	32S/1	ay	32S/1	3,	2(32S/1	- 0	^{32S/I}	ag	6	14	32S/1	of	^{32S/1}	32S/J	32S/1 32S/1	32S/1	32S/1	At	tac	hment - NCMA Annual Rep								
/ Chlorid Bromid Ratio		ΝA	Ν	238	313	492	264	310	273	310	283	351	292	240	269	VIA VIA	AN	AN	AA	AN 3	AN 1	AN AN	NA	NA	AA	AN 3	AN AN	NA	971	AN 2	AN AN	NA	M	280	288	281	258	245	, A	NA	AN
------------------------------	----------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	-----------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------
Bromide Chloride Ratio		NA	NA	0.0042	0.0032	0.0020	0.0038	0.0032	0.0037	0.0039	0.0035	0.0029	0.0034	0.0042	0.0037	©CUU.U	NAN	NA	AN	ΝA	AN 1	A N	NA	NA	NA	AN 2	A N	NA	0.0010	AN 3	AN AN	NA	AN	0.0036	0.0035	0.0036	0.0039	0.0041	NA	NA	٩N
Iron	mg/l	0.62	0.62	0.59	0.59	0.62	0.69	0.58	0.56	0 03	0.59	0.79	0.75	0.67	0.53	0.40	0.69	0.45	0.48	0.44	0.37	0.32	0.39	0.6	0.41	0.72	0.56	0.26	1.7	0.32	0.88 N∆	13	0.63	2.84	6.66	3.49	1.14	3.22 6.76	AN	AA	AA
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	931	931	941	938	952	962	942	930	202 048	933	938	953	947	939 or 4	90 I 050	950	006	006	940	940	940 940	920	940	940	950	950 950	950	950	930	950 950	940	020	980	980	920	870	920	NA	NA	NA
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	Q	ND	ND	Q	QN	Q	QN	Q 2		DR DR	ND	QN	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1 /10	< 10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	¢20 √20	<20 <20	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.01.0	NA	NA	AN
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/I	QN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Q A		DN DN	ND	ND	<4.1	<4.1	.40 10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	°5 ∕	0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	NA	AN	AA
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	310	320	320	310	320	320	320	320	330	310	320	320	320	300	306	295	300	290	290	300	300	290	295	310	305	280 297	310	300	290	320	270	270	318	349	270	281	288 282	NA	NA	NA
Bromide	mg/l	Q	Q	0.130	0.096	0.06	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.097	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	0.03	<0.1	<0.1 0.1 0.1	<0.1	<0.3	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.20	A	NA	AA
langanese	mg/l	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.2	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.099	0.13	0.096	0.096	0.085	0.085	0.106	0.101	0.209	0.163	0.203	NA	AN	AN
odide N	mg/l	0	0	DN	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.011	0.015	0.015	0.017	0.023	0.023	0.016	0.011	0.010	0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.01	0.14	M	0.11	0.14	0.14	< 0.10	< 0.10 NA	AN	AA	AA
luoride I	mg/l	0.046	0.037	0.059	0.056	0.025	0.042	0.050	0.068	0.045	0.09.	0.031	0.038	0.036	0.045	1010	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.14 <0.1	0.16	0.21	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.14	< 0.10	AA	0.5	0.3
oron F	mg/l	0.078	0.074	0.070	0.072	0.068	0.072	069.0	071	1 10.0	0.062	.069	0.069	0.07	0.064	054	690.0	0.066	0.062	.065	<0.1	0.05	:0.05	0.076	0.08	0.079	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.064	<0.1	0.11	0.12	. 6090.	.0666	.117	.07.76	NAN AN	0.1	0.02	0.05
otal eldahl E rogen	ng/l	0.10	0.34 (0.31 0	0.27 (0.34 (0.30 (0.28 (0.32	0.41 (0.31 0	0.26 (0.26 (0.15	0.38	17.0	7 7	2	۰ ۲	۰ ۱	۲	5 7 7 7	<u>۲</u>	-1	,	₹, ,	5 V V	7	1.3	<u>ک</u>	7 7	0	<1.0	1.3 0	1.3 0	0.84 0	0.98	0.98 NA	AN	AN	AN
itrate Kj as N) Nit	- I/gm	QN	DN	DN	QN	QN	DN	0.034 (DN	QN) 960.C	960.0	0.05	0.05	0.05	:0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	:0.05	:0.05	:0.05	0.05	0.05	<0.1	<0.1	0.05	0.05	0.05	<0.1	0.10	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.10 NA	0.2	0.6	0
sulfate (mg/l	160	160	160	160	160	150	160 (160	160	170	170	170	160 ⊲	160	160	160	170 •	170 <	140 <	150	150	170	170 <	160 <	170	160	171	150	150	165.3 <	170	160	160 <	150 <	160	160 <	150	169	153	147
Bicarbonate ss CaCO3	mg/l	310	320	320	310	320	320	320	320	330	310	320	320	320	300	306	295	300	290	290	300	300	290	295	310	305	280 297	310	300	290	320	220	270	318	349	270	281	288 282	344	337	380
Magnesium	mg/l	35	37	35	35	37	36	35	38	30	35	36	39	38	37	33	36	31	32	32	33	34	32	33	32	31	32 27	28	32	29	31 36	28	35	40.5	43.2	32.2	30.1	30.1	24	27	32
Calcium	mg/l	110	120	110	110	120	120	110	120	120	120	120	120	120	110	100	120	100	110	100	100	88	98	110	100	97	100 87	88	110	93	97 90	87	110	111	111	115	112	128	107	104	101
Potassium	mg/l	3.7	4.2	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.8	3./	3.7	3.7	4	3.9	3.8	0.0 0.0	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.9	4	4	4.2	3.7	4.6	3.9	4.2	65	4.5	4.29	4.73	5.39	5.12	5.85 6.33	5	4	5
Sodium	mg/l	45	48	42	43	48	46	45	53	C4 84	46	47	48	50	48	40	50	40	41	41	42	43	44	43	44	43	45	39	46	39	46	44	50	48.9	52.7	58.0	59.1	63.5	46	52	79
Chloride	mg/l	30	30	31	30	8	29	31	8	31	8	34	35	36	35	24	35	35	36	30	ŝ	33	30	30	31	8	35 29	37	33	32	36	43	43	42	46	45	49	49 87	54	34	62
TDS	mg/l	700	550	620	630	640	620	660	570	0/0 760	630	660	660	660	640	010 650	650	620	640	630	620	630	630	630	630	620	650	630	650	640	640 620	640	650	598	668	622	600	630	652	565	651
Date		10/14/2019	7/10/2019	4/10/2019	1/8/2019	10/9/2018	7/12/2018	4/11/2018	1/12/2018	71/02/11/01	4/11/2017	1/12/2017	10/11/2016	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	10/15/2015	7/15/2015	4/15/2015	1/14/2015	10/14/2014	7/29/2014	4/16/2014 1/15/2014	10/15/2013	7/9/2013	4/10/2013	1/14/2013	7/23/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	11/21/2011	4/20/2011	1/24/2011	10/28/2010	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	1/27/2010	10/19/2009	8/20/2009	3/26/1996	6/9/1976	1/17/1966
Well		32S/12E-24B02	325/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	323/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02 32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02	32S/12E-24B02							
n 7C	_	-	-	_			_		_	_	_	_	Ν	/la	у ́	13	, 2	02	20	- F	°a	ge	1	45	of	f 2	01	_		_	At	ta	ch	m	er	nt -	· N	ICI	MA	<u>م</u> ۸	٩r

4/22/2020

1	U	3
1	Ľ	2
	١	
-		

Iron Chloric Ratio	18		. <	8	0	4	8		n 0) 4	80	5	е С	1 2		╈	+		Η	┥	9	╈	╈	H		╈			╡	╉	+	+	g	8	-	ى ک	2 10	0	╈	
Iron		0.003	0.003	0.002	0.0020	0.003	0.003	0.003	10000	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004:	0.003		AN	AN	AN	NA	AN	0.002	AN N	AN	NA	NA	NA NA	AN	NA	AN 3	AN N		AN	0.0036	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		Y Y	ΑN
-	n ac	0.18	0.44	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.23	0.27	0.22	0.32	0.28	0.24	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.16	/1.0	0.27	0.19	0.26	0.22	0.23	0.15	0.12	0.21	EN CC U	0.55	6.7	9.71	5.18	0.343	14.3	0.0 V V	Y Y	٩N
Specific Conductivity	4 010	1 000	1.020	1,020	1,030	1,040	1,010	993	1 010	988	1,000	1020	1,010	1,010	1 020	1.020	086	670	1,010	1,020	1,010	1010	1,010	1,010	1,010	1,010	1,010	1,000	026	1,010	1 020	1,020	1,000	980	1,000	880	1,000	NIA	AN AN	NA
Hydroxide as CaCO3	IIG UN	2 G	9	Q	Q	QN	Q	9		2 9	QN	Q	<8.2	<8.2	2.02	< 10	<10	<10	<10	<10	¢10	012	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	£ ¢	22.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.1.5	AN AN	NA
Carbonate as CaCO3	l du	C N	QN	QN	QN	Ŋ	ND	Q		g	ND	QN	<8.2	<8.2	2017	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	410	010	<10	<10	<10	√ √0	<10	<10	<10	ΩÇ	0.02	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.1 2	V A	NA
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/I	330	320	320	320	320	320	330	330	330	340	340	340	320	320	333	330	330	330	390	330	320	310	320	320	305 318	320	300	310	310	320	315	328	357	336	342	337	332 NA	AN AN	NA
Bromide	0 18	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.11	L.02	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	- 01	<0.3	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.10	AN AN	AN
langanese	mg/I	0.010	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.10	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.010	0.010	0.0080	0.090	0.010	<0.005	<0.005	00000	0.0100	0.0080	0.0080	0.0090	0.0070	0.0080	0.0090	0.0100	0.0006	0.0120	0.0602	0.0519	0.140	0.0181	0.182	0.124 NA	AN	NA
lodide N	mg/I	0.018	0.017	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.023	20.0	0.019	0.024	0.025	0.016	0.018	50.10 0.016	0.01	0.012	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	10.U>	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.02	0.014	0.011	0.15	P N	0.17	0.1	0.15	0.13	0.66		S A	AA
luoride	0 0 0	0.020	0.037	0.039	Q	0.023	0.017	0.041	0.015	QN	0.012	0.015	0.017	0.019	0.030	<0.1	<0.1	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	-01 -01	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.2	0.35	<0.1	<0.1	0.00	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	01.0 ×	0.4	0.2
3oron F	n nea	0.065	0.063	0.065	0.065	0.062	0.065	0.061	900.0	0.058	0.068	0.06	0.063	0.056	1.001	0.064	0.061	0.052	0.061	<0.1	0.056	20.05	0.066	0.071	0.065	0.069 <0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.046	<0.1	NA U	0.089	0.0533	0636	0.101	0.0613	AN N	1010	0.06	0
Total Kjeldahl E Nitrogen	mg/1	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.14	0.11	0.13		0.17	ND	QN	0.32	0.21	- 7	7 5	- -	2	7	7	7	- -	7 5	-1	۲ ۲	2 2	; .	7	1.6	۲ ۲	- 7	1.0	1.8	1.5 0	1.4	< 0.50 (2.2		AN AN	AA
Nitrate (as N)	ll Gu		Q	QN	QN	QN	DN	Q S		g	ND	Q	<0.096	<0.096	<0.0ZZ	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.23	30.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10		0	+
Sulfate	170	160	160	160	160	150	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	170	170	142	150	150	02 F	170	160	170	158	160	150	150	159.6	160	50	160	150	150	160	150	140	165	158
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	330	330	320	320	320	320	320	330	330	330	340	340	340	320	320	333	330	330	330	390	330	320	310	320	320	305 318	320	300	310	310	320	315	328	357	336	342	337	202	330	345
Magnesium	mg/l	44	43	43	45	42	44	45	40	45	50	47	46	45	40	47	38	43	41	40	35	41	41	38	37	39 35	33	36	34	38	33	39	41.6	44	43.4	42.6	43.4	41.0	39	36
Calcium	mg/I	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	120	120	130	110	110	110	001	120	96	110	100	94	90	001	110	96	96	100 86	84	92	91	98	78	110	112	118	119	110	128	104	85	103
Potassium	mg/l	4.2	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.0	3.9	7 4	4.1	4.3	4	4.1	4.1	4 4.0	40.0	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.1	4.3	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.4	3.2	3.7	6.0	4.0 A.A.	3.8	8.34	4.84	5.40	3.93	5.21	4.00	3.7	5
Sodium	mg/I	23	20	50	52	51	53	57	÷. 12	55	58	53	54	55	cc at	909	4	48	48	45	46	76	47	46	47	49	40	48	41	20	40	48	51.4	53.2	56.4	55.1	54.9	00.Z	53	74
Chloride	mg/I	49	20	50	48	47	50	48	49	48	47	49	47	48	10	46	46	47	40	45	43	64	46	44	45	45	50	46	43	46	4/ 46	¢ 4	44	45	48	40	47	4	36 ±	62
TDS	mg/l	000	640	660	069	650	670	620	700	670	670	680	069	680	010	680	650	670	650	650	660	004	660	670	630	680	640	660	660	650	000	660	610	666	672	622	680 645	040 848	269	670
Date	10/11/2010	7/10/2019	4/10/2019	1/8/2019	10/9/2018	7/12/2018	4/11/2018	1/12/2018	7/10/2/11/01	4/11/2017	1/12/2017	10/11/2016	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	10/15/2010	7/15/2015	4/15/2015	1/14/2015	10/14/2014	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	4 0/2/G L/L	7/9/2013	4/10/2013	1/14/2013	10/29/2012	4/18/2012	1/12/2012	11/21/2011	7/25/2011	4/20/2011	10/28/2010	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	1/27/2010	10/19/2009	8/19/2009	8007/71/20/6	6/9/1976	1/17/1966
Well	30 C/I 0E-04B03	325/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	325/12E-24BU3	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	325/12E-24D03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	325/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03 32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	325/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03	323/12E-24DU3	32S/12E-24B03	32S/12E-24B03

Chloride / Bromide Ratio		214	321	388	252	243 218	194	200	322	317	562	337	718	424	459	AA	300	591	462	664	AA	AN 925	370	418	NA	NA	200	248	231	288	282
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		0.0047	0.0031	0.0026	0.0040	0.0046	0.0052	0.0050	0.0031	0.0032	0.0018	0.0030	0.0014	0.0024	0.0022	NA	0.0033	0.0017	0.0022	0.0015	AN	NA	0.0007	0.0024	NA	NA	0.0050	0.0040	0.0043	0.0035	- 5500.0
	mg/l	0.061	0.035	Ð :	DD 1900	0.045	Q	<0.030	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	20.05	10.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	- 11	NA N	<0.1	<.1	0.79	0.640	1.30	4.52	0.281
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	696	693	696	669	682	702	696	700	700	820	730	000	810	830	770	710	720	720	720	200	720	02/	730	780	894	710	1.200	770	970	1.200
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	Q :	QN I	Q !	99		g	<4.1	<10	<10	<10	<10	010	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	0 4	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	QN 2	ON 2	ON 1	QN Q		QN	4.1	<10	<10	<10	<10	10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	012	<2.0 2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	82	85	84	82 97	97 85	91	06	87	06	98	84	44 100	04	86	85	78	95	75	86	81	81	78	87	83	88	88.0	214	102	113	
Bromide	mg/l	0.29	0.19	0.16	0.23	0.20	0.32	0.30	0.18	0.202	0.2	0.2	0.101	0.10	0.17	<0.1	0.2	0.11	0.13	0.11	<0.2	< 0.1	0.178	0.17	<0.1	<0.3	0.37	0.56	0.32	0.32	0 0 0
Manganese	mg/l	Q		ON !	ND	OU ON	9	<.0040	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	200.02	-0.005	<.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.019	<0.005	<0.005	600.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.0817	0.112	0.123	1.03	0.0353
lodide	mg/I	2 :		n i			Q	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	10.01	1007	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	10.01	<0.01	<0.10	NA	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.12	d z
luoride	mg/l	0.046	0.063	0.034	0.030	0.062	0.046	0.054	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	- 01	101	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	0.12	0.101	0.11	0.11	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10 < 0.10	0.13	< 0.10	040
Boron	mg/l	0.092	0.089	0.09	0.09	0.074	0.09	0.086	0.084	0.081	0.085	0.081	0.100	101	0.072	0.089	0.091	0.090	0.087	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.100	0.180	0.170	0.067	0.098	0.129	0.136	NA	E Contraction of the second se
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/l	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.13	0.2	2	۲	4	7	- 7	13.00	- -	ŕ	7	<1	۲	4	2	Ź 7	- 7	- -	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	0.84	0.70	0.56	ez z
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	13	13	13	12	0 61	12	52	13	12	12.50	10.00	13.0	17.6	12	12.2	12	12	12	13	13	11	10.17	12	11	13	12	9.8	13	10	d z
Sulfate	mg/l	120	120	120	110	120	120	120	120	130	140	120	140	136	140	140	120	130	123	120	130	120	117 4	124	140	120	120	140	150	190	780
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	82	85	84	82	9/ 85	91	06	87	06	98	84	100	100	.98	85	78	95	75	86	81	81	78	87	83	88	88.0	214	102	113	13
Magnesium	mg/l	18	19	21	21	20	23	21	19	19	24	19	24	22	22	22	18	19	20	18	15	17	10	14	18	21	25.1	23.6 35.8	26.3	31.9	त् ??
Calcium	mg/l	43	41	44	44	40	46	43	39	40	50	42	40	45	47	49	38	40	43	36	32	35	37	36	34	43	47.9	76.4	52.8	63.1	80.2
Potassium	mg/l	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.0	2	~ ~	2 3	0.0	2.3	2.2	2.20	2.40	2.50	2.70	1.90	2.00	4 40	2.60	4.00	2.00	2.16	2.70	2.35	3.84	88 7
Sodium	mg/l	69	09	72	69	0/ 65	72	202	61	60	69	64	78	e og	73	64	60	64	66	99	52	61	20	8	64	73	82.2	1.77 99.99	85.6	98.9	100
Chloride	mg/l	62	61	29	88	61	62	60	58	64	95	58	122	an or	78	80	60	65	60	73	72	67	0/ 86	71	75	100	74	140	74	92	20
TDS	mg/l	460	480	460	470 500	510	480	460	450	460	550	470	040	510	530	480	460	440	470	470	450	460	4/0	460	510	540	464	534 725	522	648	/ 792
Date		10/14/2019	4/10/2019	10/10/2018	4/12/2018	4/12/2017	10/11/2016	4/13/2016	10/14/2015	4/15/2015	1/14/2015	7/20/2014	1/30/2014	1/15/2014	10/15/2013	7/10/2013	4/11/2013	1/15/2013	10/30/2012	7/24/2012	4/19/2012	1/10/2012	7/05/2011	4/20/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/26/2010	4/2//2010	10/19/2009	8/19/2009	5/122009
Well		32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	323/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	325/13E-30F01	32S/13E_30E01	32S/13E-30F01	325/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01 32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	32S/13E-30F01	325/13E-30F01							

Texture Texture <t< th=""><th> </th><th><</th> U11 U13 U33 Z00 <10</t<>		<	0.022 0.34 190 <10 <10 870 <0.1 0.0069	0.387 190 <5 <5 900 <0.1 0.074 0.38 200 <2.0 <2.0 920 NA 0.070	0.3 210 <2.0 <2.0 920 <0.1 0.0059	210 <10 <10 920 <0.1 NA	<pre><1.0 < 1.0 890 < 0.100 0.0120</pre>	<1.0 < 1.0 880 3.28 0.0100	<1.0 < 1.0 920 4.55 0.0109	<1.0 < 1.0 850 2.15 0.0104	0 < 1.0 920 19.4 0.0110 0 < 1.0 890 3.23 0.0098	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA
	<		0.022 0.34 190 <10 <10 870 <0.1	0.387 190 <5 <5 900 <0.1 0.38 200 <2.0 <2.0 920 NA	0.3 210 <2.0 920 <0.1	210 <10 <10 920 <0.1	<pre><1.0 < 1.0 890 < 0.100</pre>	< 1.0 < 1.0 880 3.28	<1.0 < 1.0 920 4.55	<1.0 < 1.0 850 2.15	0 <1.0 920 19.4) <1.0 890 3.23	NA NA NA	NA NA NA
Calibration Representation Number of the properties of the proproproperties of the properis of the properties of the		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	0.022 0.34 190 <10 <10 870	0.387 190 <5 <5 900 0.38 200 <2.0 <2.0 920	0.3 210 <2.0 920	210 <10 <20 920 220 140 000	< 1.0 < 1.0 890	< 1.0 < 1.0 880	< 1.0 < 1.0 920	<1.0 < 1.0 850	0 < 1.0 920) < 1.0 890	NA NA	NA NA
Caliboration Bizarchorate and a main		< < < 0.19 0.3 200 < <l></l>	0.022 0.34 190 <10 <10	0.387 190 <5 <5 <5	0.3 210 <2.0 <2.0	210 <10 <10 <10 <10	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	0 < 1.0 <	AN	AN NA
Calcium Rearborate action Nurse biline Total (ast) Nurse magn Total magn Romate magn Romate magn Romate magn Romate magn Romate magn Romate magn Total magn Carbonate magn	<th.< td=""><td>< < 0.19 0.3 200 <10 <0.02</td> 0.29 0.33 200 <10</th.<>	< < 0.19 0.3 200 <10 <0.02	0.022 0.34 190 <10	0.387 190 <5 0.38 200 <2.0	0.3 210 22.0	210 <10 220 <10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0			
Calcum Bigarbonate may Scarcos Virtate s Carcos Virtate s Carcos Virtate may	0.1 0.19 0.3 200 0.25 <0.02	 <u ul=""> <u li=""> <u li=""></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	0.022 0.34 190	0.387 190 0.38 200	0.3 210	210					×1×	NA	NA
Catciny magnesium Bicarbonate as CaCO3 Nitrate magn Total magn Total magn Total magn Total magn Function magn Function Function<		 <0.01 0.19 0.3 <0.02 0.29 0.33 	0.022 0.34	0.387 0.38	0.3		223	225	230	240	225 206	AA	NA
Calcium Magnesium Bicarbonate mg/l Suitate mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l mg/l Nutrate mg/l Nutratef l Nutratef l Nutrate l<	 <0.2 <0.01 0.19 0.25 <0.02 0.29 <0.01 0.02 	 <0.01 0.19 <0.02 0.29 	0.022		1	<0.3	0.59	0.51	0.48	0.51	0.54	AN	AN
Calcium Magnesium Bicarbonate as CaCO3 Nitrate (as N) mg/l Total mg/l Nitrate mg/l Total mg/l Floride mg/l Horide mg/l	 <0.2 <0.25 <0.02 <0.02 <0.01 <0.01 	<0.01		0.025	0.041	0.0094	0.0646	0.615	0.913	0.924	2.24 1.87	NA	AN
Catcium Magnesium Bicarbonate as CacCo3 mg/l Nitrate mg/l Farati mg/l Forati mg/l 1 2 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<0.2 <0.2 <0.1	T	<0.01	<0.1	0.27	NA 213	0.13	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10 NA	AA	AN M
Activity Bicarbonate as CacO3 Nitrogen (se N) Nitrogen (se N) Not magin Not Not Not		<0.25	<0.1	0.127 0.17	0.12	< 0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.17	0.10	AA	0.5
Activity Bicarbonate as CaCO3 Sulfate bit as Nitrate mg/n Nitrate mg/n Trate mg/n Nitrate mg/n	 <0.1 <0.1 <0.7 	<0.1	0.07	<0.18	0.15	0.10	.0928	0.112	0.127	.0942	AN NA	0.16	0.1
Acterim Ricarbonate as CaCO3 Utilitate solution Numbin may in may may in may in may in may in may may in may in may in may in may in may is 2 35 Numbin in may	7 7 7	2 7	- -	<u>7</u> 7	1.0	<1.0 2 E	2.5 0	0.8 (1.4	1.0	2:00	AA	NA
Calctum Magnesium Magnesium Magnesium Magnesium Magnesium Magnesium mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/	12 14	12	13	13.19	12	; ;	11	10	11	1	11 AN	49	17.6
Calcum Magnesium Magnesium Magnesium mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/	130	140	120	134.3 141	140	130	130	130	150	140	130	166	172 1E2
Calcium Magnestum mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l gg 32 32 gg 33 34 gg 34 33 gg 34 34 gg 34	200 200	200	190	190 200	210	210	223	225	230	240 20F	225 206	305	343
Relation mg/r mg/r <tdmg r<="" td=""> <tdmg r<="" td=""></tdmg></tdmg>	30 32 30	30 32	30	31 29	31	30 26.0	36.8	38.6	38.5	37.6	36.8 34.9	42	43
	58 68 73 73	68 73	73	73 74	71	70	85.4	87.9	92.1	88.3	87.3	98	98
Potassiu magl magl magl magl magl magl magl magl	2.7 3.0 2.7	3.0	2.7	5.1	4.9	2.3	2.95	3.12	4.47	2.80	3.19 3.26	3.8	2.8
So dium mg/l	40 45 38	40	88	46 57	43	38	45.8	50.3	52.2	49.5	51.8	52	55
Chloride and an and a second s	60 52 49	60 52	49	54	51	49	49	51	44	49	49 54	49	48
TD S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	610 610 580	600 610	580	590 600	600	610	560	634	604	566	614 514	678	637
Date 01/14/2019 7/19/2019 7/19/2019 7/19/2019 7/10/2019 7/11/1/2017 7/11/1/2017 1/11/2016 7/10/2018 7/10/2018 7/10/2018 7/10/2018 7/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2016 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 1/11/2013 <td< td=""><td>4/19/2012 1/12/2012 1/21/2011</td><td>4/19/2012</td><td>11/21/2011</td><td>7/25/2011 4/20/2011</td><td>1/24/2011</td><td>10/28/2010</td><td>7/26/2010</td><td>4/27/2010</td><td>1/28/2010</td><td>10/19/2009</td><td>8/19/2009 5/12/2009</td><td>3/27/1996</td><td>6/9/1976</td></td<>	4/19/2012 1/12/2012 1/21/2011	4/19/2012	11/21/2011	7/25/2011 4/20/2011	1/24/2011	10/28/2010	7/26/2010	4/27/2010	1/28/2010	10/19/2009	8/19/2009 5/12/2009	3/27/1996	6/9/1976
Weil 325/13E -0F02 - 325/13E - - 325/13E - - 325/13E - - 325/13E - - 325/13E	1 102 102 102 102	32S/13E-30F02 32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02 1	32S/13E-30F02 32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02 1	32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02 1	32S/13E-30F02 (32S/13E-30F02 (32S/13E-30F02	32S/13E-30F02

	10	1
-	Ŭ	-
		-
		1

<0.05
<0.05 0.14 0.02 0.016 <0.1 280 <10 <10 990 <0.05 0.055 <0.11
<0.05 0.14 0.02 0.016 <0.1 280 <10 <10 990 0.055 0.11 0.02 0.017 0.23 290 <10
<0.05 0.14 0.02 0.016 <0.1 280 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10
<0.05
<0.05
<0.05
<0.05
<0.05
<0.05
 <0.05 0.055 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1 <0.1
┟┼┼┼┼┼┼┼
 <0.05 13.5 13.5 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.1 <0.1 <0.05
179 140 170 180 170 180 180 180 160
280 290 300 295 280 280 296 290 280
44 43 42 46 37 36 36 38 39
100 100 94 92 92 81 84 84 93 93
2.7 2.4 3.1 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.1 2.1 2.1
33 36 40 38 33 33 33 38 38 38 33 33 33 38 38 38
41 50 45 45 43 43 51 54 46 46 43
70 550 550 50 40 50 50
10/15/2013 6 7/10/2013 6 1/1/2013 6 1/1/2/2013 6 1/1/2/2012 6 7/124/2012 6 1/12/2012 6 1/12/2012 6 1/12/2012 6 1/12/2012 6
4 00

Chloride / Bromide Ratio		115	133	235	100	173	106	65	92	158	140	11/	123	110	154	208	150	142	150	158	137	144	148	46	50	83	58	66	63	55	ß
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		0.0087	9/00/0	0.0043	0.0100	0.0058	0.0094	0.0155	0.0108	0.0063	0.0071	0.0073	0.0081	0.0091	0.0065	0.0048	0.0067	0.0071	0.0067	0.0046	0.0073	0.0069	0.0068	0.0218	0.0202	0.0121	0.0173	0.0152	0.0160	0.0181	
lron	mg/l	2.6	8.2	3.0	3.0	3.9	2.7	1.7	1.8	2.5	2.3	c.2	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.8	5.2	5.0	3.4	4.2	AA	18.0	40.1 مد	20.4	27.3	5.3	15.9	ŝ
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	1,340	1,370	1,200	1,250	1,690	1,420	1,190	1,220	1,500	1,360	1,350	1,410	1,340	1,570	1,430	1,470	1,380	1,500	1,3/0	1 760	2,210	2,480	1,550	1,430	1,498	1,300	1,500	1,300	1,400	
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	9			2 9	9	Q	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<5	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	v 2
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	QN		ON G	Q	QN	QN	<8.2	<10	<10	410	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	×10	<10	<10	410	012	<10	<5	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	330	310	3/0	320	350	290	230	250	360	320	310	310	260	330	310	320	280	300	266	307	390	380	180	240	246	286	307	238	235	2 27
Bromide	mg/l	1.30	1.20	¢8.0	1.50	1.50	1.70	1.70	1.30	1.20	1.21	1.20	1.30	1.40	1.30	0.84	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.90	2.50	3.02	4.57	3.63	2.30	2.60	3.20	3.20	2.90	D 1 2 2 2
Manganese	mg/l	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.24	0.08	0.28	0.33	0.16	0.23	
lodide	mg/I	0		0 0	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	I.N.N>	0	<0.01	0	0	0	<0.01	0	10.02	<0.01	0	<0.01	0	0	A d	0	0	< 0.10	0	47N
luoride	mg/l	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.34	0.42	0.43	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.41	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.26	0.40	0.43	0.41	0.38	<0.1	0.39	0.34	0.37	0.37	0.30	0.38	0.37	0.0 0
Boron	mg/l	0.22	0.23	17.0	0.24	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.21	0.81	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.21	77.0	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.24	<0.1	<0.1	0.17	0.32	0.24	AN 1	42
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/l	0.66	0.64	79.0	0.68	0.84	0.53	0.51	۲ -	1.40	V 1	- -	ŕ	<1	ŕ	۲	1.10	1.10	<u>۲</u>	1 40	2.70	- -	1.20	۰ ۲	<1.0	<1.0	1.70	1.70	1.30	1.30	XN
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	g :			2 2	Ð	Q	0.2	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	GU.U>	<0.05	<0.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1 0.10 10	0.8	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
Sulfate	mg/l	170	180	140	170	150	180	190	190	170	180	160	170	190	180	185	180	200	190	210	200	220	256	215	210	200	210	230	230	220	
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	330	310	3/0	320	350	290	230	250	360	320	300 310	310	260	330	310	320	280	300	330	307	390	380	180	240	246	286	307	238	235	n 17
Magnesium	mg/l	57.0	0.95	/3.0	0.73	73.0	61.0	46.0	41.0	54.0	54.0	52.0 52.0	43.0	45.0	60.0	54.0	54.0	53.0	60.09	47.0	52.0	0.69	81.0	46.0	46.0	45.0 E6.2	55.6	66.4	59.8	49.4	7 04
Calcium	mg/l	86	81	90	د، 87	92	77	55	52	69	71	61	55	56	74	71	67	99	22	96	68	06	66	68	84	58	136	156	118	121	õ
Potassium	mg/l	32.0	0.05	0.05	31.0	35.0	32.0	27.0	27.0	28.0	29.0	30.0 27.0	26.0	26.0	32.0	29.0	29.0	32.0	34.0	31.0	34.0	40.0	42.0	26.0	28.0	26.0	29.0	33.5	34.3	27.8	9 9
Sodium	mg/l	110	55 G	130	120	160	130	110	100	130	110	110	112	110	140	120	120	120	120	170	170	320	230	130	100	120	130	155	159	150	2
Chloride	mg/l	150	160	200	150	260	180	110	120	190	170	140	160	154	200	175	180	170	180	780	260	360	445	210	180	190	150	210	200	160	8
TDS	mg/l	830	860	920	870	096	006	790	740	930	845	900	850	790	950	830	860	800	006	840 1 050	1 050	1,300	1,680	890	870	890	808	902	828	835	06
Date		10/15/2019	4/9/2019	10/10/2018	4/11/2018	4/11/2017	10/12/2016	4/12/2016	10/15/2015	4/14/2015	1/14/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/15/2014	10/15/2013	7/10/2013	4/10/2013	1/14/2013	10/29/2012	4/18/2012	1/9/2012	11/17/2011	7/25/2011	4/20/2011	1/24/2011	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	1/26/2010	10/20/2009	8/20/2009	B007111 6
Well		32S/13E-30N01	325/13E-30N01	325/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01 32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01 32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	325/13E-30N01 325/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	32S/13E-30N01	TANDO-1901						

Specific Iron Chloride Conductivity Iron Chloride Ratio	00100	115	n lu		1			+	+	\vdash	+	+	-	\square	Ц		4	+	+		Н	+	+	╀	+	Н	+	+	+	+		$\left \right $	+	+	+	+	+		\mid	
Specific Iron			0.008	0.0103	0.0078	0.0098	0.0082	0.0095	0.0102	0.0100	0.0107	0.0115	0.0113	0.0092	0.0089	0.0071	0.0072	0.0063	0.0052	0.0060	0.0070	0.0068	0.0028	0.0053	0.0050	0.0042	0.0035	0.0058	0.0118	0.0121	0.0224	0.0159	0.0181	0.0135	0.0118	0.0018	0.0124	NA	NA	٩N
Specific	mg/l		2	2	0.030	0.076	Q	0.120	0.180	0.430	1.500	<0.030	<0.05	0.079	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.490	<0.05	<0.05	0.270	0.100	0.430	<0.05	0.040	0.440	<0.1	0.170	<0.140	AN	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.100	< 0.100	0.653	0.344	2.240	ΝA	٩N	٩Z
	umhos/cm	C/8	867	858	847	866	839	836 026	871	866	878	864 864	895	867	860	880	860	870	890 910	910	910	910	006	910	006	890	880	870	008	890	006	886	860	870	990	1,200	006	NA	NA	AN
Hydroxide as CaCO3	l/gm		2 9	9	Q	QN	QN	Q Q	Q Q	ND	QN 2	<d 1<="" td=""><td><4.1</td><td><4.1</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td><10</td><td>410</td><td>°10 €5</td><td><2.0</td><td><2.0</td><td><10</td><td>< 1.0</td><td>< 1.0</td><td>< 1.0</td><td>1.0 1.0</td><td>< 1.0</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>AN</td></d>	<4.1	<4.1	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	410	°10 €5	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.0 1.0	< 1.0	NA	NA	AN
Carbonate as CaCO3	l/gm		n d	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN Q	D D	ND	QN 4	UN 64.1	<4.1	<4.1	<10	<10	<10	410	~10 ~10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	40	دی د	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.1 0	< 1.0	NA	AA	NA
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	061	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	160	170	160	160	160	162	160	160	170	160	170	170	170	160	160	155	152	150	140	290	140	130	133	139	134	126	162	122	NA	AN	NA
Bromide	mg/l	0.80	0.60	0.71	0.53	0.61	0.51	0.61	0.66	0.69	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.66	0.56	0.46	0.47	0.43	0.34 0.34	0.38	0.46	0.41	0.17	0.35	0.30	0.30	0.28	0.39	20.0	0.92	1.70	1.10	1.30	1.20	1.30	1.40	1.20	AN	AN	٩N
anganese	mg/l	LL.O	0.03	R D	0.01	0.063	0.006	0.33	0.54	0.62	3.30	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	<0.005	0.05	€00.0>	0.06	0.07	0.37	1.30	0.06	0.03	0.12	<0.005	0.05	c00.05	0.05	0.01	<0.005	< 0.00500	< 0.00500	0.01	0.25 1	24.00	NA	NA	AN
lodide N	l/gm		n n	n Q	QN	ND	QN	Q 2	g	QN	9	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<.002	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.1	<0.10	NA	0	0	< 0.10	0.10	NA V	NA	NA	A
Fluoride	mg/l	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.23	0.14	<0.1	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.20	<0.1	0.30	0.22	0.19	0.24	0.20	0.10	0.14	0.18	0.15	97.0	0.22	NA	0.50	0.50
Boron	mg/l	80.0	0.0 0	0.09	0.09	0.084	0.094	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.02	0.08	0.08	0.07	<0.07 <0.1	0.08	<0.1	0.06	0.07	0.11	eu.u 80.0	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09 <0.1	0.12	0.12	<0.1	0.07	0.08	0.07		<u>v</u> v	0.13	0.08	0.08
Total Kjeldahl Vitrogen	mg/l	0.14	0.18	0.08	QN	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.10	0.12	0.13	020	0.16	0.15	<1	15.30	<u>^</u>	7	40.0 15	ŕ	15.00	<u>۲</u>	ŕ.	, ,		<u>۲</u>	7	<u>ک</u>	1.zu	, r	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.40	2.20	NA ⁰	NA	NA	AN
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	15	14	14	14.0	14.0	14.0	65.0	64.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.2	15.3	15.0	13.0	14.8	14.0	15.1	15.0	14.0	<0.05	16.0	14.0	15.4	16.0	16.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	9./ 16.0	NA N	106.8	112.5	1.0
Sulfate	mg/l	140	130	130	130	120	120	140	140	140	150	130	130	140	130	140	140	150	130	140	149	150	150	150	148	200	150	130	130	142	130	130	130	130	130	130	120	161	168	250
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	140	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	160	170	160	160	160	162	160	160	170	160	170	170	170	160	160	155	152	150	140	290	140	130	133	139	134	126	162	122	150	189	410
Magnesium	mg/l	33.0	30.0	31.0	32.0	31	33	34	34.0	36.0	38.0	36.0	36.0	35.0	32.0	35.0	31.0	34.0	32.0	29.0	31.0	32.0	31.0	31.0	33.0	28.0	25.0	30.0	28.0	23.0	25.0	31.0	31.0	32.5	34.3 44 E	C.14 C.14	32.2	35.0	43.0	59.0
Calcium	mg/l	Q/	68	72	71	69	72	74	21	82	83	75	75	77	69	81	65	73	79 69	65	67	71	71	69	74	61	57	68	54 54	62	55	65	69	71	78	92	73	78	66	132
Potassium	mg/l	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.2	4.3	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.0	3.9	3.2 5.0	4.2	4.8	3.3	3.4	3.7	4.5 26 E	C.C2	4.0	4.0	2.9	3.0
Sodium	mg/l	60 55	51	54	59	54	58	61	5 8	62	62	61	09	62	54	65	49	53	55	55	54	57	48	53	8 8	56	47	55	4/ 47	58	48	59	55	61	75	93 1 F 4	63	62	54	54
Chloride	mg/l	67 67	71	69	68	62	62	64	3 2	69	69	99	69	72	63	65	65	68	59 65	63	66	60	60	99	809	71	80	67	67 67	76	76	69	72	89	110	180	97	70	06	57
TDS	mg/l	095	580	560	540	550	590	580	560	560	580	580	610	570	570	580	580	610	099	610	610	580	590	600	610	600	570	570	600 590	580	570	550	528	672	606 ene	806	602	624	705	804
Date	101110010	81/02/GL/01	4/9/2019	1/9/2019	10/10/2018	7/12/2018	4/11/2018	1/11/2018	7/11/2017	4/11/2017	1/12/2017	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	1/13/2016	10/15/2015	7/16/2015	4/14/2015	1/14/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/15/2014	10/15/2013	7/10/2013	4/10/2013	10/29/2012	7/23/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	7/25/2011	4/20/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	1/26/2010	6002/02/01	5/12/2009	3/27/1996	6/7/1976	1/21/1966
Well		325/13E-30N03 325/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	325/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03 32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03 32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	325/13E-30N03 325/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	325/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03	32S/13E-30N03								

Chloride Bromide Ratio	000	700	357	313	581	392	329	306	170	306	278	30b 185	240	210	NA	AA	445	AN 2	NA	AN AN	NA	NA	AN N	AN N	AN	AN .	214 214	NA	NA	AN N	AN AN	NA	204	133	300	308	345	179	304	AN N	AN 1
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		3500.0	0.0028	0.0032	0.0017	0.0026	0.0030	0.0033	0.0059	0.0033	0.0036	0.0054	0.0042	0.0048	NA	NA	0.0022	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	AN	AN	NA	NA	0.0047	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA	0.0049	0.0075	0.0033	0.0032	0.0029	0.0056	0.0033	AN N	AN A
Iron	l/gm		0.040	CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-CT-C	0.220	0.17	0.41	0.2	0.280	2.000	0.220	0.630 ND	<0.030	<0.030	0.041	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	GU.U>	0.068	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	0.490	0.120	<0.1	3.430	3.270	3.300	1.090	5.500	4.910	5.240	AN N	
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	1 340	1.350	1.360	1,340	1,390	1,350	1,330	1,340	1,360	1,320	1,340	1,350	1,390	1,300	1,350	1,360	1,330	1,320	1,340	1,350	1,370	1,360	1,3/0	1,350	1,360	1,360	1,360	1,360	1,370	1.380	1,377	1,300	1,100	1,300	1,400	2,800	2,100	1,800	NA	EN I
Hydroxide as CaCO3	l/gm		<u>R</u>	e G	2 0	QN	Q	QN	Q	g	Q Q		<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	ç ç	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	AN	ΨN.
Carbonate as CaCO3	l/gm		ON ON	CN CN	QN	QN	QN	ND	ΠN	Q	ON 2	ON ON	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	40	<10	<10	<10	40	01>	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	\$2 \$	2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	0.1 0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	NA	AN .
Fotal Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	200	190	190	200	200	190	190	192	190	190	190 200	220	190	190	190	185	188	180	188 190	180	180	180	190	181	190	159	195	195	220	199	176	NA	AN :
Bromide	_, ≘	11.0	0.14	0.16	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.27	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.21	<0.046	<0.10	0.11	<0.3	40.1	<0.4	<0.1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.5	<0.1 <0.1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.3	0.28	0.70	0.16	0.24	2.00	2.80	0.56	AN N	AN
Manganese	l/gm		Q Q	n n	Q	Q	0.007	QN	0.01	0.02	ON 20	D.U	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.01	<0.005	<0.005	0.01	0.06	<0.005	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.00	0.40	0.34	0.13	NA	AN
lodide	l/gm		QN QN	CN CN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN			<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.10	AA	0	0	< 0.10	< 0.10	1	0	NA	AN N	M
Fluoride	/bm	010	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.099	0.097	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.22	0.18	<0.1	<0.3	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.43	0.18	21.0	<0.2	<0.5	0.15	0.16	<0.2	0.14	0.17	<0.1	< 0.10	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.23	0.18	AN 02.0	0.7.0
Boron	mg/l	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.13	<0.1	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.20	NA	NA	0.23	0.13
lotai Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/l	0.10	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.10	0.19	0.13	0.12	ZL-0	0.17	<0.080	0.12	~	1.52	, V	27	7	۰ ۲	1.10	2	v v	, <u>,</u>	<u>۲</u>	2 2	2	<u>۲</u>	2	1.0	<1.0	3.50	3.20	< 0.50	2.40	7.00	6.30	NA	AN A	AN I
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	7.0	0.2	10	0.2	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.2	0.2	0.2	7 0 0 7	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.7	1.4	9.0	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.0	<0.25	0.1	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	7.0	0.2	1.0	< 0.10	6.4	NA	0.9	0.0
Sulfate	mg/l	01.6	480	490	500	480	480	510	510	480	510	490 500	500	470	520	480	480	500	520	470	520	477	541	200	530	500	560 560	460	450	479 500	300 490	460	470	300	490	540 540	400	220	470	516	404
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	l/gm	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	200	190	190	200	200	190	190	192	190	190	190	220	190	190	190	281 785	188	180	188	180	180	180	190	181	190	159	195	26L	220	199	176	243	240
Magnesium	mg/l	0.86	04-10 26-0	59.0	64.0	59	65	63	65.0	64.0	64.0	0.69	68.0	67.0	64.0	60.0	70.0	58.0	59.0	0.06	50.0	49.0	58.0	0.80	53.0	58.0	48.0	54.0	54.0	62.0	49.0	45.0	58.5	46.3	58.1	8.0C	101.0	49.0	6.99	60.0	000
Calcium	mg/l	150	140	150	150	140	160	150	160	150	160	160	160	150	150	140	170	130	140	140	120	120	140	120	120	140	110	130	130	150	120	100	141	108	141	138	239	123	137	145	nci
Potassium	mg/l	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.5	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.6	5.0	4.1	4.9	4.3	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.9	4.5	7.7	6.4	3.5	7.3	12.5	4.7	7.0L	151.0	82.2	52.0	5.5	4./
Sodium	mg/l	73	64	67	75	99	92	27	70	74	74	08	78	72	74	64	82	61	62 65	80	99	60	20	60	63	68	63 56	64	57	81	8 09	52	68	72	71	11	274	199	129	71	70
Chloride	mg/l	49	20	20	20	47	46	49	46	49	20	50	48	44	48	47	49	47	20	45	46	45	46	0C 84	48	40	50	49	46	50	20	48	57	93	48	F0	069	500	170	50	40
TDS	mg/l	000,1	1.000	960	940	1,000	1,100	980	1,000	1,100	980	980 1.000	1,000	1,000	990	1,040	1,030	840	1,050	1.020	1,040	1,060	1,030	1,020	1,010	1,030	1,040 990	1,040	1,020	1,050	1.050	1,040	777	800	1,000	010,1	2,080	1,350	1,290	1,050	1,033
Date		81/02/GL/01	4/9/2019	1/9/2019	10/10/2018	7/12/2018	4/11/2018	1/11/2018	10/11/2017	7/11/2017	4/11/2017	1/13/2016	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	1/13/2016	10/15/2015	7/16/2015	4/14/2015	1/14/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/15/2014	7/15/2013	4/10/2013	1/14/2013	10/29/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	11/21/2011	7/25/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/27/2010	4/27/2010	2/25/2010	01/02/92/7	10/20/2009	8/20/2009	5/11/2009	3/27/1996	0/1/12/0
Well		325/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	325/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02 32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	325/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	32S/13E-30N02	20NINC-301/020							

	v	5	and the
	Ľ	2	Tablet.
	2	1	Thursday.

Martine listerie Martine listerie<	VVE L/V V/V I/1/2V 4.0 NA C0.1 320 <10 <10 1.050 4.8 NA C0.1 320 <5 <5 <5 1.170 5.3 0.0029 153 19 <2.0 <2.0 480 10.0 0.0089	<10 <10 1,050 4.8 NA	<5 1,170 5.3 0.0029 -2.0 400 400 0.0028	<2.0 480 10.0 0.0064	0 520 38.0 0.0044	690 35.5 0.0038 880 233.0 0.0037	880 233.0 0.0037	4,360 0.0038	11.4 0.0042	0 0.0043	AN
Matrix Magnetizity Magnetizity <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td><10 <10 1,050 4.8 5 5</td><td><5 1,170 5.3</td><td><2.0 480 10.0 -10 520 28.0</td><td>0 520 38.0</td><td>690 35.5 880 233.0</td><td>880 233.0</td><td>4,360</td><td>11.4</td><td>. 0</td><td></td></t<>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<10 <10 1,050 4.8 5 5	<5 1,170 5.3	<2.0 480 10.0 -10 520 28.0	0 520 38.0	690 35.5 880 233.0	880 233.0	4,360	11.4	. 0	
Martine liceritorium Burnel matrix Teal matrix	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<pre><10 <10 1,050 </pre>	<5 1,170	<2.0 480	520	690 880	880	Π	-	242.	0.1
Operation Partial Nation Nat	with ziv civ civ< civ< civ<	<10 <10	ŝ	<2.0				760	590	069	950
Operation Description Bitrate from the service Trate		<10			1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	Q
Math Factor and bolin Total bolin Total bolin <thtotal bolin Total bolin</thtotal 	2/0 		<22 22 0	2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	e	< 1.0	QN
Ool Image Design building Design building <thdesign building<="" th=""> Design building<!--</td--><td>1.02 1.20 1.63</td><td>320</td><td>310</td><td>19</td><td>160 20</td><td>30</td><td>62</td><td>112</td><td>80</td><td>18</td><td>360</td></thdesign>	1.02 1.20 1.63	320	310	19	160 20	30	62	112	80	18	360
Oditum Patastum Calcum Magnestum Ricerbonate as CaCO3 Nitregen Fluoride magn Fluoride magn Fluoride magn Magnestum 22 3.3 110 52.0 330 150 Nitrogen 0.14 Nitrogen 0.03 0.14 Nitrogen magn magn magn 42 3.3 110 52.0 330 150 Nitrogen 0.14 Nitrogen 0.14 Nitrogen 0.01	1100	<0.1	0.20	0.63	0.36	0.32	0.31	0.49	0.39	0.68	ЧZ
Octan Potassium Catcinal as CacCo3 Nitrate magin Total Negle Potassium Magin Magnesium and magin Bicarbonate as CacCo3 Nitrate magin Total Negle Functide Magin Outal Magin Outal Magin <thoutal Magin Outal Magin</thoutal 	0.17 0.23 0.40	0.17	0.23	0.40	0.85	1.46 2.54	2.54	32.40	0.24	1.76	0.01
Odium Potassium Calcum Magnesium Bicarbonate as CaCO3 Nitrote mg/l Total Dota Ploof 42 3.4 110 52.0 350 150 ND ND 0.08 0.14 42 3.4 100 52.0 350 150 ND 0.09 0.14 43 3.4 100 52.0 330 150 ND 0.17 0.09 0.14 44 3.3 110 56 330 120 ND 0.17 0.08 0.11 45 5.3 120 56 330 170 ND 0.37 0.13 44 3.4 120 57.0 330 170 ND 0.39 0.14 47 3.4 120 57.0 360 170 ND 0.17 0.09 0.14 47 3.4 120 57.0 370 190 ND 0.16 0.19 0.16	> 0 0 4	0	0 4	4	۹ A	0 +	-	0	< 0.10	0	AA
Oditum Potassium as CacCo3 Bicarbonate as CacCo3 Mitrage builate magin Trage magin Trage magin <thtrage magin <tht< td=""><td>0.20 0.19 0.20</td><td>0.19</td><td>0.17</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.58</td><td>< 0.1</td><td>0.21</td><td>< 0.10</td><td>< 0.10</td><td>0.20</td></tht<></thtrage 	0.20 0.19 0.20	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.58	< 0.1	0.21	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.20
Odum Potassium basium Calcum magn Megnosium as CaCCO3 Bicarbonate magn Nitrate as N magn Titrate magn Total magn 42 3.3 110 52.0 350 150 ND ND 43 3.4 100 52.0 320 200 ND 0.17 44 3.3 110 57.0 320 200 ND 0.17 45 5.0 3.10 56.1 3.00 160 ND 0.17 45 5.4 300 160 ND 0.16 0.17 46 5.0 3.10 56 310 200 ND 0.16 47 3.3 110 56 350 170 ND 0.23 48 3.4 120 56.0 360 170 ND 0.16 49 3.4 120 56.0 370 160 ND 0.16 41 3.4 120 57.0 370	0.08 0.16 <0.16	0.08	0.16	<0.1	<0.1	0.04	< 0.02	0.0200	0.07	NA	NA
Odtum Potassium Magnesium Bicarbonate as caCO3 Nuffactorate solution Nuffactorate as caCO3 Nuffactorate solution Nuffactorate as caCO3 Nuffactoratas cacO3 Nuffactoratas cacO3 N	 3.50 <1.0 	3.50	ې ۲	0.0	<1.0	< 0.50	0.84	NA <	< 0.50	1.10	NA
Odum Potassium Magnesium Bicarbonate as caCO3 Suffate solution mg/l mg/l <td> <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 </td> <td><0.05</td> <td><0.05</td> <td><0.05</td> <td><0.1</td> <td>< 0.10</td> <td>< 0.10</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>< 0.10</td> <td>< 0.10</td> <td>4</td>	 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.6	< 0.10	< 0.10	4
Octame Magne sum mg/l Calcum mg/l Magnesum mg/l Disarbonate as CaCO3 42 3.3 110 52.0 350 43 3.4 110 52.0 350 44 3.3 110 52.0 350 45 5.3 88 54.0 340 46 6.0 46.0 55 310 47 3.3 110 56.0 320 48 5.3 88 54.0 340 47 3.4 120 56 310 48 5.3 120 56.0 370 360 47 3.4 120 66.0 370 370 48 3.4 120 67.0 370 360 48 3.4 120 67.0 370 360 49 3.4 120 67.0 370 360 49 3.4 120 67.0 370 360 410 <t< td=""><td>180 209 53</td><td>180</td><td>209</td><td>53</td><td>68</td><td>210 310</td><td>310</td><td>100</td><td>110</td><td>54</td><td>06</td></t<>	180 209 53	180	209	53	68	210 310	310	100	110	54	06
Odtum Potassium Calcium Magnestium mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l 42 3.39 1100 52.0 54.0 43 3.4 1100 55.0 54.0 45 5.3 1100 55.0 54.0 46 5.3 1100 55.0 56.0 41 3.3.1 1100 55.0 54.0 43 3.3 1200 56.0 56.0 44 3.3.1 1200 56.0 56.0 47 3.4 1200 56.0 56.0 48 3.4 1200 56.0 56.0 49 3.4 1200 56.0 56.0 49 3.7 1100 55.0 56.0 49 3.7 1100 55.0 56.0 54 3.4 100 55.0 56.0 54 3.4 100 55.0 56.0 54 <td>320 310 19</td> <td>320</td> <td>310</td> <td>19</td> <td>160</td> <td>30</td> <td>62</td> <td>112</td> <td>17</td> <td>18</td> <td>360</td>	320 310 19	320	310	19	160	30	62	112	17	18	360
Solution Potassium mg/l Calcium mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l 42 3.9 110 42 3.9 110 43 3.4 100 45 5.3 9 110 45 3.5 110 10 46 5.3 88 120 47 3.5 120 120 47 3.4 120 120 47 3.4 120 120 48 3.4 120 43 3.4 48 3.4 120 43 3.4 49 3.4 120 43 3.4 48 3.4 120 7 7 49 3.4 120 7 7 33 2.7 99 7 7 7 3.4 100 5 7 100 50 5.4 4.6 110 6 6 <t< td=""><td>40.0 43.0 35.0 9.2</td><td>43.0</td><td>35.0</td><td>9.2</td><td>11.0</td><td>30.4 48.3</td><td>48.3</td><td>124.0</td><td>45.1</td><td>20.4</td><td>65.0</td></t<>	40.0 43.0 35.0 9.2	43.0	35.0	9.2	11.0	30.4 48.3	48.3	124.0	45.1	20.4	65.0
Soldium Potassium mg/l mg/l mg/l 42 3.3 4 43 3.3 4 44 3.3 4 51 3.4 3.3 45 5.3 4 46 3.4 3.3 47 3.5 4 48 3.4 3.3 49 44 3.3 41 3.3 3.4 47 3.3 3.4 48 3.4 3.4 47 3.3 3.4 48 3.4 3.3 49 3.4 3.3 33 2.7 3.4 46 3.4 3.3 33 2.7 3.4 46 3.4 3.4 70 5.4 3.7 70 5.4 3.4 70 5.4 3.4 70 5.4 3.4 86	/ ⊲ 96 34	96	80	34	64	62 86	86	682	19	50	85
nage nage nage 42 42 43 43 44 44 44 45 44 46 45 47 44 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 86 88 86 89 86 88 86 88 86 88 86 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	3.4 6.4 8.1	3.4	6.4	8.1	9.3	1.9	5.7	25.4	2.9	3.4	AN
	38 88	38	99	22	26	34	48	45	40	48	40
Chloride mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	39 88 88	39	69	98	81 95	85	83	130	92	160	35
TDS mg/l 650	720 760 310	720	760	310	290	438 560	560	460	362	420	665
Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date	1/1/21/2011 7/25/2011 1/24/2011	7/05/0011	7/25/2011	1/24/2011	7/06/2010	7/26/2010	4/26/2010	1/27/2010	10/20/2009	8/19/2009	5/16/1983
Meil 325/13E-31H10		S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10 32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10	32S/13E-31H10

/ Chloride Bromide Ratio		320	218	226	300	481	240	183	244	112	250	293	256	203	190	158	250	293	249	325	343	414	279	254	263	318	aac	485	NA N	147	314	321	205	355	S A	169	165	215	222	203 NA	
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		0.0031	0.0046	0.0044	0.0033	0.0021	0.0042	0.0055	0.0041	0.0044	0.0040	0.0034	0.0039	0.0049	0.0053	0.0063	0.0040	0.0034	0.0040	0.0031	0.0029	0.0024	0.0036	0.0039	0.0038	0.0033	20000	0.0021	NA	0.0068	0.0032	0.0031	0.0024	0.0028	NA	0.0059	0.0061	0.0047	0.0045	0.0049 NA	
Iron	mg/l	5.7	5.9	3.7	8.2	8.2	7.2	7.3	5.9	0.C	9.1	7.2	8.1	0.0	6.7	8.6	5.7	9.6	6.6	3.5	4.5	8.0	3.7	2.3	4.1	N C C	40	6.1	6.6	1.6	0.2	0.5	7.7 NA	10.0	2.2	593.0	383.0	170.0	236.0	153.0 0.1	
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	1,070	1,110	1,140	1,090	1,090	1,110	1,130	1,090	1,080	1,100	1,100	1,100	617	628	675	670	690	700	720	740	800	720	710	710	/3U	000	720	470	750	850	720	440 050	1 040	1.163	1,200	1,600	2,300	3,100	640 1,200	
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	QN	QN	DN	DN	ND	DN	QN	Q .		<u>P</u>	2	Q	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	010	100	<10	<10	<10	<10	، 10 م	000	<2.0 <2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 ND	
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	ΔN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	QN 2		CN CN	DN	QN	29	41	37	33	25	22	20	<10	20	45	58	73	05 ar	70 75	88	33	30	26	17	ç, 4	n (c	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	20 ND	
otal Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/I	320	310	300	340	340	340	300	340	320	320	340	350	89	92	86	75	65	76	65	88	73	121	125	139	117	165	168	132	180	180	128	59		14	3	8	< 1.0	5	23 250	
Bromide	mg/l	0.15	0.28	0.27	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.40	0.16	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.59	0.58	0.76	0.44	0.41	0.48	0.39	0.35	0.29	0.43	0.48	0.38	0.20	00.00	0.20	<0.1	0.68	0.31	0.28	1.02	0.31	<0.3	0.77	0.97	2.00	4.50	0.74 NA	
anganese	mg/l	0.24	0.24	0.19	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.25	0.29	0.28	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.0	0.11	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.16	0.05	3.97	3.10	9.41	13.10	0.71 0.14	
odide	mg/l	0	0	0	0	0	D.014	0.066	0.021			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		200	0.07	0	0	0	0			. AN	0	1	0	< 0.10	1 AN	-
uoride l	mg/l	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.17 (0.18 (0.20	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.10	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.12	<0.1	0.10	<0.1	0.12	101	<0.1	0.19	0.26	<0.2	0.13	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.14	0.13 <	0.19 0.20	
oron	mg/l	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.17	.089	0.13	111	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.19	07:0	20.0	0.28	0.16	0.27	0.28	0.19	1.02	-01	<0.1	1.0200	0.02	0.08	0.05	ND N	
Total Geldahl E itrogen	mg/l	QN	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.24	0.27	060.0	0.17 (0.11	- CN	0.11	0.12	0.10	<0.080	<0.080	ŕ.	۰ ۲	7	۲ ۲	7	7	÷.	<u>v</u>	V 7	- T	7	7 5	; `	7	۰ ۲	ŕ.	- 1	0	-1.0	< 0.50 < (0.56 <	< 0.50	0.98	1.30 NA	
litrate as N) N	mg/l	QN	DN	DN	DN	DN	QN	QN	2			e g	Q	960.0	 960.0 	0.022	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.4	30.02	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.1	<0.05	50.05	20.05	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10 < 4	
Sulfate (mg/l	200	210	240	220	210	190	210	220	230	250	240	220	39 <	56 <	65 <	74	85	100	126	- 77	130	39	42	36	040	,	, , ,	43	79	95	86	00	330	380	450	530 <	680 <	410 <	4 4	
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	320	310	300	340	340	340	300	340	320	320	340	350	60	51	49	42	40	54	45	53	53	76	68	99	20	110	130	66	150	154	111	40 04	о и	14	ε	80	< 1.0	5	3 250	
Magnesium	mg/l	50.0	52.0	48.0	52.0	59.0	54	47	57	0.00	56.0	58.0	57.0	38.0	40.0	36.0	31.0	35.0	36.0	37.0	29.0	43.0	17.0	18.0	15.0	19.0	0.01	24.0	22.0	26.0	33.0	24.0	17.0	22.0	31.0	42.0	50.7	43.0	22.5	12.1 50.0	
Calcium	mg/l	120	110	110	120	130	120	110	130	120	120	130	120	4	4	5	4	4	5	9	4	10	2	2	~ ¬	4 0	, c	n n	2	4	9	5	70	64	88	142	208	282	487	24 100	
Potassium	mg/l	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.0	8.0	4.0	3.9	6.8	604.0	7.7	9.2	11.0	7.6	7.0	13.0	4.4	14.0	15.0	20.0	14.0	0.4 7	6.4	11.0	5.5	4.9	4.6	10.0	17.0	12.0	8.6	6.5	5.0	2.4	16.7 NA	
Sodium	mg/l	56	73	66	45	49	43	81	51	40 73	3 2	57	49	64	64	70	69	85	99	68	78	71	68	68	98	0 2	5 8	100	56	87	110	78	23	90	89	80	20	56	20	93 90	
Chloride	mg/l	48	61	61	39	37	36	73	39	38	45	44	41	120	110	120	110	120	120	125	120	120	120	122	100	041	107	67	49	100	96	66	118	110	100	130	160	430	1,000	150 80	
TDS	mg/l	750	650	700	730	720	720	780	750	027	720	750	780	420	410	450	350	380	400	420	370	450	370	350	360	3/0	260	380	240	380	480	390	200	900	770	783	1,130	1,740	2,250	322 840	
Date		10/14/2019	7/9/2019	4/9/2019	1/8/2019	10/9/2018	7/12/2018	4/10/2018	1/10/2018	71/02/11/01	4/12/2017	1/13/2017	10/12/2016	7/20/2016	4/13/2016	1/13/2016	10/14/2015	7/15/2015	4/16/2015	1/14/2015	10/16/2014	7/30/2014	4/17/2014	1/16/2014	7/16/2013	2102/LL/2	1/16/2013	10/30/2012	7/25/2012	4/19/2012	1/12/2012	11/21/2011	1102/62/1	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/26/2010	4/26/2010	1/27/2010	10/20/2009	8/19/2009 5/16/1983	
Well		32S/13E-31H11	325/13E-31H11 325/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	325/13E-31H11	220/135-21111	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	325/13E-31H11 325/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11	32S/13E-31H11 32S/13E-31H11								
1 7C													M	Ma	ıy	1:	3,	20	02	20	- F	Þa	age	Э ́	15	4 (of	20)1				At	tta	ch	۱m	ier	nt ·	- 1	NCN	ЛАAı



Chloride / Bromide Ratio	231	263	237	148	208	245	222	237	ΝA
Bromide / Chloride Ratio	0.0043	0.0038	0.0042	0.0067	0.0048	0.0041	0.0045	0.0042	ΝA
Iron mg/l	NA	2.2	3.5	61.0	71.0	54.4	20.0	278.0	0.1
Specific Conductivity umhos/cm	770	810	868	730	810	780	850	1,000	006
Hydroxide as CaCO3 mg/l	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	QN
Carbonate as CaCO3 mg/l	20	20	30	8	14	38	14	140	DN
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 mg/l	100	110	124	130	86	51	64	170	330
3romide mg/l	0.42	0.35	0.38	0.56	0.40	0.29	0.32	0.76	ΝA
Manganese	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.48	0.70	0.60	0.34	2.36	0.02
lodide mg/l	0	1	NA	0	-	0	< 0.10	+	AA
-luoride mg/l	0.18	0.11	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.24	0.10
Boron mg/l	0.23	0.25	0.20	0.26	0.13	0.32	0.15	AA	NA
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen mg/l	<1	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	0.56	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.70	NA
Nitrate (as N) mg/l	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	< 0.10	< 0.1	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	< 4
Sulfate mg/l	134	140	140	94	190	230	310	4	80
Bicarbonate as CaCO3 mg/l	80	06	94	122	72	13	50	30	330
Magnesium mg/l	21.0	27.0	32.0	30.4	34.5	39.1	49.8	8.4	50.0
Calcium mg/l	4	3	7	53	29	23	33	95	06
Potassium mg/l	7.2	9.2	15.0	5.9	7.4	10.6	8.6	71.6	NA
sodium	100	06	110	109	83	92	81	148	40
Chloride 3	97	92	90	83	83	71	71	180	40
TDS mg/l	410	440	460	478	452	496	564	522	630
Date	4/21/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/26/2010	4/26/2010	1/27/2010	10/20/2009	8/19/2009	5/16/1983
Well	32S/13E-31H12								

2+95EW	Chloride / Bromide Ratio		269	NA	307	293	447	271	259	286	308	267	300	254	256	281	239	133	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AA	NA	AA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AA	AA
	Bromide / (Chloride Ratio		0.0037	NA	0.0033	0.0034	0.0022	0.0037	0.0039	0.0035	0.0033	0.0038	0.0033	0.0039	0.0039	0.0036	0.0042	0.0075	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΝA	NA										
		l/gm	0.23	NS	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.041	0.10	0.38	0.34	0.42	0.30	0.40	0.36	0.33	0.46	0.46	0.18	0.13	0.47	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.18	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.66	0.20	0.10	0.03	<0.1
	Specific onductivity	umhos/cm	1,060	SN	1,060	1,060	1,080	1,100	1,060	1,040	1,020	1,050	1,040	976	1,070	1,060	1,040	1,100	1,060	1,070	1,030	1,060	1,070	1,070	1,060	1,053	1,070	1,070	1,060	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,010	1,070
	Hydroxide as CaCO3 C	mg/l	Q	NS	DN	QN	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	DN	DN	DN	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	ŝ
	Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	QN	SN	ND	DN	QN	QN	QN	QN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<5
	otal Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	360	NS	360	370	360	360	360	360	370	360	360	320	370	370	350	350	360	360	350	350	360	340	360	350	350	350	360	345	356	350	340	350	340
	Bromide	mg/l	0.16	NS	0.14	0.15	0.09	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.24	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.36	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1
	Manganese	mg/l	0.04	NS	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.032	0.035	0.048	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03
	lodide 1	l/gm	0	SN	0	0	0	0.018	0.016	0.019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01
	luoride	mg/l	0.11	NS	0.14	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.24	0.28	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.26	0.20	<0.2	0.15
	Boron	mg/l	0.07	NS	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.073	0.068	0.062	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.06	<0.1	0.09	<0.05	<0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.05	<0.1
	Total (jeldahl itrogen	mg/l	0.10	NS	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.12	QN	ND	ND	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.14	<1	<1	<1	4	7	4	ŕ	4	ŕ	4	4	-1	<1	<1	4	7	7
	Nitrate (as N) N	l/gm	QN	NS	DN	0.0	0.0	Q	0.074	QN	0.0	Q	0.0	QN	0.0	0.2	<0.096	0.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.5	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	<0.05	<0.1	<0.05
	Sulfate	mg/l	170	NS	170	170	170	170	170	160	160	170	170	150	170	160	160	180	160	170	170	150	160	170	171	180	180	170	180	170	180	200	160	160	167
	Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	360	NS	360	370	360	360	360	360	370	360	360	320	370	370	350	350	360	360	350	3,500	360	340	360	350	350	350	360	345	356	350	340	350	340
	Magnesium	mg/l	50.0	NS	50.0	52.0	54.0	53	51	53	55.0	56.0	60.0	48.0	56.0	56.0	57.0	54.0	50.0	56.0	47.0	50.0	48.0	43.0	46.0	47.0	52.0	46.0	47.0	49.0	45.0	43.0	44.0	46.0	40.0
	Calcium	mg/l	120	NS	110	110	110	120	110	120	120	120	130	100	120	120	110	110	100	120	100	110	110	87	100	100	110	98	100	110	93	95	100	100	86
a	Potassium	mg/l	2.7	NS	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.2	3.3	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	4.5
lity Da	Sodium	l/gm	51	NS	44	44	46	47	45	46	47	48	52	53	49	50	48	48	44	52	41	43	43	43	42	44	43	40	43	44	44	44	44	39	39
ter Qua	Chloride	mg/l	43	NS	43	44	42	46	44	40	40	40	42	61	46	45	43	48	43	43	49	40	43	42	45	40	44	43	43	40	47	48	45	41	4
ells Wa	TDS	mg/l	670	NS	620	069	069	630	200	680	640	750	620	640	720	680	670	630	680	680	680	670	670	680	680	670	670	720	660	660	700	680	069	069	069
entry We	Date		10/14/2019	7/9/2019	4/9/2019	1/8/2019	10/9/2018	7/10/2018	4/10/2018	1/10/2018	10/11/2017	7/11/2017	4/12/2017	1/11/2017	10/12/2016	7/20/2016	4/13/2016	1/12/2016	10/14/2015	7/15/2015	4/16/2015	10/16/2014	7/30/2014	4/15/2014	1/16/2014	10/16/2013	7/10/2013	4/11/2013	1/16/2013	10/30/2012	7/24/2012	4/25/2012	1/10/2012	11/22/2011	7/25/2011
lix A: NCMA !	Well		32S/13E-31H09																																
Agenda Iter	m 7C														Ν	Ла	y	1:	3,	2()2	0	- 1	25	ag	e	15	56	0	f 2	20	1			

S	
5	

/ Chloride Bromide Ratio		330	257	323	341	467	261	273	300	271	282	261	276	212	214	18/	327	300	000	383	506	280	262	280	381	385	AN	293	282	303	313	302	107	NA N	196	218	234	238	267 NA
Bromide Chloride Ratio		0.0030	0.0039	0.0031	0.0029	0.0021	0.0038	0.0037	0.0033	0.0037	0.0035	0.0038	0.0036	0.0047	0.0047	0.0054	0.0031	1200.0	0.000.0	0.0023	0.0020	0.0036	0.0038	0.0036	0.0026	0.0026	ΝA	0.0034	0.0035	0.0033	0.0032	0.0033	0.0038	NA NA	0.0051	0.0046	0.0043	0.0042	0.0038 NA
Iron	mg/l	0.34	2.90	2.10	2.20	2.10	0.86	4.2	2.50	2.20	4.50	3.30	2.30	1.20	1.20	0.33	0.30	0.40	0.47	0.61	0.25	0.45	0:30	0.28	0.19	0.15	0.55	0.33	0.24	0.89	0.65	1.90	N/A	0.66	22.40	56.20	23.60	18.90	682.00 0.24
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	675	654	690	703	678	669	676	626 640	040	667	652	678	694	701	117	710	130	000	6/0	730	730	740	760	760	780	810	750	790	760	730	760	092	796	200	780	810	760	790 1,100
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	QN	ND	DN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q 9	2	Q	Q	Q	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<10	40 10	0 V	010	01× 10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10 <10	<10	<10	<10	ų	0.22	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 ND
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	37	26	27	19	23	25	30	26	19	40	33	33	44	51	43	30	40	88	30	17	53	17	10	20	15	15	155	20	20	8	e g	0.22 0.02	<10	18	12	20	16	20 ND
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/I	210	200	210	200	220	220	220	210	210	220	220	220	210	200	190	189	C01	1/2	170	175	143	136	124	136	128	125	168 168	420	320	160	150	140	148	56	58	51	43	84 330
3romide	mg/l	0.30	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.18	0.31	0.30	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.29	0.43	0.44	0.53	0.26	0.24	17.0	0.24	0.10	0.30	0.34	0.30	0.21	0.20	<0.1	0.30	0.39	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.33	<0.3	0.48	0.44	0.38	0.42	0.60 NA
nganese	mg/l	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.08	0.064	0.083	0.07	0.13	0.77	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.20	0.58	0.28	0.18	5.49 0.02
dide Mai	l/gr	0	0	0	0	0	045	041	046		0	0	0	0	0	.010	0 0					0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0 0	0	0	0	0	- T	- 4	. 0	0	0	0.10	0 4
oride loc	m l/gr	.06	.06	.08	.06	.04	041 0.0	039 0.0	050 0.1	03	.02	.04	.04	.04	.05	.08	.10			1.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	.23	0.2	0.1	0.1	14	0.1	0.10	0.10	0.10	.15 < (16
ron Flu	a/l n	18 0	16 0	18 0	17 0	16 0	14 0.	14 0.	14 0.	15 0	17 0	19 0	18	22	22	19	23 23			2 0	10	18	20 <	17 <	19 <	19 <	18	25 28 28	22	24 <	21 <	50	141	14	14	13	13 <	17 0	0 0 0 0
al Iahl Bo gen	е И	0.	0.0	15 0.	0.0	15 0.	96 0.	0	0 0	- 0	3 0.	0	0	0.0	80	0.0						0	0.	1 0.	1 0.	0.	0		; ; ;	1 0.	1 0.	0 0			50 0.	3 0.	6 0.	6 0.	88 4
ate Kjelc N) Nitro	/I mc	ž	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N	Z Z	; Z	0.1	ž	ž	96 <0.0	96 <0.0	22 <0.0)5 V			iv i	v `v)5 ^)5 <`	05 <`)5 <`)5 <`)5 	v v	× ×	, v	05 <`)5 25	N 1	3 -	10 < 0.	.1 0.6	10 0.5	10 0.5	0.0 N
fate (as	g/l mg	z	1 Z	8 8	2 6	Z S	л З	2 0	2 Z		z	4 Z	Z	0.0×	52 <0.(0.0 20.0	5 - 0		0	.0 ₽	81 S	57 <0.	03 <0.	30 <0.	20 <0.	£0 <0.	80 <0.	20 20 20	80	88 <0	'2 <0.	5 5 5			20 < 0	50 < 0	80 < 0.	80 < 0.	20 < 0. 20 9.
sicarbonate Sul as CaCO3	mg/l m	180	170	180	180 1	190 1	190	190 2	190 7	190	180 2	190 1	190	170	150	150	159 4	140	1.10	140 6	158 6	120	119 1	114 1	116 1	113 1	110 1	160	400	300 6	152 7	148 0	140	148	38	46 1	31 1.	27 1.	64 3 330 1
Magnesium	mg/l	32.0	36.0	34.0	42.0	43.0	38	44	38	45.0	44.0	41.0	43.0	34.0	33.0	32.0	32.0	0.44.0	01:0	31.0	35.0	26.0	34.0	33.0	35.0	38.0	40.0	30.0	26.0	28.0	30.0	31.0	0.12	33.0	32.0	37.1	38.0	37.9	29.1 70.0
Calcium	mg/l	з	4	4	7	5	3.9	4.5	4.3 F	n @	4	4	4	2	е I			° °	~ ·	N 0	0 4	е	4	5	5	9	7	m m		3	е	4.	4 0	9	10	15	16	16	93 90
Potassium	mg/l	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.4	4.7	4.3	5.1	4.6	4.8	4.8	4,4	4.0 4	4.X	5.0 4.2	5.2	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	5.7	4.3	4.5	4.6	7.1	6.0 2	3.9	8.8	9.9	10.2	12.8	18.9 NA
Sodium	mg/l	93	83	79	79	79	72	78	75	80	87	95	87	66	66	97	91	89	8 3	84	81	86	91	87	70	77	78	99	83	95	83	84	011	100	63	88	80	97	101 70
Chloride	mg/l	66	06	100	66	84	81	82	75	76	79	81	80	91	94	99	8	06	80	06	8	84	89	84	80	17	74	88 108	110	94	94	6	80 6	87	94	96	89	100	160 60
TDS	mg/l	280	500	460	400	400	470	490	430	390	430	480	410	510	450	460	370	080	000	065 070	380	380	390	410	420	450	420	380	390	410	410	420	380	410	446	416	498	446	426 770
Date		10/14/2019	7/9/2019	4/9/2019	1/8/2019	10/9/2018	7/12/2018	4/10/2018	1/10/2018	7/11/2017	4/12/2017	1/13/2017	10/12/2016	7/20/2016	4/13/2016	1/13/2016	10/14/2015	2102/21//	710/2015	C102/81/01	7/30/2014	4/17/2014	1/16/2014	10/16/2013	7/11/2013	4/11/2013	1/15/2013	7/25/2012	4/19/2012	1/12/2012	11/21/2011	7/25/2011	1102/12/5/	10/21/2010	7/26/2010	4/26/2010	1/27/2010	10/20/2009	8/19/2009 5/16/1983
Well		32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13 32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	325/13E-31H13	000/40F 04140	32S/13E-31H13 22S/13E-31H13	325/13E-31H13 32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13 32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	325/13E-31H13 225/13E 21H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13	32S/13E-31H13 32S/13E-31H13													
n 7C													N	1a	y '	13	8, 2	20	2() -	P	ag	je	15	57	of	f 2	01				A	tta	ıcł	۱m	ier	nt -	- 1	νСΜ

A/ Chloric Bromic Datio	Laur	NA	AA	NA	AN :	AN S	619	390	392 NA	AN	597	543	370	317	360	ΝA	NA	AN	AN 2	AN N	NA	NA	AN 3	AN N	AN N	AN N	NA	ΝA	A	AN N	AN AN	AA	NA	327	300	292	286	AA	ΝA
Bromide Chloride	Valio	0.0032	ΝA	0.0036	0.0023	AN 5	0.0016	0.0026	0.0026 NA	AN	0.0017	0.0018	0.0027	0.0032	0.0028	ΝA	AN	AN 1	A Z	AN AN	AN	ΝA	NA	AN N	AN N	A N	AN	NA	Υ	AN N	AN AN	AN	NA	0.0031	0.0033	0.0034	0.0035	٩N	ΝA
Iron	mg/l	0.078	Q	0.078	Q	0.190	2	2	0.230	0.230	0.430	0.110	<0.030	<0.05	0.070	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.180	CU.U>	0.130	0.190	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	NA VA	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.100	4.530	1.680	4.020	٩N	NA
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	1,190	1,180	1,190	1,200	1,190	1,230	1,190	1,180	1,180	1,170	1,180	1.200	1,210	1,210	1,210	1,210	1,160	1,160	1,220	1,200	1,190	1,210	1,210	1,200	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,200	1.200	1,200	1,213	1,100	1,100	1,100	1.200	NA	NA
Hydroxide as CaCO3	mg/l	Q	Q	Q	Q :	9	9	2 9	Q Q	2 Q	ND	Q 4	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	<10	40	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	410	<2:0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	AN	NA
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	QN	QN	QN	Q	QN 2	Q I	Q I	Q Q	g	ND	QN 4	82 82	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	410	40	<10 <10	<10	<10	<10	40	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10 k	2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	 1.0 1.0 	1.01.0	NA	NA
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	ng/I	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	190	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	170	175	180	1/8	168	180	170	180	170	180	169	182	191	192	104 204	NA	NA
romide	mg/l	0.12	Q	0.14	60.0		0.063	0.10	0.097	2 9	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.10	<0.20	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	2.02	<0.2	<0.1	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.3	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.22	AN	AN
ganese B	mg/l	Q	Q	QN	Q .	2	g g	2	2.05	00.0	0.01	Q 2	1.0040).0040	0.0040	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	2005	0.005	0.02 0.02	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.00500	0.06	0.10	0.13	AN	AN
dide Man	I/gr	۵Z	Q	٩D	<u>q</u> !	<u> </u>	9 9		99	9	ZD	99	.010 <(.010 <0	.010 <0	o.01 ⊲	D.01 ≤	0.01 ≈	0.01	0.01	0.01	o.01 ⊲	D.01 ≤	0.01	× 10.0	0.01	0.01	o.01 ⊲	o.02 ⊲	0.01	0.01	D.10 <	⊳ AN	0.10 < 0	0	0.10	NA N	AN	A
uoride lo	mg/l n	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.052	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04 <0	0.06 <0	:0.20	<0.1 <	<0.2	×0.1	<0.2 <	<0.1 <	<0.2 <	<0.2	<0.1 ×	× 0.7	<0.2 <	<0.1 <	<0.2 <	0.18	<0.2 ×	0.07	0.15 <	<0.1	0.10 <	< 0.1	0.12 <	0.12	AN	0.70
toron FI	mg/l	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.17 (0.17	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15 <	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.10	0.16 <	0.22	0.15 NA	V A	0.24	0.15
Total jeldahl E	mg/l	0.09	0.15	1.50	0.23	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.19	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.25	0.080	0.080	۲ ۲		1.00	÷.	- - -	ŕ	1.10	۲.	÷.	- ·	- - -	; 7	ŕ	,	27	7 5	<1.0	<1.0	¢ 0.50	0.77	0.84	NA Na	AA	AA
Vitrate K (as N) Ni	mg/l	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.50	0.48	0.37	0.4	0.5	0.5	1.9	2.0 <	0.5 <	0.7	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.8	8 G	0.6	<0.05	0.4	1.3	0.4	9.0	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	C AN	2.0	9.0
Sulfate	mg/l	440	430	440	420	430	430	430	440	420	420	430	430	390	410	400	410	440	440	390	440	416	460	430	420	400	420	450	380	380	415	400	400	420	400	420 300	330	408	423
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	190	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	170	175	180	1/8 165	168	180	170	180	170	180	169	182	191	192 184	204	233	223
Magnesium	mg/l	45.0	51.0	48.0	46.0	49.0	47	49	50.0	55.0	49.0	55.0 56.0	50.0	49.0	49.0	47.0	50.0	44.0	45.0	43.0 47.0	27.0	42.0	43.0	42.0	43.0	46.0	41.0	36.0	44.0	40.0	30.0	36.0	47.0	47.4	48.9	48.2 48.4	45.4	47.0	48.0
Calcium	mg/l	140	140	130	140	140	130	140	140	150	130	150	130	130	130	120	140	110	120	120	76	110	120	120	0110	110	110	95	120	110	100	98	130	127	129	131	111	124	130
Potassium	mg/l	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4	4.2	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.2	2.6	3.1	4.5	3.2	3.0	3.4	3.9	3.2	4.1	3.5	4.2	5.1	3.6	3.7	4.1	4.6	4.9	4.8	3.5
Sodium	mg/l	73	70	64	20	67	64	02 F	76	73	73	76	69	65	64	63	74	55	59	20 61	46	60	63	54	8	66	65	52	64	55 EF	61	55	76	64	20	72	84	99	72
Chloride	mg/l	38	37	39	39	8	e e	ee ee	35	37	37	38	37	38	36	37	39	38	39	88	36	35	40	39	8	35	43	47	41	39	42	41	38	36	42	88 98	8 8	35	38
TDS	mg/l	880	700	870	840	850	096	006	940 880	1,000	860	870	920	860	890	920	930	890	880	016	910	910	910	910	890	870 910	880	880	290	910	890	890	910	707	860	856	832	882	936
Date		10/15/2019	7/10/2019	4/10/2019	1/9/2019	10/10/2018	7/10/2018	4/11/2018	1/11/2018	7/12/2017	4/12/2017	1/12/2017	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	1/14/2016	10/15/2015	7/16/2015	4/14/2015	1/13/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/16/2014	10/16/2013	7/10/2013	4/11/2013	1/15/2013	7/24/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	7/25/2011	4/21/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/27/2010	4/26/2010	10/21/2009 8/20/2009	5/11/2009	3/26/1996	6/8/1976
Well		12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01 12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01 12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01 12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01 12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01	12N/36W-36L01																							
n 7C	;												M	ay	1:	3,	20)2(0 -	P	ag	е	15	8	of	20	01				At	ta	chi	me	ən	t -	N	CN	ЛA

4/22/2020

Chloride / Bromide Ratio		150	165	189	200	161	152	154	152	164	190	177	149	119	148	241	164	258	311	516	271	227	281	955	222	550	294 432	AN	271	289	211	226	222	138	143	162	154	S N	NA
Bromide / Chloride Ratio		0.0067	0.0061	0.0053	0.0050	0.0062	0.0066	0.0065	0.0066	0.0061	0.0053	0.0056	0.0067	0.0084	0.0068	0.0042	0.0061	0.0039	0.0032	0.0019	0.0037	0.0044	0.0036	0.0010	0.0045	0.0018	0.0023	ΝA	0.0037	0.0035	0.0048	0.0044	0.0045	0.0073	0.0070	0.0062	0.0065	NA	NA
Iron	mg/l	0.490	0.140	0.170	0.420	0.02.0	2.1	0.510	0.410	0.750	0.770	1.100 0.100	0.140	0.370	1.900	0.320	0.160	0.400	0.077	0.270	0.220	0.410	0.540	0.310	0.600	0.610	0.520	0.770	1.800	0.400	NA N	1.400	0.120	0.845	3.870	0.255	0.958	NA	ΝA
Specific Conductivity	umhos/cm	1,210	1,200	1,220	1,220	1 260	1.210	1.190	1,220	1,200	1,190	1,200	1,220	1,240	1,240	1,220	1,230	1,180	1,190	1,230	1,240	1,230	1,220	1,240	1,240	1,240	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,240	1.270	1,270	1,293	1,200	1,100	940	1,200	NA	NA
Hydroxide as CaCO3 0	l/gm	QN	Q	Q	Q Q		2 9	2 9	9	QN	Q		<0.82	<0.82	<8.2	<10	<10	×10	<10	10 10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<2.0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	NA	NA
Carbonate as CaCO3	mg/I	QN	ND	QN	Q Q		QN QN	QN	g	QN	Q	a a	<8.2	<8.2	<8.2	<10	<10	~10	40	10 20	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	410	<10	<10	<10	4 4	2:0 2:0	<2.0	<10	< 1.0	< 1.0	 1.0 1.0 	1.01.0	NA .	NA
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/l	270	260	270	270	760	260	260	280	250	250	270	270	270	260	266	260	270	250	280	280	270	260	260	260	265	C02	270	290	270	280	300	275	268	215	172 200	294	NA	AN
3romide	mg/l	0.66	0.60	0.53	0.50	0.62	0.06	0.65	0.66	0.59	0.51	0.53	0.65	0.81	0.65	0.37	0.59	0.38	0.32	0.19	0.35	0.44	0.32	0.11	0.45	0.20	0.34	<0.2	0.45	0.45	0.57	0.53	0.54	0.80	0.70	0.61 0.75	c/.0	NA N	NA
nganese	mg/l	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.00	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.43	NA	NA
odide Ma	mg/I	0	0	0	0 0	014	0.16	0.17	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	- o	0	:0.02	:0.01		-	NA	-	0	57	n AN	AN AN	NA
uoride lo	mg/l	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.00	41-0 0.097	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.22	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	<0.1	0.23	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.13	0.36	0.28 <	<0.2	0.26	0.16	<0.1	0.10	0.20	0.33	0.18	NA	0.50
toron	mg/l	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.38	0.36	0.32	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.32	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.28	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.40	0.35	0.48	0.40	0.24	0.39	0.48	0.43	0.38	0.27 NA	AN AN	0.50	NA
Total jeldahl E itrogen	l/gm	2.00	1.90	2.00	2.20	2.20	1.8	2.0	1.80	2.20	2.20	2.00	2.00	1.80	1.60	2.20	2.44	2.90	2.20	2.40	2.30	2.30	2.20	2.50	2.20	2.80	2.40	4.00	4.80	1	2.30	2.30	3.40	< 0.50	0.77	3.20 2 BO	0.00 NA	AN AN	NA
Vitrate K (as N) N	l/gm	QN	Q	Q	9 9		0.044	DN D	2 2	QN	Q	2 2	≥0.096	<0.096	<0.018	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.4	<0.1	<0.1	<0.05	<0.05	<0.1	< 0.10	1.5	< 0.10	NA NA	0.2	0.0
Sulfate	mg/l	240	240	240	240	240	230	230	230	230	240	240 240	240	230	230	230	240	240	250	210	210	230	240	240	220	220	200	210	170	160	206	190	160	190	210	200	180	148	184
Bicarbonate as CaCO3	mg/l	270	260	270	270 260	260	260	260	280	250	250	270 270	270	270	260	266	260	270	250	280	280	270	260	260	260	265	C02	270	290	270	280	300	275	268	215	172 200	294	390	393
Magnesium	mg/l	41.0	44.0	43.0	42.0	40.0	41	44	44.0	45.0	43.0	48.0 50.0	45.0	44.0	47.0	0.2	46.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	31.0	33.0	40.0	38.0	37.0	38.0	35.0	33.0	37.0	33.0	26.0	30.0	44.0	38.9	32.4	23.0 26.6	0'06 39.7	36.0	44.0
Calcium	mg/l	100	94	96	99	noi Ba	60 96	67	97	98	98	110	95	94	66	91	110	83	86	88	73	76	91	88	83	84	83	75	95	17	86	75	68	91	85	82	90 84	86	94
Potassium	mg/l	6.3	5.9	5.7	6.1	7.F	0.0 9.0	6.3	5.9	6.1	6.7	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.0	5.9	5.3	5.5	5.8	6.3	5.0	6.4	5.8	6.2	6.7	7.4	6.2	7.2	6.1	5.3	7.6	7.6	7.8	6.9	6.2 6.7	7.2	8.7	6.6
Sodium	mg/l	120	100	100	110	01	110	110	100	100	120	120	110	100	110	96	120	88	91	66	89	87	110	94	66	110	120	95	110	95	01	95	130	121	116	113	131	130	118
Chloride	mg/l	66	66	100	100	100	001	100	100	97	97	94	97	96	96	89	97	86	100	8 8	95	100	6	105	100	110	100	130	122	130	120	120	120	110	100	99	120	127	126
TDS	mg/l	780	660	820	820	040	850	800	830	940	780	810 820	820	800	860	800	840	800	820	800	820	800	810	790	830	0/1	800	0/1	006	780	022	800	770	737	720	638 7ef	50/ 52/2	772	820
Date		10/15/2019	7/10/2019	4/10/2019	1/9/2019 10/10/2018	7/10/2018	4/11/2018	1/11/2018	10/11/2017	7/12/2017	4/12/2017	1/12/2017 10/12/2016	7/19/2016	4/12/2016	1/14/2016	10/15/2015	7/16/2015	4/14/2015	1/13/2015	7/30/2014	4/16/2014	1/16/2014	10/16/2013	7/10/2013	4/11/2013	1/15/2013	7/24/2012	4/18/2012	1/11/2012	7/25/2011	4/21/2011	1/24/2011	10/21/2010	7/27/2010	4/26/2010	10/21/2009 8/20/2000	5/11/2009	3/26/1996	6/8/1976
Well		12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02 12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02 12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02	12N/36W-36L02																					
n 7C												I	Ma	ay	13	3,	20)2(0 -	P	ag	je	15	9	of	2	01				At	ta	chi	ne	ən	t -	N	CN	MA

Water	hloride /	Ratio	333	400	400	356	477	356	287	310	376	300	342	319	356	413	295	431	NA	NA	564	630	NA	NA	518	517	580	NA	580	NA	NA	NA	NA
	omide / CI	Ratio	0030	0.0025	0.0025	0.0028	0.0021	0.0028	0.0035	0.0032	0.0027	0.0033	0.0029	0.0031	0.0028	0.0024	0.0034	0.0023	NA	NA	0.0018	0.0016	NA	NA	0.0019	0.0019	0.0017	NA	0.0017	NA	NA	NA	NA
	E C		130		350 (038 (087 (047 (.26 (210 (.600	300 (077 (072 (DN DN	039 (080 (079 (330	220	.110 (380 (350	.160	210 (470 (210 (170	490 (230	006	490	300
	becific	ductivity "	42.2	426	446 0.	440 0.	446 0.	458 0.	450 0	438 0.	445 0.	450 0.	442 0.	449 0.	433	450 0.	438 0.	430 0.	430 0.	440 0.	420 0.	420 0.	430 0.	450 0.	430 0.	450 0.	450 0.	440 0.	460 0.	470 0.	470 1.	460 0.	580 1.
	roxide SI	caCO3 Con	- CIN	P	P	DN	DN	DN	DN	DN	DN	Q	QN	DN	DN	:4.1	:4.1	:4.1	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	nate as Hyd	03 as (0	D	D	D	D	D	D	٥	٥	D	D	.1	.1	• 1.1	10	10	10	10	10	7	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	ity Carbo			z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	Z	<4	<4	<4	v	v	v	v	v	1	v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v
	Total Alkalir	as CaCO3	48	51	54	53	50	54	51	50	53	55	52	53	53	57	51	50	51	50	51	51	51	60	54	54	54	61	60	55	60	59	120
	Bromide		0.18	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.18	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.22	0.16	<0.10	<0.1	0.11	0.10	<0.1	<0.1	0.11	0.12	0.10	<0.1	0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.2
	Mancanes	mailyallea	n CIN	2	0.01	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	0.01	0.01	Q	QN	QN	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.05	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.01	<0.005	<0.005	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.06
	alodida		n CIN	Q	QN	ND	ΩN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	ΠN	ΔN	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
	Fluoride		0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.11	0.047	0.073	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.08	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.20
	Boron	,	010	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.089	0.087	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.07	<0.1	0.10	<0.1	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	<0.1	0.17
	Total Kieldahl	Nitrogen	CIN CIN	Q	0.09	0.19	0.07	DN	ND	0.11	0.11	QN	QN	ΔN	0.12	<0.08	0.09	<0.08	-1	-1	٨	ŕ	0.30	-1	<1	8.20	<۲	<1	<1	-1	٨	۲,	<1
	Nitrate	(as N)	а Ч	8.3	8.4	8.6	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.2	8.4	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.1	35.0	36.0	8.6	8.4	8.0	7.8	8.2	7.3	7.3	7.1	8.1	7.5	7.4	7.5	8.3	7.8	8.2	5.0
	Sulfate		95 25	25	28	29	28	27	30	27	28	28	28	29	25	26	26	27	28	30	30	30	26	29	29	35	38	30	35	38	36	35	58
	Bicarbonate	as CaCO3	48	51	54	53	50	54	51	50	53	55	52	53	53	57	51	50	51	50	51	51	51	60	54	54	54	61	60	55	60	59	120
	Madnesium		с Ч	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.5	7.0	6.6	7.1	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.3	5.8	6.2	5.4	5.8	5.7	6.5	5.0	6.3	6.4	5.9	6.6	6.3	7.5	6.4	12.0
	Calcium	Carcia	10	13	14	14	14	13	13	14	14	16	14	16	14	13	14	14	12	14	12	13	13	14	12	14	15	14	16	15	19	17	30
	Potassium	Discono.	л Ч	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.7	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.9	2.8	3.3	3.3	2.9
	Sodium	l loui	ĥ	64	09	70	64	60	62	67	63	11	99	72	68	65	99	68	22	67	52	56	54	58	55	57	62	45	55	57	60	61	52
	Chloride		e Ug	60	64	64	62	64	66	62	64	63	65	67	64	66	65	69	61	64	62	63	55	60	57	62	58	60	58	62	57	67	74
	ν Έ		190	320	320	290	280	300	320	320	320	370	300	300	310	300	290	290	280	280	280	290	270	280	270	300	310	290	330	290	330	330	370
	Date	2	10/14/2019	7/9/2019	4/9/2019	1/9/2019	10/9/2018	7/10/2018	4/10/2018	1/10/2018	10/11/2017	7/11/2017	4/11/2017	1/13/2017	10/13/2016	7/20/2016	4/13/2016	1/14/2016	10/14/2015	7/14/2015	4/15/2015	1/14/2015	10/16/2014	7/30/2014	4/15/2014	1/16/2014	10/16/2013	7/11/2013	4/12/2013	1/15/2013	10/30/2012	7/25/2012	4/19/2012
	IIM		12N/35W-32C03																														
en	n 7	с													Ν	Ла	ay	1:	3,	2()2	0	-	Pa	ag	e	16	60	0	f 2	20	1	



1655 Front Street, P.O. Box 599, Oceano, CA 93475

(805) 481-6730 FAX (805) 481-6836

Date	May	13	2020
Date.	iviay	тэ,	2020

- To: Board of Directors
- From: Will Clemens, General Manger
- Subject: Agenda Item #7(D): Approval of a letter of support for the Proposition 1 grant application for stormwater capture & groundwater recharge projects at Oceano Elementary School and on 19th Street between Beach and Paso Robles streets.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board approve and authorize the President to sign the attached letter of support for the Proposition 1 grant application for stormwater capture & groundwater recharge projects at Oceano Elementary School and on 19th Street between Beach and Paso Robles streets.

Discussion

Your Board has received several status updates on the District's Water Resource Reliability Program (WRRP) which has been funded from a Proposition 84 grant awarded by the Department of Water Resources (DWR). On July 10, 2019, your Board approved a motion supporting a grant application for stormwater capture & groundwater recharge projects at Oceano Elementary School and on 19th Street between Beach and Paso Robles streets. Most recently, on December 11, 2019, the Board received an update on design efforts. The current design concept is attached as the project map.

Background

The WRRP includes three components that are generally described as follows:

- 1. A "Low Impact Development" (LID) component identifying potential stormwater capture and recharge projects within Oceano.
- 2. A water system leak detection component updating the water system capital improvement program.
- 3. A groundwater recharge component evaluating options of injecting recycled water within the community of Oceano.

After completion of the LID component, the District was awarded funding under the State Water Board's (SWB)Technical Assistance (TA) program for preliminary design efforts and development of a grant application for funds from Proposition 1, a water bond approved by California voters in 2014. The TA work is directly funded by



Board of Directors Meeting

the SWB and the District does not incur costs, nor is it required to meet compliance requirements that are customary with grants.

The "Oceano Low Impact Development Plan Final Feasibility Study," dated February 13, 2019, and funded from Proposition 84 can be reviewed at: <u>Oceano LID Feasibility Study 2019</u>.

Other Agency Involvement

The State Water Board's TA program directly funds the costs of organizations and consultants for project development, which are not incurred by the District. The Council for Watershed Health and Cannon Corp is providing the TA funded through the State Water Board's TA program.

Financial Considerations

Based on Oceano's income status, the community can obtain a discounted local match of 5% on eligible project costs. The following table illustrates the estimated costs for the projects.

Project	Estimated Costs	5% Match (Approximate)
Oceano Elementary School Subsurface Infiltration Galleries	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 80,000
19 th Street Bioretention and Greenstreet Improvements	\$ 2,230,000	\$ 110,000
<u>Totals</u>	<u>\$ 3,880,000</u>	<u>\$ 190,000</u>

Annual operations and maintenance costs are estimated at less than \$5,000. All costs would be funded through the Water Fund of the District.

The February 2019 Feasibility Study was funded 100% by Proposition 84 at a cost of \$47,660.

Results

Pursuing grant revenues and project development supports a well-governed, healthy and livable community.

Attachments:

- Letter of Support
- Project Map



1655 Front Street | P.O. Box 599 | Oceano, CA 93475 PHONE (805) 481-6730 | FAX (805) 481-6836

May 13, 2020

Daman Badyal, P.E. Senior Water Resources Control Engineer Storm Water Grant Program

SUBJECT: OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT APPLICATION FOR PROPOSITION 1 STORM WATER GRANT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT

Dear Mr. Badyal,

The Oceano Community Services District (OCSD) writes this letter of support for the Oceano Stormwater Capture and Groundwater Recharge Project (Project), submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board for funding under the Proposition 1 Storm Water Grant Program as a multi-benefit stormwater management project.

The OCSD represents the Disadvantaged Community (DAC) of Oceano. This letter is to confirm DAC representation and opportunity for involvement in the development, identification and selection of the proposed Project. DAC representation has occurred throughout the process leading up to this project proposal including development of the Oceano Drainage and Flood Control Study (San Luis Obispo County, 2004); the Proposition 84 funded "Oceano Low Impact Development Plan" (Final Feasibility Study, 2019); and most recently, the Proposition 1 Stormwater Technical Assistance grant for DACs (2019). DAC involvement and input were sought as part of community surveys and through scheduled public agenda items for OCSD Board meetings. Additionally, the OCSD represented the DAC community through participation in the development of the San Luis Obispo County Stormwater Resource Plan and on-going County of San Luis Obispo Integrated Regional Water Management planning efforts.

The Project, which constructs LID Stormwater Control Measures (i.e., surface bioretention, subsurface infiltration gallery), provides multiple direct environmental, economic and social benefits to this DAC. The Project aims to lower stormwater runoff pollutant loads and volumes to Arroyo Grande Creek and the Pacific Ocean, augment groundwater supply through infiltration and decrease the severity of surface street flooding. DAC benefits include improved receiving water health that supports beneficial uses such as recreation; decreased public safety and property risk associated with flooding; urban greening; and, improved groundwater resource reliability (drinking water supply). The Project will benefit this DAC community through a reduced cost share (match) and benefits the OCSD financially to implement improvements. Additionally, the project may be



Board of Directors Meeting

used to assist development projects with meeting post-construction stormwater management requirements and increase the economic viability of infill development and redevelopment projects within this DAC.

Through public outreach and participation, this Project will also serve to educate our DAC members on the important role stormwater holds within the larger water resource management framework.

Please accept this letter as our endorsement of and commitment to participate in future outreach and planning activities with the OCSD for this Project.

Sincerely,

Linda Austin, President Oceano Community Services District Board of Directors



LEGEND



Agenda Item 7D GRANITE

PERMEABLE PAVER AREA

CONVENTIONAL LANDSCAPE AREA AND STREET TRAFASY 13, 2020 - Page 165 of 201





1655 Front Street, P.O. Box 599, Oceano, CA 93475

(805) 481-6730 FAX (805) 481-6836

Date: May 13, 2020

To: Board of Directors

From: Carey Casciola, Business and Accounting Manager

Subject: Agenda Item #8(A): Review of the District's Budget Status as of March 31, 2020

Recommendation

It is recommended that your Board review and discuss the District's budget status as of March 31, 2020 and provide direction to staff as you deem appropriate.

Discussion

The attached worksheets have been prepared to review the status of this year's budget. They have been designed to include the following:

- ✓ Current fiscal year actual revenues and expenditures as of March 31, 2020
- ✓ Current percentage of adopted budget
- ✓ Current fiscal year adopted budget
- ✓ Chronology of budget actions

In reviewing the worksheets, items of significance can be identified. The column containing the percentage of the 2019-20 budget utilized in the third quarter of fiscal year 2019-20 illustrates that revenues and costs are on track to remain within budget for each fund.

Expenditures:

There are a few expenses that are front loaded and paid at the beginning of the fiscal year. The budget worksheets indicate that the District has spent greater than 75% of the overall budget for these items. The worksheets show that the year-end cost estimates for the following expenses are within budget.

The front-loaded expenses are as follows:

- 1. Water Supply from Lopez and State Water
- 2. CalPERS Unfunded Accrued Liability (UAL) for the Miscellaneous and Safety Plan members
- 3. Local Agency Formation Commission Expense
- 4. Liability Insurance
- 5. Membership Expense (CRWA Cal Rural Water Association & CA Special District Association)
- 6. Annual Regulatory Permits (State Water Resource Control Board)



Board of Directors Meeting

- 7. Boot Allowance Expense
- 8. Annual Software Maintenance

Other expenses appear to be running high in the General and Enterprise Funds, but are expected to level out during the fiscal year, including the following:

General & Facilities Fund

1. Audit Expense – the June 30, 2019 audit was completed in January 2020.

Audit Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$19,820	\$19,820 (100%)	\$19,820	\$0

 Professional Services/Permits, Fees, Licenses - the District's ordinances and resolutions were codified by Municode. The self-publishing software was a one-time cost as staff received training to maintain and update the software as ordinances and resolutions are adopted by your Board. This effected both line items below as the District will continue to incur the annual licensing fee.

Professional Services	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
Budget:			
\$23,000	\$22,285 (97%)	\$ 28,373	\$5,373
Permits, Fees,	Expensed @ 12/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
Licenses Budget:			
\$1,030	\$2,435 (236%)	\$2,435	\$1,405

3. Job Advertising Expense – during the second quarter of the fiscal year the previous General Manager retired. The costs associated with the job brochure and postings were accrued during this time.

Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$1,803	\$2,400 (133%)	\$2,400	\$597

4. Emergency Generator Project– the Oceano Generator Replacement Project was completed after the County signed off on the final inspection.

Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$67,307	\$67,307 (100%)	\$67,307	\$0

5. Old Fire Station – the painting of the Old Fire station at 1687 Front Street was completed.

Budget:	Budget: Expensed @ 3/31:		Under:
\$13,000	\$12,800 (98%)	\$12,800	\$200



Board of Directors Meeting

Water/ Wastewater Funds

1. Water Meter Expense - during the first half of the year the utilities crew replaced old meters on multiple properties.

Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$10,815	\$11,156 (103%)	\$ 11,156	\$341

 Bad Debt Expense – on November 13, 2019 the Board approved to write-off uncollectible utility charges, late fees and unclaimed customer credits that were over 3 years old. The customer credits were required to be noticed, and the credits became property of the District on January 11, 2020. The same applies to the bad debt expense line item in the wastewater fund. Both the water and wastewater funds have savings and are anticipated to cover these costs.

Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$545	\$4,697 (862%)	\$ 4,697	\$4,152

3. Fixed Assets – Equipment – the costs related to the emergency action for Well #8 to rewind and install the original motor were completed successfully.

Budget:	Expensed @ 3/31:	Estimate - Year End:	Over:	
\$0	\$5,601	\$ 5,601	\$5,601	

4. CIP (Capital Improvement Project) – HWY One Relocation – District utility relocation costs required by the County Highway One Drainage Project were paid to the County in the prior year totaling \$169,290. Three 12" valves were required to be installed along Highway One during the construction of the project to isolate the waterline during construction so that customer service was not interrupted for an extended period of time. Staff obtained a quote from the County's contractor in the amount of \$34,248.20. Resolution 2019-02 allowed the District the opportunity to self-perform the work. The utilities crew installed three valves in the first half the year and the costs related to the parts totaled \$20,057. Savings in the Water Fund are anticipated to cover these costs.

Budget: Expensed @ 3/31:		Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$-0-	\$20,057	\$ 20,057	\$20,057



Board of Directors Meeting

Garbage Fund

 Professional Services – the final cost to the District for the September Clean Up Week where the District covered the first five (5) bulky items for Oceano residents totaled to \$5,435. Over 450 bulky items were picked up by South County Sanitary Services, Inc. The Savings in the Garbage Fund are anticipated to cover these costs.

Budget: Expensed @ 12/31:		Estimate - Year End:	Over:
\$7,000	\$7,867 (112%)	\$ 8, 678	\$1,678

Revenues:

Revenues in the Water and Wastewater Funds appear to be higher than the budgeted income for the fiscal year. This is based on the timing of the budget review since five of the six billing periods have occurred in the fiscal year. The comparisons between the targeted and actual revenues (from 2015 to January 2020) are attached. The bar graph illustrates the community's ability to conserve water post drought. At this time, the best estimate is the current budgeted revenues in the Water Fund.

Closely monitoring the budget in a conservative manner will help ensure remaining within budget. The 4th quarter results, as of June 30, 2020, will be provided to your Board after fiscal year end.

Other Agency Involvement

n/a

Other Financial Considerations

The new water shut off policy established by SB 998 went into effect April 1, 2020 and could delay cashflow. In addition, the Governor's Executive Order which prohibits water shutoffs to certain customers due to the COVID-19 pandemic could delay cashflow. Water revenues and delinquencies have not been impacted by the pandemic yet, however, staff will closely monitor these and propose appropriate measures if necessary.

Results

Establishing good budget monitoring procedures will help ensure that the District's costs are managed in a financially prudent manner and promotes a prosperous and well-governed community.

Attachments: Budget Worksheets Water Revenue Data Chronology of Budget Actions



GENERAL FUND



	A C	D J K	М	Ν	C P	Q	R	S 1
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DIS	TRICT						SSO COMMIN
2	GENERAL FUND - QUARTER 3 REVIE	N						
3	SUMMARY							HILES 1981 01511
4 5 6	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL FY 2019/2020	2019/20 APPROVED ADJUSTMENTS	2019/20 CURRENT BUDGET	ACTUAL AT 3/31/2020	75%	ESTIMATED ACTUAL	2019/20 EST. BUDGET VARIANCE
7								
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS						T	
10	Fire	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
11	Lighting	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
12	Facilities	\$159,555	\$0	\$159,555	\$115,951	73%	\$149,601	(\$9,954)
13	Admin	\$2,196,650	\$0	\$2,196,650	\$1,600,076	73%	\$2,088,815	(\$107,835)
14	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,356,205	\$0	\$2,356,205	\$1,716,027	73%	\$2,238,416	(\$117,789)
15	USES OF FUNDS							
18	Fire	\$1,184,088	\$0	\$1,184,088	\$886,019	75%	\$1,178,726	\$5,362
19	Lighting	\$51,153	\$0	\$51,153	\$26,888	53%	\$35,383	\$15,770
20	Facilities	\$42,267	\$80,307	\$122,574	\$108,483	89%	\$117,007	\$5,567
21	Admin	\$1,145,929	\$0	\$1,145,929	\$795,741	69%	\$1,014,102	\$131,827
22	Total Expenditures	\$2,423,437	\$80,307	\$2,503,744	\$1,817,130	73%	\$2,345,219	\$158,526
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)							
24	Fire	(\$1,184,088)	\$0	(\$1,184,088)	(\$886,019)		(\$1,178,726)	\$5,362
25	Lighting	(\$51,153)	\$0	(\$51,153)	(\$26,888)		(\$35,383)	\$15,770
26	Facilities	\$117,288	(\$80,307)	\$36,981	\$7,468		\$32,593	(\$4,387)
27	Admin	\$1,050,721	\$0	\$1,050,721	\$804,336		\$1,074,713	\$23,992
28	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$67,232)	(\$80,307)	(\$147,539)	(\$101,103)		(\$106,803)	\$40,736
29	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
30	Transfers In - From Water Fund	31,500		31,500			31,500	0
31	(Transfers Out) - To Wastewater	(113,940)		(113,940)			(113,940)	0
32	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	60,454		60,454			60,454	0
33	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(73,278)		(73,278)			(73,278)	0
35	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$95,264)	\$0	(\$95,264)	\$0		(\$95,264)	\$0



ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET



	A C	C J	КМ	N	ОР	Q	R	S T	
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	RICT						SO COMM	
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - OUARTER 3 REVIEW								
								A CONTRACTOR	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - GE	NERAL FUND - 01						CES 1981 DIS15	
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20	
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET	
6	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - 01	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE	
7	10 11			·				г	
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS				-				
10	Bevenues	\$1.050.721	\$0	\$1.050.721	\$804.336	77%	\$1.074.713	\$23,992	
11	Other Sources of Funds	\$1,145,929	\$0	\$1,145,929	\$795,741	69%	\$1,014,102	(\$131,827)	
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,196,650	\$0	\$2,196,650	\$1,600,076	73%	\$2,088,815	(\$107,835)	
13	USES OF FUNDS								
16	Salaries & Wages	\$460,650	\$0	\$460,650	\$333,840	72%	\$432,212	\$28,438	
17	Benefits	\$193,972	\$0	\$193,972	\$133,000	69%	\$164,526	\$29,445	
18	Personnel Services	\$654,622	\$0	\$654,622	\$466,839	71%	\$596,739	\$57,883	
19	Services & Supplies	\$299,058	\$0	\$299,058	\$215,459	72%	\$266,439	\$32,618	
20	Operating Crew Benefits Allocation	\$192,250	\$0	\$192,250	\$113,443	59%	\$150,924	\$41,326	
21	Administrative Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	
22	Total Expenditures	\$1,145,929	\$0	\$1,145,929	\$795,741	69%	\$1,014,102	\$131,827	
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$1,050,721	\$0	\$1,050,721	\$804,336		\$1,074,713	\$23,992	
24	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES								
25	Transfers In - From General Fund	151,267	0	151,267	0		151,267	0	
26	(Transfers Out)	(1,263,142)	0	(1,263,142)	(940,807)		(1,242,009)	(21,133)	
27	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	22,096	0	22,096	0		22,096	0	
28	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	0	0	0	0		0	0	
29									
30	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$1,089,779)	\$0	(\$1,089,779)	(\$940,807)		(\$1,068,646)	(\$21,133)	

ļ	С	J	K M	Ν	O P	Q	R	S T
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							COND
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							AL AL
								* The second
3	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - GENERAL FUND - 01	3%						11CES 1981 DIST8
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - 01	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7		_			_			
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
	DEVENUES							
9	REVENCES							
10	Property Taxes: Current Year - Secured	1,022,013		1,022,013	738,624	72%	1,005,216	(16,797)
11	Property Taxes: Current Year - Unsecured	22,667		22,667	36,965	163%	36,965	14,298
14	Unitary Tax	14,193		14.193	19.470	137%	19.470	5.277
15	Penalities & Interest	0		0	66		66	66
16	Deling Garbage Charges	0		0	449		449	449
17	Homeowners' Prop Tax Relief	6,273		6,273	3,126	50%	6,130	(143)
18	Will Serve Letter Fee	0		0	360		480	480
20	Firework Permit	1 540		1 540	743	0%	1 540	743
29	Interest Income	0		0	18,512	0/0	18,512	18,512
30	CO Charge: SB 2557	(15,965)		(15,965)	(15,086)	94%	(15,965)	0
34	Total Revenues	\$1.050.721	\$0	\$1,050,721	\$804.336	77%	\$1,074,713	\$23,992
		+ 2,000,7 11	ÇÇ	+ =,0000,7 21	200.,000		<u>+ _,0, ,,,10</u>	\$20,002
35	Allocated Administrative Overhead	053.670		052.670	603.302	7301	000 470	100 504
37	Allocated Administrative Overhead	953,679		953,679	682,298	72%	863,178	(90,501)
		132,230	40	±1.1.15 0.000	(205.244)	3370	±100,524	(6424-027)
40	Total Other Sources of Funds	\$1,145,929	\$0	\$1,145,929	\$795,741	69%	\$1,014,102	(\$131,827)
41	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,196,650	\$0	\$2,196,650	\$1,600,076	73%	\$2,088,815	(\$107,835)
42	USES OF FUNDS							
	SALARIES & WAGES							
44		452.200		452.200	222.264	740/	421 444	20.956
45	Salary & Wages	452,300		452,300	535,204	74%	431,444	20,850
40	Total Calarias & Manage	¢450,550	ća	¢400.050	¢222.040	776	¢422,212	¢30,430
49	lotal Salaries & Wages	\$460,650	ŞU	\$460,650	\$333,840	12%	\$432,212	\$28,438
50	BENEFITS							
51	PERS Contribution	58,000		58,000	39,371	68%	52,495	5,505
52	PERS UAL Payment	38,500		38,500	38,419	100%	38,419	81
54	SUI	2,500		2,500	1,138	46%	1,517	983
56	FICA	1,650		1.650	1.098	67%	1.465	185
58	Compensation Insurance	5,022		5,022	3,792	76%	5,056	(35)
59	Insurance	81,000		81,000	43,275	53%	57,700	23,300
60	Cell Phone Allowance	900		900	750	83%	1,000	(100)
63	Total Benefits	\$193,972	\$0	\$193,972	\$133,000	69%	\$164,526	\$29,445
64	Total Personnel Services	\$654,622	\$0	\$654,622	\$466,839	71%	\$596,739	\$57,883
65	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
66	Communications & Dispatch	8,950		8,950	7,554	84%	10,072	(1,122)
69	Insurance	24,000		24,000	24,000	100%	24,000	(0)
70	Maintenance: Equipment	3,700		3,700	434	12%	579	3,121
71	Maint: Structures/ Improvements	10,500		10,500	4,823	46%	6,431	4,069
72	Memberships Bank Fees	6,800		6,800	7,577	111%	7,577	(777)
76	Office Expense	6 950		4,030	5 171	74%	6 894	519
78	Postage	2,500		2,500	1,302	52%	1,736	764
79	Audit	19,820		19,820	19,820	100%	19,820	0
81	Professional Services	23,000		23,000	22,285	97%	28,373	(5,373)
82	Information Technology	10,000		10,000	6,073	61%	8,097	1,903
84 84	Legal Services Board Stinends	89,650		89,650	57,695	64% 41%	10.057	12,/24
85	Annual Software Maintenance	14,420		14.420	16.084	112%	16.084	(1.664)
86	Required Legal Notice	2,575		2,575	489	19%	652	1,923
87	Books/ Journals/ Subscriptions/ Software	1,545		1,545	0	0%	0	1,545
88	Rents/ Lease: Equipment	3,090		3,090	0	0%	0	3,090
89	LAFCO Annual Charge	16,995		16,995	15,220	90%	15,220	1,775
90	Fermis, Fees, Licenses	1,030		1,030	2,435	236% 0%	2,435	(1,405)
93	Private Vehicle/ Milage Expense	515		515	181	35%	241	274
94	Job Advertising Expense	1,803		1,803	2,400	133%	2,400	(597)
95	Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fee	5,665		5,665	2,614	46%	3,485	2,180
96	Board Member Travel	2,060		2,060	210	10%	280	1,780
97	Utilities Pass-thur: Delinquent Garbage/ Tax Poll	10,300		10,300	7,788	76%	10,384	(84)
70 102	rass-titur. Delliiquetit Garbage/ TâX KOll	0		0	926		926	(956)
103	Total Services & Supplies	\$299,058	\$0	\$299,058	\$215,459	72%	\$266,439	\$32,618

	A C	D J	K M	N	C P	Q	R	S
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMIN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							State State
								* COST
3	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - GENERAL FUND - 01	3%						CE 1981 DIS15
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT - 01	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7			•		-		•	
104	Operating Crew Benefits & Direct Labor Cost Allocations							
105	Leave time	43,000		43,000	27,710	64%	36,947	6,053
106	Salaries & Wages (Admin)	6,400		6,400	0	0%	0	6,400
107	PERS Contribution	31,500		31,500	21,589	69%	28,785	2,715
108	Medicare	4,700		4,700	2,714	58%	3,618	1,082
109	P/R Fed & State Taxes	4,150		4,150	485	12%	647	3,503
110	SUI	1,750		1,750	0	0%	0	1,750
111	Insurance	/4,500		/4,500	44,658	60%	59,544	14,956
112	Boot Allowance	1,000		1,000	1,000	100%	1,000	0
113	Standhy	18 250		18 250	4,387	60%	14 267	2 083
110	Standby	10,250		10,230	10,700	3370	14,207	3,503
117	Total Operating Crew Benefits	\$192,250	\$0	\$192,250	\$113,443	59%	\$150,924	\$41,326
118								
	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION							
119								
120								
122	Total Administrative Cost Allocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
123	Total Expenditures	\$1,145,929	\$0	\$1,145,929	\$795,741	69%	\$1,014,102	\$131,827
124							-	
125	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$1,050,721	\$0	\$1,050,721	\$804,336		\$1,074,713	\$23,992
126	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
127	Transfers In - Facilities Fund	151,267		151,267	0		151,267	0
128	(Transfers Out) - Fire and Lighting	(1,263,142)		(1,263,142)	(940,807)		(1,242,009)	(21,133)
129	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	22,096		22,096	0		22,096	0
130	Encumbrances - (Designated LHMP Funds)	0		0	0		0	0
132	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$1,089,779)	\$0	(\$1,089,779)	(\$940,807)		(\$1.068.646)	(\$21,133)



FIRE BUDGET



	A C	D J		к м		Ν	C P	Q	R	S T
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT									SO COMMIN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW									
										ALL AND A
3	FIRE DEPARTIVIENT - GENERAL FOND - 01									25 1981 0152
4				2019/20		<u>2018/19</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINA	۱L	APPROVED	כ ו	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	FIRE DEPARTMENT - 01	FY 2019	/2020	ADJUSTMEN	TS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7										
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS									
÷		1		-	_			-		
10	Revenues		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
11	Other Sources of Funds		Ş0		Ş0	Ş0	\$0	0%	Ş0	\$0
12	Total Sources of Funds		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
13	USES OF FUNDS									
16	Salaries & Wages		\$1,000		\$0	\$1,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,000
17	Benefits		\$8,040		\$0	\$8,040	\$7,646	95%	\$7,834	\$206
18	Personnel Services		\$9,040		\$0	\$9,040	\$7,646	95%	\$7,834	\$1,206
19	Services & Supplies	\$1,1	46,438		\$0	\$1,146,438	\$857,904	75%	\$1,144,997	\$1,441
20	Capital Overlay		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
21	Administrative Cost Allocation	\$	28,610		\$0	\$28,610	\$20,469	72%	\$25,895	\$2,715
22	Total Expenditures	\$1,18	4,088		\$0	\$1,184,088	\$886,019	75%	\$1,178,726	\$5,362
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$1,18	4,088)		\$0	(\$1,184,088)	(\$886,019)		(\$1,178,726)	\$5,362
38										

	A C E	J J	м	Ν	C P	Q	R	S 1
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMIN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							
								The second
3	FIRE DEPARTMENT - GENERAL FUND - 01	3%						(25 1981 DIS14
4		l i	2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	APPROVED	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	FIRE DEPARTMENT - 01	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7								
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
- T								
9	REVENUES							
10								
11				0				0
13	Total Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
14	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
15		0		0	0		0	0
17	Total Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
18	Total Sources of Funds	Śŋ	\$0.	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
10		<i></i>	ψŪ	ΨŪ	<u> </u>		Ç.	Ç0
19	USES OF FUNDS		1			1	r	
21	SALARIES & WAGES							
22	Salary & Wages	1,000		1,000	0	0%	0	1,000
23	Overtime	0		0	0		0	0
25	Total Salaries & Wages	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,000
26	BENEFITS							
27	PERS Contribution	0		0	0		0	0
28	PERS UAL Payment	7,079		7,079	7,079	100%	7,079	0
29	Medicare	0		0	0		0	0
30	FICA	0		0	0		0	0
31	Insurance	0		0	0	F.0%/	0	0
32		901	<u> </u>	901	307	39%	/33	200
34	Total Percennel Services	\$8,040	\$U \$0	\$8,040	\$7,646	95%	\$7,834	\$206
55	Total Personnel Services	\$3,040	ŞU	\$5,040	\$7,040	63%	\$7,634	\$1,200
36	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
37	JPA - Quarterly Payments	1,138,148		1,138,148	853,611	75%	1,138,148	0
39	Communication	0		0	432		576	(576)
40	Community Outreach	2,500		2,500	1,031	41%	2,500	(43)
50	Utilities	5,275		5,275	2,798	53%	3,731	1,544
51	Sandbags	515		515	0	0%	0	515
55	Total Services & Supplies	\$1,146,438	\$0	\$1,146,438	\$857,904	75%	\$1.144.997	\$1.441
55		<i>\</i>	ţ.	<i>\</i>	ÇCC7,501		<i>\</i>	<i>\</i>
56								
57								
59	Total Capital Overlay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
60	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION							
61	Allocated Overhead	28,610		28,610	20,469	72%	25,895	2,715
63	Total Administrative Cost Allocation	\$28,610	\$0	\$28,610	\$20,469	72%	\$25,895	\$2,715
64	Total Expenditures	\$1,184,088	\$0	\$1,184,088	\$886,019	75%	\$1,178,726	\$5,362
65								
66	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$1,184,088)	\$0	(\$1,184,088)	(\$886,019)		(\$1,178,726)	\$5,362
	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
67	Transfers In - Property Taxes & Eas Fund	1 211 000		1 211 000	012 010		1 206 620	(5.262)
69	(Transfers Out)	1,211,989		1,211,989	910,919		1,200,626	(3,303)
70	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	0		0			0	0
71	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(27,900)		(27,900)	(27,900)		(27,900)	0
73	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	\$1,184,089	\$0	\$1,184,089	\$886,019		\$1,178,726	(\$5,363)



LIGHTING BUDGET



	A C	D J	К	М	N	C P	Q	R	S T	
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT								SO COMMA	
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW									
	LIGHTING - GENERAL FUND - 01								AT COL	
3									3 1981 DL	
4				<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>	
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL		APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET	
6	LIGHTING - 01	FY 2019/202	0	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE	
7										
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS									
5		-		40	40	40	-	40	40	
10	Revenues		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0 \$0	
11	Other Sources of Funds		ŞO	ŞO	ŞÜ	\$0	0%	ŞO	ŞO	
12	Total Sources of Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	
13	USES OF FUNDS									
16	Salaries & Wages	\$1,0	00	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,000	
17	Benefits	\$9	61	\$0	\$961	\$567	59%	\$755	\$206	
18	Personnel Services	\$1,9	61	\$0	\$1,961	\$567	59%	\$755	\$1,206	
19	Services & Supplies	\$39,6	55	\$0	\$39,655	\$19,498	49%	\$25,997	\$13,658	
20	Capital Outlay		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0	
21	Administrative Cost	\$9,5	37	\$0	\$9,537	\$6,823	72%	\$8,632	\$905	
22	Total Expenditures	\$51,1	53	\$0	\$51,153	\$26,888	53%	\$35,383	\$15,770	
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$51,1	53)	\$0	(\$51,153)	(\$26,888))	(\$35,383)	\$15,770	
38										
	A C	Ы		Ы	м	N	O P	0	R	s T
--------	--	------	--------------	--------------	-------------	------------	------------	----------	------------	----------------
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	- 14	,				9	<u> </u>	ix is	CONTRA
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW									SC 1
	UCUTING CENERAL FUND FUND 01									
3	LIGHTING - GENERAL FOND - FOND OF		3%							28 1981 012
4					2019/20	2019/20				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)		FINAL				ACTUAL AT	75%	ÉSTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
0 7			FT 2019/2020		ADJOSTWENTS	BODGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS									
Ŭ	PEVENILIES			Т						
9	REVENUES					 		┝──		
10		╧		╡						
12	Total Revenues	-	Ş	0	\$0	\$0	Ş0		\$0	\$0
13	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS									
17				_ }					0	
21	Total Other Sources of Funds		\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
22	Total Sources of Funds		\$	0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
23	USES OF FUNDS									
25	SALARIES & WAGES				_			Γ		
26	Salaries & Wages		1,00	10		1,000	0	0%	0	1,000
27	Overtime Wages			0		0	0		0	0
29	Total Salaries & Wages		\$1,00	0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	0%	\$0	\$1,000
20	BENEFITS				_		Γ	Γ		
31	Medicare			0		0	0		0	0
32	PERs Contributions			0		0	0		0	0
33	Insurance			0		0	0	<u> </u>	0	0
46	Operating Crew Benefits Allocation	┛╞	96	1	0	961	567	59%	755	206
48	Total Benefits		\$96	1	\$0	\$961	\$567	59%	\$755	\$206
49	Total Personnel Services		\$1,96	<u>+</u> -	ŞU	\$1,961	\$567	29%	\$755	\$1,206
50	SERVICES & SUPPLIES									
51	Operating Supplies		1,54	.5		1,545	0	0%	0	1,545
53	Steet Lighting	┛┠	38,11	<u> </u>		38,110	19,498	51%	25,997	12,113
110	Total Services & Supplies	4	\$39,65	5	\$0	\$39,655	\$19,498	49%	\$25,997	\$13,658
111	CAPITAL OUTLAY			┦┠				<u> </u>		
112		┛╞		非	<u> </u>	40	<u> </u>		40	<u> </u>
114	Total Capital Outlay	+	Ş	₽₩	ŞU	Şu	ŞU		ŞU	ŞU
115	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION			4						
116	Administrative Cost Allocation		9,53	7		9,537	6,823	72%	8,632	905
118	Total Administrative Cost Allocation		\$9,53	7	\$0	\$9,537	\$6,823	72%	\$8,632	\$905
119	Total Expenditures		\$51,15	3	\$0	\$51,153	\$26,888	53%	\$35,383	\$15,770
120										
121	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(\$51,15	3)	\$0	(\$51,153)	(\$26,888)		(\$35,383)	\$15,770
122	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES									
123	Transfers In - Property Taxes		51,15	3	0	51,153	26,888		35,383	(15,770)
124	(Transfers Out)			0		0	0		0	0
125	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding			0		0	0		0	0
126	Encumbrances - (Designated)			0		0	0		0	0
128	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	- H.	\$51.15	3	\$0	\$51,153	\$26,888		\$35,383	(\$15,770)



FACILITIES FUND



	A C [K M	N	О Р	Q	R	S T
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMMI
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW	v						
3	FACILITIES - FUND 10							25/9810151
4			2019/20	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	FACILITIES - 10	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7								
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
10	Revenues	\$159.555	\$0	\$159.555	\$115.951	73%	\$149.601	(\$9.954)
11	Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$159,555	\$0	\$159,555	\$115,951	73%	\$149,601	(\$9,954)
13	USES OF FUNDS							
16	Salaries & Wages	\$2.000	\$0	\$2.000	\$2.624	131%	\$3,499	(\$1,499)
17	Benefits	\$1,923	\$0	\$1,923	\$1,134	59%	\$1,509	\$414
18	Personnel Services	\$3,923	\$0	\$3,923	\$3,759	96%	\$5,008	(\$1,085)
19	Services & Supplies	\$19,270	\$0	\$19,270	\$10,971	57%	\$14,628	\$4,642
20	Capital Outlay	\$0	\$80,307	\$80,307	\$80,107	100%	\$80,107	\$200
21	Administrative Cost	\$19,074	\$0	\$19,074	\$13,646	72%	\$17,264	\$1,810
22	Total Expenditures	\$42,267	\$80,307	\$122,574	\$108,483	89%	\$117,007	\$5,567
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$117,288	(\$80,307)	\$36,981	\$7,468		\$32,593	(\$4,387)
24	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
25	Transfers In - From General Fund	31,500	0	31,500	0		31,500	0
26	(Transfers Out)	(265,205)	0	(265,205)	0		(265,205)	0
27	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	38,358	0	38,358	38,358		38,358	0
28	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(45,378)	0	(45,378)	(45,378)		(45,378)	0
30	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$240,725)	\$0	(\$240,725)	(\$7,020)		(\$240,725)	\$0

ļ	С	D J	КМ	N	C P	Q	R	S
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMM
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							
								i Cont
3	FACILITIES - FUND 10	3%						ES 1981 DISTS
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
5	GENERAL FUND (GF)	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	FACILITIES - 10	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7				I				
。	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
0						1	1	
9	REVENUES							
10	Utility Reimbursement	3,915		3,915	1,344	34%	1,792	(2,123)
11	Old Fire Station Rent	11,700		11,700	8,775	75%	11,700	0
12	Fire Rent	15,000		15,000	15,000	100%	15,000	0
14	Lease - Sheriff Facility Public Facilities Foor	113,940		113,940	5 277	75%	7 160	(7 821)
20	rubic raciities rees	13,000		15,000		30/8	7,105	(7,851)
29	Total Revenues	\$159,555	\$0	\$159,555	\$115,951	73%	\$149,601	(\$9,954)
30	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
39	Total Other Sources of Funds	\$0	ŚO	Ś٥	\$0		\$0	\$0
40	Total Sources of Funds	¢150.555	¢0	\$150 555	¢115.051	72%	\$140.601	
40	Total Sources of Fullus	\$159,555	ŞU	\$129,555	\$112,951	73%	\$149,601	(\$9,954)
41	USES OF FUNDS					-	-	
12	SALARIES & WAGES							
43	Salary & Wages	2.000		2.000	2.511	126%	3.348	(1.348)
45	Overtime	0		0	114		152	(152)
49	Total Salaries & Wages	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,000	\$2.624	131%	\$3.499	(\$1.499)
45		\$2,000	Ç.	<i>\$2,000</i>	<i>\$2,024</i>	131/0	Ç 3 ,433	(72,455)
50	BENEFITS							
51	PERS - Retirement	0		0			0	0
52	Medicare	0		0			0	0
53	FICA	0		0			0	0
55	Boot Allowance	0		0			0	0
58	Operating Crew Overhead	1.923		1,923	1.134	59%	1,509	414
60	Total Benefits	\$1 973	Śŋ	\$1 973	\$1.134	E0%	\$1 509	\$414
61	Total Personnel Services	\$3,923	\$0	\$3,923	\$3,759	96%	\$1,505	(\$1.085)
<u>.</u>		<i>40,020</i>	<i>\$</i> 0	<i>\$0,010</i>	<i><i><i>ϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕϕ</i></i></i>	50/0	<i>\$5,000</i>	(+2,000)
62	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
63	Communication	0		0	20		27	(27)
66	Maint: Structure/ Improvements	15,450		15,450	9,980	65%	13,307	2,143
72	So: Maint: Structures/ Improvements	2,060		2,060	775	U%	1 022	2,060
75	Utilities	260		260	196	75%	261	(1)
15	Total Canada - O. C H	410.000	-	440.000	100		201	(1)
80	Total Services & Supplies	\$19,270	\$0	\$19,270	\$10,971	57%	\$14,628	\$4,642
81	CAPITAL OUTLAY							
85	Budget Adjustment - July 24, 2019 - Emergency Generator Project	0	5,178	5,178	5,178	100%	5,178	0
86	Budget Adjustment - September 25, 2019 - Emergency Generator Project	0	32,500	32,500	32,500	100%	32,500	0
87	Budget Adjustment - October 23, 2019 - Emergency Generator Project	0	2,622	2,622	2,622	100%	2,622	0
89	Budget Adjustment - January 22, 2020 - Emergency Generator Project	0	18,452	18,452	18,452	100%	18,452	0
90	Budget Adjustment - December 11, 2019 - Old Fire Station Paint	0	13,000	13,000	12,800	98%	12,800	200
31	Total Carital Outland	40	400.207	600.307	£00.407	40000	400.407	6200
92	iotal capital Outlay	\$0	\$80,307	\$80,307	\$80,107	100%	\$80,107	\$200
93	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION							
94	Admin Allocation	19,074		19,074	13,646	72%	17,264	1,810
96	Total Administrative Cost Allocation	\$19,074	\$0	\$19,074	\$13,646	72%	\$17,264	\$1,810
07	Total Expenditures	\$42.267	\$80 307	\$122 574	\$108 483	89%	\$117.007	\$5 567
7/ 98	i otai Experiultures	<u> </u>	<i>200,301</i>	<i><i>v</i></i>	÷100,+33		<i>Q117,007</i>	<i>43,307</i>
50		6447.000	(600.00-)	tac on t	47.460		taa	144.00-1
99	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$117,288	(\$80,307)	\$36,981	\$7,468		\$32,593	(\$4,387)
100	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
101	Transfers In - From Water Fund	31.500		31,500	0		31.500	0
102	(Transfers Out) - To General & Sewer	(265,205)		(265,205)	0		(265,205)	0
103	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	38,358		38,358	38,358		38,358	0
104	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(45,378)		(45,378)	(45,378)		(45,378)	0
106	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$240,725)	\$0	(\$240,725)	(\$7,020)		(\$240,725)	\$0

	A C	d J	K M	N	Р	0	R	s w
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT					<u> </u>	IX.	COW
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - OLIARTER 3 REVU	- W						State State
3	ENTERPRISE FUNDS							17 CES 1981 DISTR
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
4	ENTERPRISE FUNDS	FINAL			ΑΓΤΙΙΑΙ ΑΤ		ESTIMATED	EST BUDGET
6		FV 2019/2020		BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ΔΟΤΙΙΔΙ	VARIANCE
7	·				, 0,02,2020	5676		
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS				-	1		
10	Water	\$2,407,219	\$0	\$2,407,219	\$1,984,826	82%	\$2,429,238	\$22,019
11	Wastewater	\$403,800	\$0	\$403,800	\$332,172	82%	\$400,145	(\$3,655)
12	Garbage	\$109,836	\$0	\$109,836	\$82,063	75%	\$100,672	(\$9,164)
13	Equipment	\$29,090	\$0	\$29,090	\$19,772	68%	\$23,257	(\$5,833)
14	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,949,945	\$0	\$2,949,945	\$2,418,834	82%	\$2,953,312	\$3,367
15	USES OF FUNDS							
18	Water	\$2,868,220	\$230,000	\$3.098.220	\$2 377 277	77%	\$2 803 523	\$294,696
19	Water	\$476 516	\$230,000	\$476 516	\$2,377,277	61%	\$374 719	\$101 797
20	Garbage	\$102,565	\$0	\$102,565	\$64,233	63%	\$85.571	\$16,994
21	Equipment	\$25.067	\$0	\$25.067	\$15.748	63%	\$19.234	\$5.833
22	Total Expenditures	\$3,472,368	\$230,000	\$3,702,368	\$2,749,453	79%	\$3,283,047	\$419,321
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)			l				len en e
24	Water	(\$461.001)	(\$230.000)	(\$691.001)	(\$392,451)		(\$374,285)	\$316,715
25	Wastewater	(\$72,716)	\$0	(\$72,716)	\$39.978		\$25,426	\$98,142
26	Garbage	\$7,271	\$0	\$7,271	\$17,830		\$15,101	\$7,830
27	Equipment	\$4,023	\$0	\$4,023	\$4,024		\$4,023	(\$0)
28	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$522,423)	(\$230,000)	(\$752,423)	(\$330,619)		(\$329,736)	\$422,687
29	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
30	Transfers In - From General Fund	121,440	0	121.440	0		121,440	0
31	(Transfers Out)	(67,999)	0	(67,999)	(19,772)		(62,257)	5,742
32	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	147,775	0	147,775	84,054		147,775	0
33	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(130,435)	0	(130,435)	(52,631)		(130,435)	0
35	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	\$70,781	\$0	\$70,781	\$11,651		\$76,523	\$5,742
64								



WATER FUND





	C E	I I	M	Ν	С Р	Q	R	S V
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMMIN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							
	WATER FUND 02							HE OF
3	WATER FUND - 02							V 1981 015
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	WATER FUND	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	WATER DEPARTMENT - 02	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7								
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
10	Revenues	\$2,407,219	\$0	\$2,407,219	\$1,982,951	82%	\$2,427,363	\$20,144
11	Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,875	0%	\$1,875	\$1,875
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,407,219	\$0	\$2,407,219	\$1,984,826	82%	\$2,429,238	\$22,019
13	USES OF FUNDS							
16	Salarias & Wages	¢184.000	¢0	¢184.000	¢122.202	670/	¢164 E11	¢10.490
17	Benefits	\$129 585	\$0	\$129 585	\$77 546	60%	\$103,181	\$26,404
18	Personnel Services	\$313,585	\$0	\$313,585	\$200,929	64%	\$267,692	\$45.893
19	Services & Supplies	\$1,982,428	\$35,000	\$2,017,428	\$1,744,358	86%	\$1,802,868	\$214,560
20	Capital Outlay	\$0	\$195,000	\$195,000	\$22,612	12%	\$215,057	(\$20,057)
21	Administrative Cost	\$572,207	\$0	\$572,207	\$409,379	72%	\$517,907	\$54,300
22	Total Expenditures	\$2,868,220	\$230,000	\$3,098,220	\$2,377,277	77%	\$2,803,523	\$294,696
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$461,001)	(\$230,000)	(\$691,001)	(\$392,451)		(\$374,285)	\$316,715
24	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
25	Transfers In - From General Fund	0	0	0	0		0	0
26	(Transfers Out)	(55,454)	0	(55,454)	(11,245)		(52,228)	3,226
27	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	147,775	0	147,775	84,054		147,775	0
28	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	(130,435)	0	(130,435)	(52,631)		(130,435)	0
30	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$38,114)	\$0	(\$38,114)	\$20,178		(\$34,888)	\$3,226

	С	L J	к м	N	Р	0	R	s v
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT				•			COMIN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							Star 21
Ľ-								*****
2	WATER FUND - 02	20/						1CES /981 DIST8
3		370	2010/20	2010/20				2010/20
4		FINIAL	2019/20	2019/20				<u>2019/20</u>
5		FINAL	APPROVED	PUDGET	2/21/2020	759/		UARIANCE
7	WATER DEPARTMENT - 02	FT 2015/ 2020	ADJUSTIVIENTS	BODGET	5/51/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS					-		
	REVENUES							
9	Solor: Peridential	1 799 000		1 700 000	1 /09 702	02%	1 799 000	0
12	Sales: Lopez	493.000		493.000	413.800	84%	493.000	0
14	Front Footage Fees	16,320		16,320	0	0%	16,320	0
15	Sales: U/B Courtesy Notice	4,500		4,500	3,608	80%	4,329	(171)
16	Delinquent U/B Acct Fees	27,000		27,000	23,275	86%	27,930	930
17	Capacity Charages	25,164		25,164	0	0%	25,164	0
18	Meter Fees	5,400		5,400	618	11%	5,400	0
20	Lopez Connection Fees	4,000		4,000	2 700	0%	4,000	640
20	Wheeling/Fire Protection	2,600		2,000	17 937	72%	21 525	(3.475)
22	Sales: Miscellaneous	0		0	686	12/0	686	686
28	Claims & Settlements	0		0	21,534		21,534	21,534
30	Total Revenues	\$2,407,210	Śņ	\$2 407 210	\$1 982 951	82%	\$2 427 262	\$20.144
- 30		<i>72,407,219</i>	γŪ	¥2,407,219	1,302,331	0270		<i>⊋</i> ∠0,144
31	UTIEK SUUKLES UF FUNDS							
39	Uther Income - Airpark Bridge Drive Refund from County	0	Å-	0	1,875		1,875	1,875
41	Total Other Sources of Funds	Ş0	\$0	Ş0	\$1,875		\$1,875	\$1,875
42	Total Sources of Funds	\$2,407,219	\$0	\$2,407,219	\$1,984,826	82%	\$2,429,238	\$22,019
43	USES OF FUNDS							
H	SALADIES & WAGES							
45	JALANIEJ & WAGEJ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
46	Salaries and Wages	130,000		130,000	114,275	88%	152,367	(22,367)
4/	Classic EE Contr by ER PERS	41.000		41.000	0 108	229/	12 144	29.956
40	Lead Operator Contingency (Budget Workshop)	13,000		41,000	9,108	0%	12,144	13,000
		13,000	ćo.	13,000	6422.202	076	¢464 544	13,000
51	l otal Salaries & Wages	\$184,000	ŞU	\$184,000	\$123,383	6/%	\$164,511	\$19,489
52	BENEFITS							
53	PERs Contribution	0		0	0		0	0
54	Pension Expense	0		0	0		0	0
55	Medicare	0		0	0		0	0
56	Workers Compensation Insurance	6,545		6,545	4,943	76%	6,590	(45)
57	Boot Allowance	-		0	0		0	0
58	Insurance	122.040		122.040	72.602	F0%/	06 501	26.440
39	Operating crew Benefits Anocation	125,040	40	123,040	72,003	59%	90,391	20,449
61	Total Benefits	\$129,585	\$0 \$0	\$129,585	\$77,546	60%	\$103,181	\$26,404
02	Total Personnel Services	\$313,385		\$515,565	\$200,929	64%	\$207,092	\$45,695
63	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
65	Communications	3,500		3,500	2,046	58%	2,729	771
67	Maint: Structures/ Improvments	8,900		8,900	6,280	71%	8,373	527
68	Paving	6,250		6,250	950	15%	1,267	4,983
69 70	Maintenance - Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles	5,665		5,665	2,569	45%	3,425	(107)
72	Maintenance: venices Maint: Shared Structures/ Improvements	10.815		10.815	2,534	23%	3.379	7.436
73	System Parts/ Operating Supplies	17,510		17,510	5,121	29%	6,828	10,682
74	Water Meters	10,815		10,815	11,156	103%	11,156	(341)
75	Saftey Expense	570		570	0	0%	0	570
76	Chemicals	3,800		3,800	676	18%	901	2,899
01	Membership Office Europee	2,700		2,700	1,886	70%	2,515	185
82	Outside UB Mail Service	620 9.000		9,000	39	۵% 55%	52	2 354
85	Professional Services	18.025		18.025	9.325	52%	12.434	5.591
86	Infromation Technology	575		575	507	88%	676	(101)
87	Contracted Engineering	5,665		5,665	18	0%	24	5,641
90	Engineering & Other Reimbursements	10,815		10,815	3,982	37%	5,309	5,506
91	Legal Notices	1,145		1,145	0	0%	0	1,145
92	Bad Debt Expense	545		545	4,697	862%	4,697	(4,152)
94 06	Remis/ Leases - Equipment Permits Fees Licenses	2,115		2,115	6.015	U%	0	2,115
97	Small Tools and Instruments	2,575		2,575	1.797	55% 70%	9,221	3,804
98	Classes/ Seminars	10,279		10,279	2,142	21%	2,856	7,422
99	Water Supply - Lopez	505,069		505,069	462,693	92%	462,693	42,376
100	Water Supply - State Water	1,150,000		1,150,000	1,101,264	96%	1,101,264	48,736
101	Utilities	60,000		60,000	7,881	13%	10,508	49,492
102	Pass-Thru: Crest/Christie/AG	26,265		26,265	14,799	56%	19,732	6,533
103	Fixed Assets - Equipment	0	35.000	0	5,601	499/	5,601	(5,601)
104	Litigation: SMGB	50,000	35,000	50,000	10,/50	46% 37%	35,000	25.097
107	NCMA Tec	38.625		38.625	27.585	71%	36.780	1.845
113	Claim & Settelments	7,500		7,500	21,396	285%	21,396	(13,896)
120	Total Services & Supplies	\$1,982,428	\$35,000	\$2,017,428	\$1 744 358	86%	\$1,802,868	\$214.560
		÷1,302,420	\$35,000	<i>42,017,420</i>	41,144,538	3070	¥1,002,008	7214,500
122								
129	UP - HWY UNE Relocation	0	105.000	105.000	20,057	19/	20,057	(20,057)
100	Budget Aujustment - Dec 11, 2019 - Norswing/ Persning Waterline	0	192,000	192,000	2,555	1%	192,000	0
135	Total Capital Outlay	\$0	\$195,000	\$195,000	\$22,612	12%	\$215,057	(\$20,057)

	A C	D J	K M	N	D P	Q	R	S W
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							
3	WATER FUND - 02	3%						THE STANDARD
4 5 6 7	WATER FUND WATER DEPARTMENT - 02	FINAL FY 2019/2020	2019/20 APPROVED ADJUSTMENTS	2019/20 CURRENT BUDGET	ACTUAL AT 3/31/2020	75%	ESTIMATED ACTUAL	2019/20 EST. BUDGET VARIANCE
136	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION							
137	Administrative Cost Allocation	572,207		572,207	409,379	72%	517,907	54,300
139	Total Administrative Cost Allocation	\$572,207	\$0	\$572,207	\$409,379	72%	\$517,907	\$54,300
140	Total Expenditures	\$2,868,220	\$230,000	\$3,098,220	\$2,377,277	77%	\$2,803,523	\$294,696
141								
142	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$461,001)	(\$230,000)	(\$691,001)	(\$392,451)		(\$374,285)	\$316,715
143	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
144	Transfers In	0		0	0			0
145	(Transfers Out) - To General , Garbage, Eqiupment	(55,454)		(55,454)	(11,245)		(52,228)	3,226
146 147	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	147,775 (130,435)	0	147,775 (130,435)	84,054 (52,631)		147,775 <mark>(130,435)</mark>	0
149	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	(\$38,114)	\$0	(\$38,114)	\$20,178		(\$34,888)	\$3,226



WASTER WATER FUND





	A C	D J	k	М	N	О Р	Q	R	S W
1 2	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW								
3 4 5 6	WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT - POND - US WASTEWATER FUND WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT - 03	FINAL FY 2019/2	020	2019/20 APPROVED ADJUSTMENTS	2019/20 CURRENT BUDGET	ACTUAL AT 3/31/2020	50%	ESTIMATED ACTUAL	2019/20 EST. BUDGET VARIANCE
7	SOURCES OF FUNDS	_						I	
10	Revenues	\$403	,800	\$0	\$403,800	\$329,360	82%	\$397,333	(\$6,467)
11	Other Sources of Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,812	0%	\$2,812	\$2,812
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$403	,800	\$0	\$403,800	\$332,172	82%	\$400,145	(\$3,655)
13	USES OF FUNDS						T		
16	Salaries & Wages	\$72	2,500	\$0	\$72,500	\$40,975	57%	\$54,634	\$17,866
17	Benefits	\$49	9,792	\$0	\$49,792	\$29,984	60%	\$39,899	\$9,893
18	Personnel Services	\$122	,292	\$0	\$122,292	\$70,959	58%	\$94,532	\$27,760
19	Services & Supplies	\$68	3,120	\$0	\$68,120	\$16,221	24%	\$20,908	\$47,212
20	Capital Outlay	1 40.0	Ş0	\$0	\$0	\$325	0%	\$325	(\$325)
21	Administrative Cost	\$286	,104	ŞU	\$286,104	\$204,689	72%	\$258,953	\$27,151
22	Total Expenditures	\$476	,516	Ş0	\$476,516	\$292,194	61%	\$374,719	\$101,797
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	(\$72)	,716)	\$0	(\$72,716)	\$39,978		\$25,426	\$98,142
24	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES		_						
25	Transfers In - From General Fund	11	3,940	0	113,940	0		113,940	0
26	(Transfers Out)	(1	1,545)	0	(11,545)	(7,847)		(9,230)	2,315
27 28	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)		0 0	0	0 0	0		0 0	0 0
30	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	\$102	,395	\$0	\$102,395	(\$7,847)		\$104,710	\$2,315

,	С	D J I	K M	N C	Р	Q	R	S V
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	Г						SO COMM
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIE	W						Starte Starte
3	WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT - FUND 03	3%						(281981 DIST
4			2019/20	2019/20				2019/20
5	WASTEWATER FUND	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT - 03	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7								
	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
8					1		1	
9	REVENUES							
10	Sales - Sewer	400,000		400,000	325,040	81%	390,049	(9,951)
12	Sewer Connections	2,000		2,000	0	0%	2,000	0
14	Inspection Fees	100		100	0	0%	100	0
15	FOG Program	1,700		1,700	4,320	254%	5,184	3,484
33	Total Revenues	\$403,800	\$0	\$403,800	\$329,360	82%	\$397,333	(\$6,467)
24	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
40	Other Income - Airpark Bridge Drive Refund from County	0		0	2 812		2 812	2 812
-72		÷	4.		2,012		2,012	2,012
43	Total Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,812		\$2,812	\$2,812
44	Total Sources of Funds	\$403,800	\$0	\$403,800	\$332,172	82%	\$400,145	(\$3,655)
45	USES OF FUNDS							
H	SALADIES & WACES	<u>г</u>						
47	JALARIEJ & WAGES							
48	Salaries and Wages	50,000		50,000	38,152	76%	50,869	(869)
50	Overume	17,500		17,500	2,823	16%	3,764	13,736
-		5,000		5,000	0	υ%	0	5,000
53	Total Salaries & Wages	\$72,500	\$0	\$72,500	\$40,975	57%	\$54,634	\$17,866
54	BENEFITS							
55	CalPERs	0		0	0		0	0
56	Pension Expense (GASB 68)	0		0	0		0	0
57	Medicare	0		0	0		0	0
58	Workers Compensation Insurance	3,652		3,652	2,758	76%	3,677	(25)
59	Boot Allowance	0		0	0		0	0
60	Insurance	0		0	0		0	0
61	Operating Crew Benefits Allocation	46,140		46,140	27,226	59%	36,222	9,918
63	Total Benefits	\$49,792	\$0	\$49,792	\$29,984	60%	\$39,899	\$9,893
64	Total Personnel Services	\$122,292	\$0	\$122,292	\$70,959	58%	\$94,532	\$27,760
65	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
65 67	SERVICES & SUPPLIES	515		515	260	51%	347	168
65 67 69	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements	515 17,000		515 17,000	260 569	51% 3%	347 759	<u>168</u> 16,241
65 67 69 70	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment	515 17,000 3,348		515 17,000 3,348	260 569 878	51% 3% 26%	347 759 1,171	168 16,241 2,176
65 67 69 70 71	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles	515 17,000 3,348 3,500		515 17,000 3,348 3,500	260 569 878 80	51% 3% 26% 2%	347 759 1,171 107	168 16,241 2,176 3,393
65 67 69 70 71 72	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500	260 569 878 80 0	51% 3% 26% 2% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500
65 67 69 70 71 72 74	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240	260 569 878 80 0 3,739	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,880		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 23	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 0 441	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 515 9,000	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 31 4,970	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,374 2,575
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 0 18	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 23	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,375 2,575 2,809
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Office Expense Office Expense Office Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 0 18 8 0 0	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 23 0	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 0 18 8 0 0 3,249	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 0% 81%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 23 0 0 4,020	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 0
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailling Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,575 2,575 2,575 4,020 2,800		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 4,970 0 118 4,970 0 3,249 181	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 0% 81% 6%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 4498 0 441 41 6,626 0 233 0 4,020 241	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,800 2,600		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,800	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 0% 81% 6% 27%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 441 6,626 0 23 0 0 4,020 241 923	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 94 94	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 88 88 90 91 94 95 95 65	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,850 2,850 2,853 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,800 3,5000 3,500 3,5000 3,500 3		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 6% 25% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 6,626 0 23 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 1,223	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,800 2,800 350 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,850 0 0 515 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3550 1,900	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 0 188 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 0 4,020 23 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 0 \$20,908	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 88 88 90 91 94 95 95 97 98	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,850 2,850 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,600 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 331 4,970 0 0 18 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221	51% 3% 26% 2% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 1% 0% 1% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 4,020 23 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 \$20,908	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 2,555 0 0 2,555 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212
65 67 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 88 90 91 94 95 97 94 95 97 98 100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 0	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 1 18 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 \$16,221	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 1% 6% 81% 6% 81% 6% 349% 0%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 4,020 23 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 \$ 20,908	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,575 0 0 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailling Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,800 2,800 350 1,900 \$68,120 0 0	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 1 18 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 6% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 224%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 44986 0 4411 41 6,626 0 233 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 1,223 0 \$ 20,908	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 2,575 0 2,575 0 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325)
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 100	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailling Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3350 1,900 \$68,120 0 0	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 6% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 23 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 \$ 20,908 \$20,908	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325)
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 100 100 107	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3550 1,900 \$68,120		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 0 \$68,120	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 3311 331 4,970 0 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 1% 6% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 23 1,223 1,223 1,223 0 \$ 20,908 \$20,908 325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325)
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 100 107 108	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$2,800 2,800 2,800 2,800 2,800 2,800 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 0 \$0 \$0	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 1% 1% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 223 0 0 4,020 241 9223 1,223 1,223 1,223 3 2,50,908 325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0,0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) (\$325)
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 81 82 86 88 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 97 97 106 107 106	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,520 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 286,104		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 50 350 1,900 1,900	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$326 \$326 \$326 \$326 \$326 \$326 \$326 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327 \$327\$ \$327 \$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$327\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 1% 55% 0% 1% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 233 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 1,223 0 0 \$20,908 325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0,0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) 2,7,151
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 9100 106 107 106 107	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,857 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,800 2,800 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$286,104	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,575 4,020 2,500 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516	260 569 878 80 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 1% 55% 0% 1% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 0 441 4 1 6,626 0 0 23 0 0 23 0 0 23 23 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 2,325 325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) (\$325) 27,151 \$27,151
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 1006 107 106 107 108 107 1108	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,850 2,850 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,800 2,8		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$60 \$68,120 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$6	260 569 878 80 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 188 0 0 3,249 188 0 0 3,249 188 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 204,689 204,689 \$202,194	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 23 0 23 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 5 258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) (\$325) 2,7,151 \$27,151
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 1006 107 106 107 1108 107 1108	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation ODERATING SUPPLIES (IDEELLE //DEELCT)	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,800 2,800 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 2,860 4,200 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 1,900 \$68,120 1,900 \$68,120 0 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60	260 569 878 80 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 188 0 0 3,249 188 1 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 204,689 \$204,689 \$204,689	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 4,020 23 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 5 250,908 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) (\$325) 27,151 \$27,151 \$27,151
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 79 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 98 1000 106 107 108 100 110 111 1113 113	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation Total Expenditures OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3,350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$286,104 \$286,104 \$286,104		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 (\$72,716]	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 3249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 349% 24% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 23 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 1,223 1,223 1,223 258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953 \$374,719	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 0,00 2,575 0,00 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (823) (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) \$27,151 \$27,151 \$101,797
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 80 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 97 98 100 107 108 107 108 107 110 111 112 113 114	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Outside UB Mailling Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation Total Expenditures OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 (\$72,716)	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 \$16,221 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 44986 0 441 6,626 0 23 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 520,908 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0 0 2,559 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (325) (325) 2,7,151 \$27,151 \$101,797
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 80 81 82 86 90 91 94 95 97 98 100 105 106 107 108 110 111 112 113 114 115 115	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Odfice Expense Outside UB Mailling Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Claises/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES Transfers In - From General Fund	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 3350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$568,120 \$572,716 \$72,716 \$113,940	260 569 878 0 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 55% 0% 81% 6% 27% 349% 24% 24% 72% 72% 61%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 6,626 0 23 0 23 1 23 1,223 1,223 0 241 923 1,223 0 \$ 20,908 \$20,908 \$20,908 \$20,908 \$225,953 \$258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953 \$258,953	168 16,241 2,176 3,390 3,254 2,850 (441) 4,74 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 0,809 2,575 0,809 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (325) (\$325) (\$325) 2,7,151 \$27,151 \$101,797
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 80 88 90 91 94 95 97 98 1006 107 108 100 1110 1111 112 113 114 115 116 116	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation Total Expenditures OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES Transfers In - From General Fund (Transfers Out) - Equipment Fund	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516 (\$72,716) 113,940 (11,545)		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,830 2,80	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 1% 55% 0% 1% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 23 0 0 441 923 1,223 1,223 0 0 \$20,908 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 3,500 (41) 474 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) 27,151 \$101,797 \$101,797 0 0 2,315
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 81 82 86 90 91 94 95 95 97 98 1006 107 108 100 1010 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation Total Expenditures OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES Transfers Out) - Equipment Fund Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$68,120 \$0 \$0 \$15,55 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,500 350 1,900 568,120 0 \$68,120 0 \$68,120 (\$72,716) \$476,516 113,940 (11,545) 0	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 1% 1% 0% 349% 0% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 107 0 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 0 223 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 0 0 \$20,908 325 \$258,953 \$258,954 \$258,9556 \$258,9556 \$258,9556 \$258,9566 \$258,9566	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) \$27,151
65 67 69 70 71 72 74 75 76 80 81 82 86 88 90 91 94 95 97 98 1006 107 108 100 1010 1111 112 113 114 115 117 118	SERVICES & SUPPLIES Communication Maint: Sewer Structures/ Improvements Maintenance: Equipment Maintenance: Vehicles Gas and Oil System Parts/ Operating Supplies Safety Expense Memberships Office Expense Outside UB Mailing Expense Professional Services Contracted Engineering Rents & Leases/ Equipment Regulatory Permits & Fees Classes/ Seminars/ Training Fees Utilities Bad Debt Expense Claims & Settelments Total Services & Supplies CAPITAL OUTLAY CIP - Drainage Improvement Project Total Capital Outlay ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION Administrative Cost Allocation Total Administrative Cost Allocation Total Expenditures OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES Transfers Out) - Equipment Fund Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 8,240 2,850 0 515 9,000 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,800 2,600 350 1,900 \$68,120 \$0 286,104 \$286,104 \$476,516 (\$72,716) 113,940 (11,545) 0 0 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	515 17,000 3,348 3,500 3,500 2,850 0 2,850 0 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 2,833 2,575 4,020 2,600 350 1,900 350 1,900 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350	260 569 878 80 0 3,739 0 331 331 4,970 0 18 0 0 3,249 181 692 1,223 0 0 \$16,221 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	51% 3% 26% 2% 0% 45% 0% 55% 0% 1% 55% 0% 1% 81% 6% 27% 349% 0% 24%	347 759 1,171 00 4,986 0 441 41 6,626 0 223 0 0 4,020 241 923 1,223 1,223 0 0 \$20,908 325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325 \$325	168 16,241 2,176 3,393 3,500 3,254 2,850 (441) 474 2,374 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 2,809 2,575 1,677 (873) 1,900 \$47,212 (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) (\$325) 2,7,151 \$27,151 \$27,151 \$27,151 \$27,151 \$27,151 \$27,151



GARBAGE FUND



	A C I	J	к м	N	О Р	Q	R	S W
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRIC	т						SO COMMUN
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REV	IEW						
П								A CONTRACTOR
3	GARBAGE FUND - 06							3 1981 015
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GARBAGE FUND	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	GARBAGE DEPARTMENT - 06	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7			man					
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
10	Revenues	\$109,836	\$0	\$109,836	\$82,063	75%	\$100,672	(\$9,164)
11	Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$109,836	\$0	\$109,836	\$82,063	75%	\$100,672	(\$9,164)
13	USES OF FUNDS			1				
16	Salaries & Wages	\$22,000	\$0	\$22,000	\$10,625	48%	\$14,167	\$7,833
17	Benefits	\$20,138	\$0	\$20,138	\$12,034	60%	\$16,012	\$4,126
18	Personnel Services	\$42,138	\$0	\$42,138	\$22,659	54%	\$30,179	\$11,959
19	Services & Supplies	\$22,280	\$0	\$22,280	\$14,282	64%	\$20,864	\$1,416
20	Capital Outlay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
21	Administrative Cost	\$38,147	\$0	\$38,147	\$27,292	72%	\$34,527	\$3,620
22	Total Expenditures	\$102,565	\$0	\$102,565	\$64,233	63%	\$85,571	\$16,994
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$7,271	\$0	\$7,271	\$17,830		\$15,101	\$7,830
24	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
25	Transfers In - From General Fund	7.500	0	7.500	0		7.500	0
26	(Transfers Out)	(1,000)	0	(1,000)	(680)		(799)	201
27	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	0	0	0	0		0	0
28	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	0	0	0	0		0	0
30	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	\$6,500	\$0	\$6,500	(\$680)		\$6,701	\$201

	C	D J	K M	Ν	C P	Q	R	S W
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	RICT						SO COMM
2		EV/IEW/						STORE STORE
2	TOND LEVEL ANALISIS - QOANTER ST							****
	GARBAGE FUND - 06							11CES 1981 DUSTR
3		3%						
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GARBAGE FUND	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	GARBAGE DEPARTMENT - 06	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7								
	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
8		1					1	
9	REVENUES							
12	Franchise Fees	102.036		102.036	73.391	72%	92.000	(10.036)
13	Landfill Savings Payment	7,800		7,800	8,672	111%	8,672	872
		¢100.020	<u> </u>	¢100.020			6100 670	(\$2.45.4)
23	lotal Revenues	\$109,836	Ş0	\$109,836	\$82,063	75%	\$100,672	(\$9,164)
24	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
30		0		0	0		0	0
32	Total Other Sources of Funds	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ	ŚŊ		ŚŊ	ŚŊ
52		ŲŲ.	ŞU	Ç0	ŲÇ		ÇÇ	ŲÇ
33	Total Sources of Funds	\$109,836	Ş0	\$109,836	\$82,063	75%	\$100,672	(\$9,164)
34	USES OF FUNDS					1		
36	SALARIES & WAGES							
37	Salaries & Wages	20.000		20.000	10.611	53%	14.149	5.851
39	Overtime Wages	0		0	14		19	(19)
40	Lead Operator Contingency (Budget Workshop)	2,000		2,000			0	2,000
42	Total Salarias & Wages	\$22,000	¢0	¢22.000	¢10.625	400/	\$14.167	67 022
42	Total Salaries & Wages	\$22,000	3 0	\$22,000	\$10,025	48%	\$14,107	\$7,655
43	BENEFITS							
44	PERS Contributions	0		0	0		0	0
45	Pension Expense	0		0	0		0	0
46	Medicare	0		0	0		0	0
47	FICA	0		0	0		0	0
48	Compensation Insurance	913		913	689	76%	919	(6)
49	Boot Allowance	0		0	0		0	0
50	Insurance	0		0	0		0	0
54	Operating Crew Benefits Allocation	19,225		19,225	11,344	59%	15,092	4,133
56	Total Benefits	\$20,138	\$0	\$20,138	\$12,034	60%	\$16,012	\$4,126
57	Total Personnel Services	\$42,138	\$0	\$42,138	\$22,659	54%	\$30,179	\$11,959
	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
58								
60	Communication	900		900	570	63%	760	140
62		515		515	0	0%	0	515
67	Operating Supplies	1,545		1,545	3/8	24%	504	1,041
68		1 020		1 020	255	25%	4/3	(473)
69	Postage	515		515	201	0%	546 0	515
71	Professional Services	7 000		7 000	7 867	112%	8 678	(1 678)
74	Utilities	515		515	101	20%	101	414
75	School Outreach Programs	10,000		10,000	4,750	48%	10,000	0
78	Total Services & Supplies	\$22.280	¢0	\$22.280	\$14.292	64%	\$20.864	\$1.416
70	Total Services & Supplies	\$22,200	ŲŲ	<i>\$22,200</i>	Ş14,202	04/8	Ş20,004	Ş1,410
79 85	CAPITAL OUTLAY							
86	Total Capital Outlay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
87	ADMINISTRATIVE COST ALLOCATION							
88	Administrative Cost Allocation	38,147		38,147	27,292	72%	34,527	3,620
90	Total Administrative Cost Allocation	\$38,147	\$0	\$38,147	\$27,292	72%	\$34,527	\$3,620
91	Total Expenditures	\$102,565	\$0	\$102,565	\$64,233	63%	\$85,571	\$16,994
92								

	C	D J	K M	Ν	C P	Q	R	S W
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST	RICT						SO COMMO
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 R	EVIEW						
3	GARBAGE FUND - 06	3%						IF THE THE THE PARTY OF THE PAR
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	GARBAGE FUND	FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
6	GARBAGE DEPARTMENT - 06	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	75%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE
7						•		
93	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$7,271	\$0	\$7,271	\$17,830		\$15,101	\$7,830
94	TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES							
95	Transfers In - From Water Fund	7,500		7,500	0		7,500	0
96	(Transfers Out) - Equipment Fund	(1,000)		(1,000)	(680)		(799)	201
97	Encumbrances - Sources of Funding	0		0	0		0	0
98	Encumbrances - (Designated Funds)	0		0	0		0	0
100	NET TRANSFERS & ENCUMBRANCES	\$6,500	\$0	\$6,500	(\$680)		\$6,701	\$201



EQUIPMENT FUND



	A C I	L L	к м	Ν	C P	Q	R	S W			
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT										
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW										
3	EQUIPMENT FUND - 12										
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>			
5		FINAL	APPROVED	CURRENT	ACTUAL AT		ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET			
6	EQUIPMENT FUND - 12	FY 2019/2020	ADJUSTMENTS	BUDGET	3/31/2020	50%	ACTUAL	VARIANCE			
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS										
10	Revenues	\$29,090	\$0	\$29,090	\$19,772	68%	\$23,257	(\$5,833)			
11	Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
12	Total Sources of Funds	\$29,090	\$0	\$29,090	\$19,772	68%	\$23,257	(\$5,833)			
13	USES OF FUNDS		-		-						
16	Salaries & Wages	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
17	Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
18	Personnel Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
19	Services & Supplies	\$16,000	\$0	\$16,000	\$10,459	65%	\$13,945	\$2,055			
20	Capital Outlay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0			
21	Debt Service	\$9,067	\$0	\$9,067	\$5,289	58%	\$5,289	\$3,778			
22	Total Expenditures	\$25,067	\$0	\$25,067	\$15,748	63%	\$19,234	\$5,833			
23	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$4,023	\$0	\$4,023	\$4,024		\$4,023	(\$0)			

/	A C	D J	к м	N	О Р	Q	R	S W
1	OCEANO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT							SO COMM
2	FUND LEVEL ANALYSIS - QUARTER 3 REVIEW							
	FOLIIPMENT FUND - 12							A CONTRACTOR
3								1981 015
4			<u>2019/20</u>	<u>2019/20</u>				<u>2019/20</u>
5	FOUR MENT FUND - 12	FINAL	APPROVED		ACTUAL AT 3/31/2020	75%	ESTIMATED	EST. BUDGET
÷		112013/2020	ADJOSTMENTS	DODGET	3/31/2020	13/8	ACTUAL	VAMANCE
8	SOURCES OF FUNDS							
٩	REVENUES							
10	Lease Revenue from Water	16,545		16,545	11,245	68%	13,228	(3,317)
11	Lease Revenue from Sewer	11,545		11,545	7,847	68%	9,230	(2,315)
12	Lease Revenue from Garbage	1,000		1,000	680	68%	799	(201)
16	Total Revenues	\$29,090	\$0	\$29,090	\$19,772	68%	\$23,257	(\$5,833)
17	OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS							
18		0		0			0	0
25	Total Other Sources of Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
26	Total Sources of Funds	\$29,090	\$0	\$29,090	\$19,772	68%	\$23,257	(\$5,833)
27	USES OF FUNDS							
	SALARIES & WAGES							
29	SALARIES & WAGES	0		0	0		0	0
50		0		0	0		0	0
37	Total Salaries & Wages	\$0	ŞO	Ş0	\$0		ŞO	<u>\$0</u>
38	BENEFITS							
39		0		0	0		0	
53	Total Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
54	Total Personnel Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
55	SERVICES & SUPPLIES							
56	Maintenance - Vehicles	6,500		6,500	4,793	74%	6,391	109
57	Fuel	9,500		9,500	5,665	60%	7,554	1,946
59	Total Services & Supplies	\$16,000	\$0	\$16,000	\$10,459	65%	\$13,945	\$2,055
60	CAPITAL OUTLAY							
61	Fixed Assets	0		0				0
63	Total Capital Outlay	Śŋ	Śŋ	ŚŊ	Śŋ		ŚŊ	ŚŊ
05		30	30	Ş 0	30			
65	DEBT SERVICE							
66	Equipment Lease	9,067		9,067	5,289	58%	5,289	3,778
69	Total Debt Service	\$9,067	\$0	\$9,067	\$5,289	58%	\$5,289	\$3,778
71	Total Expenditures	\$25,067	\$0	\$25,067	\$15,748	63%	\$19,234	\$5,833
72		,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , ,		,	
73	OPERATING SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$4,023	\$0	\$4,023	\$4,024		\$4,023	(\$0)

Oceano Community Services District Revenue Comparisons - Target to Actual Billing Periods Ending in: Fiscal Years 2015/16, 2016/17, 2017/18, 2018/19, Partial 2019/20

<u>15/16 Target</u>		15/16 Actual		<u>16/17 Target</u>		<u>16/17 Actual</u>		<u>17/18 Target</u>		<u>17/18 Actual</u>		<u>18/19 Target</u>		18/19 Actual		<u>19/20 Target</u>		<u>19/20 Actual</u>		
May - July	\$	393,460	\$	336,187	\$	405,040	\$	390,467	\$	415,276	\$	411,935	\$	428,980	\$	398,540	\$	428,760	\$	402,499
July - Sept.	\$	380,230	\$	359,329	\$	391,420	\$	368,608	\$	401,313	\$	402,095	\$	414,556	\$	403,771	\$	434,388	\$	434,372
Sept Nov.	\$	348,369	\$	334,971	\$	358,621	\$	355,697	\$	367,685	\$	359,870	\$	379,819	\$	357,085	\$	384,162	\$	408,556
Nov Jan.	\$	290,136	\$	290,531	\$	298,675	\$	321,261	\$	306,223	\$	343,440	\$	316,328	\$	326,018	\$	350,739	\$	319,387
Jan March	\$	323,358	\$	300,986	\$	332,874	\$	296,186	\$	341,287	\$	308,550	\$	352,550	\$	286,146	\$	307,844	\$	347,461
March - May	\$	351,803	\$	344,349	\$	362,157	\$	335,738	\$	371,309	\$	350,089	\$	383,563	\$	358,893	\$	386,107	\$	-
Totals	\$	2,087,356	\$	1,966,353	\$	2,148,787	\$	2,067,956	\$	2,203,093	\$	2,175,978	\$	2,275,795	\$	2,130,454	\$	2,292,000	\$	1,912,275
(Shortfall)/Surplus			\$	(121,003)			\$	(80,831)			\$	(27,115)			\$	(145,341)			\$	6,383
																		-		

Cumulative Revenue Shortfall: \$ (367,907)



Oceano Community Services District Chronology of Budget Actions Fiscal Years 2019-20

Date	Action							
FISCAL	YEAR 2019-20 BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS							
June 26, 2019	Approved the Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2019-20							
August 28, 2019	Approved the Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2018-19							
July 24, 2019	Approved a Budget Adjustment for \$5,178 utilizing Public Facilities Fees reserves for the 2019 Replacement Generator Project							
September 25, 2019	Approved a budget adjustment increasing the project budget \$32,500 for construction inspection services; cancelling \$72,048 in Public Facilities Reserves and increasing facility fund contingencies \$39,548.							
October 23, 2019	Approved a budget adjustment in the Water Fund of \$35,000 for Well #4 from infrastructure reserves.							
October 23, 2019	Approved a budget adjustment of \$1,143.51 from Facilities Fund contingencies and \$1,478.85 from public facilities reserves.							
December 11, 2019	Approved a budget adjustment of \$13,000 from Facilities Fund contingencies to paint the Old Fire Station at 1687 Front Street							
December 11, 2019	Approved a budget adjustment of \$195,000 from Water Fund Infrastructure Reserves for the Norswing/ Pershing Waterline replacement project (Project #2019-03)							
January 22, 2020	Approved a budget adjustment increasing the project budget for the Oceano Generator Project by \$18, 425 for change order #2 including design and structural engineering support in the amount of \$8,033.50 for Facilities Fund contingencies and \$10,391.50 from public facilities reserves.							
February 26, 2002	Approve a budgeted adjustment increasing the project budget for the Oceano Generator Project by \$8,555 for change order #3 and design engineering support in the amount of \$3,729.84 from Facilities Fund contingencies and \$4,824.83 from public facilities reserves.							